From the author of: WiFi Refugee; Plight of the Modern-day Canary

SHANNON ROWAN



Vaccine weapons, medical tyranny and the war against humanity

FOREWORD BY

JAMES McCUMISKEY

Author of: The Ultimate Conspiracy; the Biomedical Paradigm, and The Achilles Heel of the Biomedical Paradigm

SHOTS FIRED;

Vaccine weapons, medical tyranny & the war against humanity

Paperback Edition First Published in the United States in 2023 by Shannon Rowan

eBook Edition First Published in the United States in 2023 by Shannon Rowan

Copyright © 2023 Shannon Rowan

Book Cover Design Copyright © 2023 Shannon Rowan

All rights reserved.

No part of this document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission by the Author, excepting short cited excerpts.

Rowanberry Books

ISBN: 979-8-9866706-3-8

The following pages contain sample images of the original book's Table of Contents list in addition to the entire **Introduction** and **Chapters One and Four** and (along with relevant endnote citations and footnotes), from the newly released book: *Shots Fired; vaccine weapons, medical tyranny and the war against humanity*, by Shannon Rowan, currently available in Kindle and print format on Amazon, and soon to be released on Barnes and Noble (by end of June 2023).

Please do not share this promotional book excerpt, and please do support the author by visiting her website at www.wifi-refugee.com where links to her full books for sale can be found. You may also contact the author on her website to order signed author copies.

(Please also note this sample does not contain the book's exact original formatting and excludes page numbers and page headers.)

CONTENTS

DEDICATION	
DISCLAIMER	IV
FOREWORD	VII
INTRODUCTION	XI
PART ONE	1
FIRST SHOTS FIRED	1
CHAPTER ONE	3
COW MEDICINE FOR THE HUMAN HERD	3
Prelude to a Jab, Jenner's Cow Medicine	3
Herding Humanity	26
CHAPTER TWO	39
THE RISE OF ROCKEFELLER MEDICINE	39
American Murder Association	
Medical School and Mind Control	66
CHAPTER THREE	79
FROM POISONED ARROWS TO POISONED NEEDLES	
The Ancient Art of Poisoning Reaches Perfection	79
Monstrous Monsanto's Legacy of Poison	83
Mercury	108
Arsenic and Old Lace; Poisons that leave no Trace	
Formidable Formaldehyde/Formalin	
Fluoridation	
EMF- radiation poisoning	128
Criss-Crossed Chemical Skies	
Modern-day, Pre-Covid, Vaccine Ingredients	140
CHAPTER FOUR	153
GERM THEORY AND THE VIRAL PARADIGM	153
Viral Paradigm another Sham, Viruses do not exist	153
Germ Theory and Pasteur vs. Béchamp	168
Animal Culling - An Act of War	
Antibodies Anybody?	
PCR	196
CHAPTER FIVE	199
WHAT REALLY MAKES US SICK	199
Disease Conditions Cause Disease	
German New Medicine	213

Resonance	215
Imbalanced Bodies	
The Iatrogenic Effect	
Aerosol scientists and hairspray	226
The Backwardness of "Masking"	235
CHAPTER SIX	241
FALLOUT FROM THE FIRST SHOTS	
Forced Injections, Medical Mandates	
The Fauci Generation	
Infants on the Altar	249
Birth of Autism	261
Trace Amounts	274
Vaccine's special status- in a league of its own	280
PART TWO	287
SECOND SHOTS FIRED	287
CHAPTER SEVEN	289
THE TROJAN HORSE AND THE FOUR HORSES OF THE APOCALYPSE; A	
JAB FOR A NEW GENERATION	
Operation Warp Speed	
FDA Emergency Use Authorization	
Crimes Against Humanity- Nuremberg Violations	
mRNA Drugs	
The Software of Life.	
PEG/LNPs- Trojan horses	
Remote-Controlled Zombies	215
CHAPTER EIGHT	
NANOTECH - THE MULTI-HEADED HYDRA	
Undisclosed ingredients, what are they hiding and why?	
Hydras and Hydrogels - Think Rwanda	324
Cybernetics and Mind Control	
Round Up Ready Humans	
Nano tech circuitry	338
Nanoparticles on PCR testing swabs	346
CHAPTER NINE	351
GRAPHENE OXIDE, WALKING TIME BOMBS & THE HUMAN BRAIN	
Project	
Graphene Oxide	351
Walking time bombs	
Trapped in the NET	370
Neural net manipulation	
Bio Hacking and Brain Doctoring	

Contents xxi

Human Brain 2.0	. 385
Happy Helmets & Remote-controlled People	. 392
PART THREE	. 397
MULTIPLE ROUNDS FIRED	. 397
CHAPTER TEN	. 399
HUMAN PIN CUSHIONS AND NEEDLE MANIA	. 399
Shoot First Ask Questions Later	
Double Jabbed and Boosted, Still Not Vaccinated	
Super Spreaders and Super Shedders	
Self-spreading Vaccines and other Insanity	. 420
CHAPTER ELEVEN	. 441
LYING WITH STATISTICS & TELLING THE BIG LIE	441
Numbers Games	442
Clinical Trials: Manipulated data, omitted results, skewed	
demographics	
Dashboards and Death Maps	
Animal Testing - Cruel and Unusual Torture	
Epidemic Hunters/CDC Spies	. 468
$Big\ Pharma\ +\ Big\ Government\ \equiv\ Big\ Marketing$. 484
CHAPTER TWELVE	. 495
ADVERSE EVENTS—THE NEW ABNORMAL NORMAL	
Adverse Events	
Special Adverse Events of Special Interest	
Amputees	. 508
Myocarditis- The New Normal	. 511
Guillain-Barré Syndrome	. 522
Effects on Fertility, miscarriages, still births, tainted milk	
International Medical Crisis	. 537
CHAPTER THIRTEEN	. 539
MEDICAL MATRIX	. 539
Covid Hospital Death Protocols	. 539
Survivor Stories.	. 546
Victim Stories	. 553
CHAPTER FOURTEEN	. 559
BRING OUT YOUR DEAD	. 559
Bodies Piling Up	
Dropping Like Flies	
First SIDS, Now SADS	
PART FOUR	. 583

Ceasefire	3
CHAPTER FIFTEEN58	5
VACCINE APARTHEID	5
Punishing the "Refuseniks"59	2
Discrimination60	
Vaccine Passports	5
CHAPTER SIXTEEN61	1
GAME OVER - HUMAN 2.0	1
Brain chips and the Hive Mind61	1
Nano Man	
Metaverses and Matrixes	
The Communitarian "We"; All in this Together63	
Food Shortages Manufactured Energy & Cost of Living Crisis-th	c
next shots fired65	
Monkey Business-Monkey Pox, the next Pandemic?66	4
CHAPTER SEVENTEEN66	7
CHAPTER SEVENTEEN	
GAME ON - NEW BEGINNINGS	7
	7
GAME ON – NEW BEGINNINGS	7
GAME ON – NEW BEGINNINGS	7 7 5 9
GAME ON – NEW BEGINNINGS	7 7 5 9 2
GAME ON – NEW BEGINNINGS	7 7 5 9 2 4
GAME ON - NEW BEGINNINGS 66 Censorship and propaganda war failing 66 End to Vaccine Mandates and Lawsuits in Progress 68 Preventative Strategies 69 Aftermath - Detoxification 70 On Being Human 71	775924
GAME ON – NEW BEGINNINGS. 66 Censorship and propaganda war failing. 65 End to Vaccine Mandates and Lawsuits in Progress. 68 Preventative Strategies. 69 Aftermath – Detoxification. 70 On Being Human. 71 APPENDIX A. 72	775924
GAME ON – NEW BEGINNINGS	775924
GAME ON – NEW BEGINNINGS	775924 2 1 5

Introduction

When I am attacked as being a conspiracy theorist, it is when I make predictions based on having witnessed the behavior of those at the center of power and observing how it was directed 24/7 at getting rid of organic historical or market processes, and thus doing away with much-dreaded chance.

Why would powerful men and women **not** want to control all of the outcomes in the world? In many cases, it is the fiduciary responsibilities of these men and women to control all possible risk in outcomes. 'Conspiracy theorist' is a handy term of abuse, thrown around (and never more than in 2020-22) by bought media and bought social media influencers to deride those who know better how power really operates in private. The smear also serves to deter citizens from recognizing the fundamental but dispiriting truth that powerful people always seek to control history and markets and limit their risk in a systematic way—and the corollary that they themselves are often powerless. [emphasis original]

Powerful people seek to bring about historical outcomes without leaving written evidence, using nonprofits, cutouts, relatives, lawyers, or friends of friends...

The high-level aversion to risk and this aim for 'no fingerprints' and no paper trail is not a conspiracy theory, it is a reality. It is simply the way the global elites operate, assisted by the highest-compensated lawyers and lobbyists in DC...'

...Freedom and securing of wealth are correlated. Freedom of movement equals cultural achievement, economic achievement, and political influence. Restrictions' kill all those forms of civil power... The goal of the 'restrictions' that technology companies, via governments in their pockets, imposed on humans in 2020-22 was the same goal restrictions have always had everywhere: to weaken those restricted, to steal or transfer their assets, and to blunt them as a potential source of opposition.

This war was one waged by the lords and ladies of technology; they used technology—and leveraged the culture and civilization of technology—to wage asymmetrical combat against the whole of humanity itself and to strike out against human movement, speech, touch, ingenuity, bodies, religion, families, schooling, and especially culture.

Restrictions in human history always preceded theft: theft of the lands, assets, and opportunities of the restricted. It was so this time too... For the first time in our species' history, those restricted were not one or even several distinct subgroups, but humans as a whole... Those who restricted them had human form, but they were traitors to humanity."

...In less than two years, the rights enumerated in the UN Declaration of Human Rights were suspended in the West, as the American Constitution was effectively suspended in many states. By February of 2021... forty-seven states were under emergency law.

It happened without any conventional army rolling into any European or North American street, and without a shot being fired.ⁱⁱⁱ [emphases added, SR]

-Naomi Wolf, The Bodies of Others

Ms. Wolf is absolutely right. Except that there *were* shots fired; rubber bullets into the backs of defenseless, peaceful lockdown protesters (including women and children) in Melbourne, Australia. Rubber bullets were also fired at home owners in New Jersey sitting on their own porches after "curfew." Other shots were fired at curfew breakers in India (with real bullets), killing at least one poor

woman on the way to market to buy food early in the morning. Shots were also fired by security guards at maskless customers in parts of the United States.^v And all over the world, including in many "free" nations, mask resisters were arrested, tackled by police, thrown to the ground, kicked in the head, beaten, and even strangled (as happened to one young woman in Australia),^{vi} and in some cases stabbed to death.

And millions upon millions of shots using hypodermic needles were fired into the arms of hapless, frightened and cowered, or naïve and trusting, victims. (And it is these latter shots that will comprise the primary focus in this book.) It was our failure to recognize the new weapons of choice being deployed against us that has led to our losing battle after battle in the last three years. Most of us did not hear the shots and we did not see the bombs deployed in traditional warfare. We were not prepared to meet the enemy at the gate, as it was hidden behind so many masks, and beneath so much new dazzling and incomprehensible technology.

If it sounds alarmist or like a baseless "conspiracy" to consider that there is a war currently being waged against humanity, then I humbly ask that you seriously contemplate the following facts...

Within the last two to three short years (from 2020-2023), the world as we once knew it has all but vanished, or one could say, has all but been *vanquished* by the globalist elite in a cleverly crafted coup, intended to completely destabilize world economies, squeeze out the middle classes, destroy small businesses, cultures, and democratic "free" societies, and designed to steal land, homes, and other assets, from hard-working, law-abiding citizens—effectively and efficiently fully dispossessing them.

This dispossession has led and will continue to lead to impoverishment, enslavement and imprisonment of the people into one large proletarian mass, members of which will, in the newly conquered global society, "own nothing and be happy about it" according to World Economic Forum leader Klaus Schwab. vii And as a "happy" possession-free, culture-less, friendless citizen of the "new normal" technocratic totalitarian order, all hope of fighting this adversary vanishes into dust.

This coup; this war on humanity, was cleverly planned by powerful factions of the obscenely wealthy, elitist upper classes—members of the billionaire club, as it were, who obtained their riches by a series of smaller coups carried out over decades and even centuries earlier.

These represent the "one percent", "the Elite", the puppet masters who pull the strings of world leaders/puppets; from the United States President, to UN diplomats, to World Health Organization officials, to European Union bureaucrats... and the list goes on. They operate both behind the scenes and out in the open, heading up the World Economic Forum, megalithic tech corporations, pharmaceutical companies, and non-profit organizations, etc.

They have infiltrated our judicial and legislative branches of governments, education, finance, and medicine—fully corrupting what we once knew as a republic; built and designed to uphold and protect the rights and liberties of its citizens.

In 1787 Benjamin Franklin answered the question, "What have we got, a republic or a monarchy?" outside of Independence Hall after deliberations of

the Constitution Convention had ended: "A republic, if you can keep it" was his response.

Since early 2020, once "lockdowns" were implemented all over the world, including within once-considered "free" nations, the people of the world have been prisoners to these elite robber barons, and we in the United States have a very tenuous hold left on our once dearly cherished republic. "Lockdowns" hitherto were only used in prisons, and on prisoners. The term "lockdown" should never be spoken in a free society, let alone implemented for any length of time, for *any* reason.

In this historically unprecedented move (in its scope and reach), our democracies and republics were usurped in favor of a totalitarian form of governance; one also often referred to as "communitarianism"; representing a sinister blend of communism and capitalism. It is only within totalitarian societies that *lockdowns*, enforced by police and military (otherwise known as Martial Law), can occur. It is only under totalitarian authority that ordinary citizens can be ordered to stay in their homes and kept under a form of house arrest; or even forcibly removed from homes to be hauled off to concentration-camp-style "quarantine facilities" and kept in solitary confinement for weeks at a time; healthy or sick, innocent or guilty.

Never before in history were healthy people made to quarantine along with the sick. Never before (or at least only in very rare cases), were healthy people made to cover their faces with masks. Never before were ordinary people forced to close down their businesses indefinitely—even during wartime, and especially so, as people have always been allowed to continue commerce as best as possible under such conditions, because it is only through keeping businesses open that there can be any hope of surviving war.

And never before, were free people made to follow order after inane order and finally forced or coerced into acceptance of first one, two, then three and now four (with more to come) injections of highly dubious, provably poisonous substances in the form of experimental, unapproved drugs, which also genetically modify the recipient.

Under this type of governance, there is no place for individual thought, will, movement, or sovereignty of any kind, including the most fundamental—that of body sovereignty.

Members of free societies are never forced or coerced (with threats of job loss or more lockdowns, for example) into participating in a medical experiment. They are not barred from trade, travel or socialization due to medical status, for not wearing an oxygen-thieving facemask, for not standing a designated distance from other humans, for not slathering on poisonous hand "sanitizers", or for not carrying with them a microwave-radiating, health-destroying mobile device (i.e., "smartphone"), in order to be constantly "contact-traced" or surveilled.

Make no mistake, to force or coerce people into being part of a medical experiment or to take drugs of any kind, is a criminal act, and it constitutes an act of war.

Thanks to the endless "emergency measures", self-granted to corrupted authorities all over the world, at least 34% of small businesses permanently closed in the United States by May 2021, with some cities like San Francisco

seeing a 48% small business closure. Because 99.9% of businesses in the US qualify as "small" and collectively employ 47.3% of the entire US non-government workforce, this means that nearly half of all US private companies have been destroyed.^{ix}

In the country previously understood to be the "freest" nation in the world, unemployment has skyrocketed, a massive recession/potential next Great Depression is underway, and countless victims have died—not from the dreaded fictitious disease of "Covid-19", but from the diseases of poverty; namely, malnourishment, homelessness, and despair, coupled with newly imposed environmental toxins disguised as the next generation of communications technology (5G), but which in actuality, constitutes a form of electromagnetic weaponry.

These victims have also died and suffered due to medical experiments that violate the Nuremberg treaty, from injections with the new synthetic mRNA shots, being passed off as prophylactic "vaccines".

Survivors of this war are becoming wards of the State, dependent upon meager unemployment checks (if they can get them), and even more meager "stimulus checks", granted to all tax payers in order to condition them into acceptance of what is to come next—universal basic income, doubtless with several strings attached, just as the latest talk of student loan "forgiveness" will likely entail the same.

While these bread crumbs are being cast to the proletarian peasants, the enemy has been busy siphoning trillions of the same tax payers' money to furthering their own control and profit-making interests, such as through funneling billions and trillions into the tech and pharmaceutical sectors, as was done with Trump's telehealth/telemedicine \$2 trillion stimulus funds^x, and his Secure 5G and Beyond Act^{xi}, and now Biden's transhumanist "Executive Order on Advancing Biotechnology and Biomanufacturing Innovation for a Sustainable, Safe and Secure American Bioeconomy", with the aim of supporting genetic engineering and other "biotechnologies", intended to reprogram human "software" and link it to artificial intelligence. xii

While the public was distracted by, and quibbling over, the pittance of \$10 million in small business bailout grants, the real bailout to the tune of many trillions of dollars was happening with the Federal Reserve Bank (despite the name, not a government, but *privately* owned central bank), which had announced on March 23, 2020, mere days after the WHO pandemic decree, the "first nongovernment bond-buying" in its history, through "direct purchases of corporate debt"—a move that would "support investors and capital owners". Between the March 23 announcement and April 30, as small businesses permanently shuttered and 20.5 million Americans lost their jobs, the Dow Jones Industrial Average leapt 31 percent, "creating over \$7 trillion in capital wealth", the most in one month since 1987.xiii

Congress chose to support large business and investor interests, as it parceled off a hefty chunk of the CARES Act economic stimulus 2.2 trillion-dollar package funds to the Fed's own "protect the 'drowning' wealthy" scheme, providing space for them on a luxury yacht, while leaving the rest of us to swim in shark-infested waters without so much as a life preserver.

The Fed's move made corporate borrowing cheaper for the already obscenely wealthy, and through its usual fraudulent, fractional reserve banking practicesxiv, was able to take the near half a trillion CARES Act money and turn it into a \$4.5 trillion slush fund, attracting investors to balloon the same into \$7.1 trillion in stock market wealth. In this type of Fed bailout, normal restrictions to keep employees (as is done with government bailout bond buying), are dropped. The result was that, by way of example, the largest recipient of bond money (\$25 billion); Boeing corporation, cut 16,000 jobs. The second largest recipient was Silicon Valley tech company Oracle, with \$20 billion. Others that shared in the Fed bond booty were Disney, Exxon Mobil, Apple, Broadcom, Ford Motor Co., Coca-Cola, McDonald's, Carnival Cruise Lines, General Electric, Southwest, Nike, Delta, Procter & Gamble, PayPal, Visa, T-Mobile, Airbnb, Netflix, CVS Health Corp., AMC Entertainment, and others.

"This is a massive wealth transfer to owners of financial assets. The rules of the game are supposed to be that equities take the loss, high-yield debt holders take the loss", observed Columbia University professor Lev Menand of the move. By "allowing them to instead bear no burden, is a form of socialism for capitalists."xv (Or rather, communitarianism.)

For the victors of the many battles waged against us in this war, these monetary allocations represent the spoils of war being divided amongst them, and there will be many more to come as we have seen already, with massive land grabs (i.e., Bill Gates buying up farmland, 270,000 acres total, making him the largest private landowner in the USxvi), and evidence of pre-pandemic struggling pharmaceutical companies (i.e., Moderna, BioNTech), and other big businesses, which had borrowed massively (at high interest) in 2019, along with tech companies focused on remote-learning, tele-health, and remote-working software platforms and food delivery companies—all now thriving and reaping massive rewards from the demise of the millions and billions of ordinary lawabiding, tax-paying citizens.

In war there are many losers, but only a few victors who profit from the loss of the masses. All we need do is look to who benefits in a "crisis" to know who was behind the manufacturing of it, or at the least received privy insider information from the same. In 2020 the collective wealth of the world's billionaires swelled by \$1.9 trillion at the same time that over 22 million US citizens lost their jobs.xvii

By April 2021, Amazon, Apple, Google and Facebook showed a combined revenue of more than \$1.2 trillion.xviii In 2019, Amazon's revenue was at \$280.52 billion and rose by \$105.54 billion more in 2020. More impressively, Etsy's revenue was \$818.79 million in 2019, but reached \$1.725 billion by the end of 2020. Nintendo video game sales went from \$10.91 billion in 2019 to \$12.12 billion in 2020 and \$15.99 billion in 2021. And Apple's quarterly profits tripled in the first quarter of 2022 from 2019 levels. Microsoft's net income increased from \$39.24 billion to \$61.27 billion between 2019 and 2021. Google's revenue also massively increased from just over \$150 billion in 2019 to over \$250 billion by 2021. And four out of five of the wealthiest companies in the world are now tech companies.xix

Clearly, a locked-down, masked, humanly disconnected society is financially beneficial to these entities.

The people, once thusly robbed blind from tax revenue meant to safeguard their societies (i.e., secure jobs, homes, liberties, rights), have seen this loot stolen away and directed into the very hands and projects being designed to further enslave and imprison them; the desperate masses. These desperate disenfranchised victims, after enduring over two years of behavioral conditioning on par with methods employed in prisons and prisoner-of-war camps (designed to break down the individual will of the prisoner, involving repeated lengthy periods of social isolation, "masking", "distancing", medicating, and surveillance; all accompanied by incessantly streaming propaganda that has infiltrated every home and even bedroom by way of mobile Internet access, meant to frighten and indoctrinate and to arrest any critical thought or justified resistance), have been left shellshocked, traumatized, and ready to accept anything they are told that will keep them alive and keep them "safe."

But even worse still, these survivors will have potentially lost their own humanity due to prolonged and possibly permanent loss of sovereignty, the ability to think critically, the loss of empathy (as emotional signals are cut off behind masks and human touch is criminalized), but also especially due to the shots still being fired—*shots*, meant to genetically alter, track and control the recipients.

It is this aspect of the war waging all around us that I will cover in depth within the pages of this book, with my intention aimed to convince the greatest skeptic among us of the gravity of the situation and the very real and present danger that these new bio-tech "medicines" pose to the health, life and liberty of every one of us, while they are also employed to push us into a Chinese Communist Party (CCP)-style of governance using social credit systems to keep us as obedient little drones/wards of the State.

Towards the end of my years in high school during 1989-1990, after experiencing a severe curtailment of previously enjoyed freedoms at my school in the wake of a newly instated dictatorial-leaning school administration, I expressed my profound irritation at the seemingly easy acceptance of the new lockdown, prison-like atmosphere by younger students—to which grievances my wise art teacher met with the explanation that these younger students did not protest because they, never having tasted the freedoms I had, did not know what they were missing.

As the rest of the millennials, Gen-Z'ers and "pandemic babies" grow up under a severely restricted "new normal", they too will not protest, because they also will not understand that they *should* protest.

As for the older demographics who seem equally complacent, they have been worn down through decades of communitarian indoctrination, or are suffering from amnesia, digital dementia, Alzheimer's, or any combination of the above.

But for those of us who remember the sweet days of pre-Patriot Act and pre-technocratic-Covid-era freedoms, it is our job to see to it that the younger generations appreciate exactly why previous generations fought against tyrannical forces to safeguard freedoms now so slyly and persistently being stolen from current and future generations of Americans and others in the once "free world." Let us illustrate to them through stories and through actions how wonderful life outside of tyranny can truly be—a world in which the words: lockdown, mandate, masks, vaccines, coercion and force—all antitheses of freedom, liberty, and democracy—are neither contemplated nor spoken.

Chapter One

Cow Medicine for the Human Herd

Prelude to a Jab, Jenner's Cow Medicine

The vaccination practice, pushed to the front on all occasions by the medical profession through political connivance made compulsory by the state, has not only become the chief menace and the greatest danger to the health of the rising generation, but likewise the crowning outrage upon the personal liberties of the American citizen; compulsory vaccination, poisoning the crimson currents of the human system with brute-extracted lymph under the strange infatuation that it would prevent smallpox, was one of the darkest blots that disfigured the last century.**
—Dr. J. M. Peebles

I am on the lookout to be able to make a fortune.xxi
—Edward Jenner (in a letter to a friend)

The war on humanity began long ago, waged and launched by members of the upper-crust factions of society.

From a medical standpoint, particularly when speaking of "vaccines", this war began with the so-called "father of immunology"—Edward Jenner.

History has been written, edited, rewritten, and erased time and again. It is always told by the winners of wars and by our oppressors, who shamelessly perpetrate such treacheries as obfuscating, distorting and deleting our true history—all done to secure and increase their own positions of power.

The story of Jenner is no exception. The quaint fairy-tale-like account we are told about this "country doctor" is just that—a fairy tale.

We are told Jenner was a humble country doctor whose own altruistic interest in eradicating smallpox for the benefit of mankind directed his sensitive observations of nature to investigate the rumor circulating at the time that dairymaids did not get smallpox due to contact with cowpox.

"Cowpox" described pus-filled lesions that sometimes manifested on cow udders, possibly developing due to the act of over-milking, particularly without moisturizing the teats when dry. (And for cows who actually fall ill and/or die accompanied by these pustules, other disease conditions are also present, such as poor nutrition and sanitation, as witnessed of cattle in India demonstrating "cowpox" in 1832.xxii)

The official story tells us that an act of providence combined with great intellect, led Jenner to stumble upon the perfect prophylactic for smallpox by deducing that direct skin contact with cowpox pustules provided immunity to

the disease of smallpox. This led to Jenner's experiments with cowpox pustule "lymph" extraction and administration to his patients in the form of insertion into scratches or punctures made on bared arms.

The story also tells us that these experiments led to development of the first successful vaccines in human history, and that thanks to Jenner's discovery, devotion to serving humankind and hard work, his new cow medicine led to the eradication of smallpox, albeit 200 years later.

This is the story we are told, and it is a comforting one. It allows us to trust in the kindness of doctors, the rightness of allopathic medicine, the goodness of health officials, and the truthfulness of historians. But the *real* story of Jenner and the first vaccines bears little resemble to this fanciful tale.

The official story makes many glaring omissions, distortions of events, and includes many falsehoods. Several contemporary retellings of this story even assert that Jenner injected his vaccines with a needle, but this is incorrect, as the first hypodermic needle was yet to be invented, coming on the scene in 1853 thirty full years after Jenner's death.

Rather than inject his patients/victims with needles, Jenner first made scratches on arms in mimicry of the "variolation" or "inoculation" practice for smallpox of the day that had been in vogue for some hundreds of years before. He later made punctures in favor of mere scratches, utilizing ivory "points" and even feather quills "xxii" when he ran low on supplies of points.

Edward Jenner was also (contrary to the mainstream narrative), an elite member of society, not some "humble country doctor." He was a high-ranking freemason, master of the Royal Lodge of Faith and Fellowship, No. 270 in Berkeley, Gloucestershire—a lodge frequented by none other than the Prince of Wales (who would later take the throne in England as King George IV), and members of the famous Darwin family. Jenner was also a fellow of the Freemason-led Royal Society, having officially been granted entrance into the exclusive club thanks to his paper on the "Natural History of the Cuckoo", but more likely thanks to a recommendation given by his esteemed uncle,xxv the infamous surgeon, John Hunter.

Jenner did not hold a medical degree of any kind until he purchased one in 1790 from St. Andrew's college for 15 pounds sterling (a \$2,000 equivalent today.)xxvi xxvii Otherwise, his medical training was limited to having apprenticed under his aforementioned uncle, the "father of modern surgery" (by pure *coincidence*, another major historical medical figure and founding father of modern medicine in his own family and also a member of the Royal Society); John Hunter, aka "the Knife Man", infamous for his unethical methods of obtaining corpses for his dissection table, namely through grave robbing, also known as "body snatching".

Hunter dissected thousands of cadavers in his day and tortured many thousand more live (domestic and wild/exotic) animals in bizarre vivisection experiments, such as "grafting a cockerel's testicle to the belly of a hen".xxviii Hunter's house and dissecting rooms (perhaps unsurprisingly given his reputation for his truly macabre activities), served as inspiration for the famous 19th century gothic novel; *Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde.*

Jenner was even wealthy enough to own a private hot-air balloon. (No ordinary "humble" country doctor then.)

His own letters to close friends, colleagues and acquaintances, preserved in a two-volume biography written by a close, adoring member of the latter group, John Baron's, *Life of Edward Jenner*, unwittingly reveals Jenner's true mercenary and narcissistic nature—a far cry from the benevolent portrayal most often presented in the mainstream purview.

Another truth also emerges through Jenner's letters, and even through his friend Baron's documentation of events surrounding Jenner's discovery and its disastrous results—that of Jenner's own elitist attitude toward the masses.

The name Jenner adopted for his cow-pus concoction passed off as "medicine" was "vaccine." The word stems from the Latin "vacca" meaning "cow", and it was chosen by Jenner because his bizarre brew mostly, at least initially (but not exclusively, as he experimented with pus from horse hooves and swine as well), derived from cow pustules.

But many people of the times recognized the name *vaccine* to reflect another more sinister meaning in its role of herding humanity into mere lolling obedient cattle.

In 1806 Simon Williams of Northamptonshire authored a billet titled, "Rise from thy Slumbers, sheep-people!", within which he pointed out that Jenner was a member of the Royal Society, with no prior experience inventing inoculants and who was also in the pay of "Big Pox". Williams stated that anyone submitting to Jenner's alleged "curative medicine" risked exposure to "chemicals derived from cows—which will result in people given the treatment being driven like lowing cattle by the government and business interests." xxix

Williams represented one of the very first "anti-vaxxers", referred to as "anti-vaccinists" by Jenner and his supporters. These dissenters comprised the bane of Jenner's existence, causing him endless grief as he sought to push his cow medicine onto the entire human herd against its will, to his own huge financial benefit.

Jenner often lamented in his letters about this thorn in his side, complaining with quips such as, "...the anti-vaccinists, which are calculated to excite horror and disgust at the very name of our admirable preservative"xxx and "what havoc the anti-vaccinists have made in town"xxxi, while his close elitist friends like Dr. Parry, made attempts to console Jenner, counselling him to, "For heaven's sake, think no more of these wasps, who hum and buz [sic] about you, and whom your indifference and silence will freeze into utter oblivion. Let me again entreat you not to give them one moment's consideration, opus exegisti are perennius."xxxiii

Even though Jenner's general attitude toward the anti-vaccinist was that they were ignorant, uneducated buffoons, his biographer John Baron admitted that, "professional gentlemen of some name took up the opinion of the anti-vaccinists" xxxiii, including the esteemed Dr. Brodum." xxxiii

But the anti-vaccinists of Jenner's time, just as their counterparts today, had good reason to resist the government mandates being imposed upon them, thanks to Jenner's connections in high places, and to corrupt officials who also hoped to rake in massive profits by force-medicating the population.

Jenner's vaccine had, at this point, crippled, poisoned and killed not only his own son, but thousands of others. Still, he insisted that his "medicine" should continue to be distributed throughout the land; "the wide surface of our planet, over which, thank God! Vaccina has every where [sic] shed her influence", which he also insisted that, "from the potentate to the peasant, in every country but this [England], she is received with grateful and open arms."xxxv

Of course, it was easy for Jenner to speak on behalf of people in far-off lands who could not contradict him, while addressing the issue in his letter to Dr. Dunning (December 23, 1804), of his fellow countrymen still denying the alleged benefits of his incredible contribution to mankind, and even daring to continue to practice smallpox inoculation in favor of cowpox vaccination.

Rather than receiving his gift with "grateful and open arms" as asserted, populations were forced into this reception through the first vaccine mandates (as was done in Germany, xxxvi Sweden, Denmark, Japan, and Prussiaxxxvii xxxviii), which resulted time and time again in wider, more deadly outbreaks of smallpox and other injury and increased mortality—all thanks to Jenner's "gift".

And when cases of vaccine failure abroad invariably reached Jenner's ear, and when failure in those countries led to his practice resulting in disfavor among those populations, Jenner blamed the administers of the vaccine with having employed the "wrong" kind of cowpox...

In Austrian Poland... the vaccine inoculation was reported to be in a backward state, owing to a very *malignant* kind of *false* cow-pox, propagated by ignorant village matrons and barbers.xxxix [emphasis Baron's]

One of Jenner's own townsfolk of Gloucestershire, where one would assume, had his cow medicine really been successful, would have been best received, is related by Jenner's biographer John Baron, as having accosted him in the street to say, "So, your book is out at last. Well! I can tell you that there be'ant a copy sold in our town; nor sha'n't neither, if I can help it."xl

So unpopular in fact was Jenner's cow medicine, and so threatening were the first anti-vaccinists to Jenner and his cronies' racketeering plans, Jenner and co. had to actively wage war against them and do all in their power to "influence" the government to back them in their cause.

If vaccination had truly been received with gratitude and open arms, none of the government-enforced mandates at home or abroad would have been necessary. The people would have seen the "incredible" results for themselves, and in response would not have needed to have been coerced under threat of imprisonment and heavy fines, or with having children removed from their homes, for refusing this "miracle" medicine. Indeed, it should be seen as the strongest testament to the failure of any medicine when corrupt governments resort to using force for its acceptance among its citizenry.

In Jenner's attempts to stamp out opposition to his great discovery, not just from the everyday concerned citizen ("anti-vaccinist"), but from within the medical profession itself, when a few remaining uncorrupted doctors and scientists dared try to publish their findings against vaccination, he is documented as having resorted, on at least one occasion, to the use of threatening language.

In one letter to a friend, Jenner first acknowledged that his medicine had indeed proved fatal, but that he wished by all means to bury the evidence, writing, "I wish my professional brethren to be slow to publish fatal results of vaccination."xli

In another letter to James Moore, Esq., December 19, 1810, in writing about one Dr. Woodville, Jenner revealed, "When I found him [Woodville] about to publish his pamphlet relative to the eruptive cases at the Smallpox Hospital, I intreated him in the **strongest terms**, both by letter and in conversation, not to do a thing that would so much disturb the progress of vaccination, and finally prove so **injurious to himself**."xlii [emphases SR]

Due to the rightful unpopularity of Jenner's cow medicine, when threats and other means did not suffice to secure the fortune and fame Jenner sought, he used his influence amongst his fellow Royal Society and Freemason members to help him secure the cooperation of government in helping wipe out competition (traditional smallpox inoculation), and replace it with Jenner's own brand of the same through (it was hoped), compulsory means, thus guarantying a vaccine monopoly and a plentiful client-base without end.

Jenner's friend, the Duke of Bedford, holding the position of Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, wrote Jenner from Dublin on July 18, 1806 to tell him of the successful introduction of the topic of "vaccine inoculation" to the "notice of Parliament."

He doubted "whether a bare inquiry into the merits of the practice [vaccination], or its efficacy against the small-pox, will prove of any essential use unless compulsory means are restored to, or at least measure of regulation... Some legislative restraint must be adopted... against the pernicious and fatal error, which permits a man with impunity to spread the contagion of a loathsome and cruel disorder around his neighborhood, and to carry the seeds of disease and death through the streets of the metropolis, or through towns and villages in the country."xliii [emphases, SR]

This elitist attitude that viewed the common man as being nothing more than a disease-carrying vector in need of total restraint by the governing elite, ruling classes, has not in the least changed to this day. It is an attitude that confers all responsibility for cause of disease upon enslaved citizens who, due to their "ignorance", refuse to be physically molested by their "superiors" who simply wish to "help" them, while relieving all blame and responsibility of the same ruling elite of doing anything of *real* consequence to halt disease outbreaks—namely improving sanitation, providing health-giving foods, adequate shelter and clean water. In short, seeing to the jobs that governments are meant to oversee; the building and maintaining of proper infrastructure related to housing and sewer systems, funded by the tax-paying citizenry.

Smallpox, and other diseases, saw dramatic declines alongside improvements in sanitation and nutrition, by and large as a direct result of the "anti-vaccinists" efforts. However, throughout history, vaccination programs have taken credit for these declines, often being introduced after the decline began (and still shown to have caused new outbreaks or other chronic illness and fatalities after vaccination introduction, as will be demonstrated shortly), but the trend to place all credit for disease decline on vaccination could be said to have started with Jenner.

Jenner's aforementioned biographer, a close friend and devotee of Jenner, audaciously attributed the decline of *all* childhood diseases, not just smallpox, on Jenner's cow medicine, while at the same time admitting that measles, in the wake of vaccination, had taken on a much more deadly form. xliv xlv Using statistical data compiled by one Dr. Casper, showing (rather insignificant) declines in all-cause mortality, he draws the illogical conclusion that because the same twenty-year period followed the introduction of Jenner's cowpox concoctions, the declines must have been the result of Jenner's magic potion, which by Jenner's own "science", was intended only to safeguard against the disease of smallpox, not against any other.xlvi

The Perfect Pustule

Fresh vesicles subsequently formed around the vaccination pocks coalescing with them and causing them to spread. They developed also on the face, head, body, and in the mouth, the latter prevented the child from suckling, and it died exhausted on the 45th day after vaccination.xlvii

—Case of a [previously] healthy child after vaccination, March 13, 1891

Through the practice of vaccination, in order to (allegedly) secure health and prevent future disease, quite illogically, healthy people were made ill. Jenner cut or punctured (wounded) healthy skin with his lancet and/or ivory points, xlviii (which he often referred to simply as his "points" xlix), and introduced foreign material (from cow pustules), in order to produce infection in the "vaccinated" victim. If no infection (in the form of pustules) was produced, then the vaccine did not "take" and was redone until it did—meaning until evidence of disease (infection) was witnessed.

No actual relationship between the organism assumed responsible for cowpox and the one assumed responsible for smallpox was ever scientifically established. The "science" employed in Jenner's cow medicine was solely based upon superstition—the belief held among the country folk that dairymaids did not get smallpox due to their exposure to cowpox. However, the more likely reason for their low incidence of smallpox was their healthy diet centered on plentiful intake of raw milk. But this relationship was not explored by Jenner or other "scientists" looking to make a profit from peddling their deadly cowpox snake oil.

Also, Jenner's "success" was announced after testing his potion on only one child, and only related to the fact that the child did not get smallpox, even when later exposed (via lancet) to pus from smallpox. However, no control was used, meaning no other child was cut with the lancet and tested to see if he (an "unvaccinated" subject), would get smallpox by this means or not.

It just so happens that, like other subsequent so-called infectious or communicable diseases throughout history, smallpox was never proven to have been caused from exposure to microorganisms or even spread through person-to-person transmission, but rather understood to be a disease of poverty (as in the case of other "communicable" diseases), due to poor sanitation, poor nutrition, lack of access to clean water and/or fresh air. And in the particular

case of smallpox, the bites from bedbugs (normally found in crowded unsanitary living conditions), appeared to play a crucial role.

One Dr. Charles Campbell MD (1865-1931), Health Officer and Bacteriologist (recommended for the Nobel Prize for his contributions in discovering the value of bats in eradicating mosquitoes and controlling malaria outbreaks), who headed a "Pest House" in San Antonia, Texas, (in which smallpox patients were kept and cared for), spent several years attempting to uncover the true cause of smallpox and whether or not it was actually infectious from one person to the next. What he discovered, after his many experiments, was that the *Cimex Lectularius*, commonly called "bed bug", was the responsible culprit as smallpox causative agent. Campbell noted...

In all of the cases of smallpox that have originated here I have always found bedbugs; and where patients suffering with this disease were brought here [to the Pest House] and placed in premises free from vermin, the disease did not spread to persons living with the patient. This has occurred in all stages of the disease.¹

Campbell observed that those who suffered greater illness after bites from bedbugs were also malnourished, specifically lacking green foods in the diet, causing *scorbutic cachexia* (related to scurvy). Campbell stated that, "The removal of this perversion of nutrition will so mitigate the virulence of this malady [smallpox] as positively to prevent the pitting or pocking of smallpox." Is

While the discovery of bedbugs related to smallpox outbreaks came after Jenner's time, Jenner, in his wholly non-scientific approach to disease prevention, chose to fully ignore any role that nutrition, sanitation or poverty might play in incidents or severity of smallpox, or otherwise further investigate the true causes of disease and chose to focus instead on the formation of his "perfect pustule".

Jenner's whole life's scientific work and writings revolved around his obsession with pustules. He, and he alone, could determine what the "perfect" pustule looked like, both for extraction of diseased material (from the cowpox), and for manifestation of the pox in the vaccinated, after insertion of the diseased material into a cut or puncture of an arm.

From this position of self-accredited expertise, Jenner was quick to explain away the many numerous and constant vaccine failures seen at the hands of the ever-increasing army of his trained "vaccinists" by pointing out the imperfection of the pustule.

These other vaccinists or inoculators (Jenner often used the terms interchangeably, as he did vaccination and inoculation, when still referring to his particular "vaccine" brand of inoculation), often represented non-medical professionals, including clergymen (many of whom Jenner himself trained so that whole congregations could "benefit" from his poisoning), a fact which made it even easier for Jenner to place blame on the unskilled practitioner of his art form than on the failure of the art itself.

These laymen vaccinists were either accused by Jenner of not using the "purest" cowpox in their vaccines, or Jenner protested that the "time and quality of the lymph taken for inoculation" was incorrect^{lii} liii, that they had failed to

distinguish between a "perfect" and "imperfect" pustule liv, or for using the pustule at the "wrong stage" lv of disease. When failure to blame the vaccinists itself failed, Jenner did not hesitate to blame the subjects themselves for having "unusual constitutions". lvi

Jenner wrote in a rebuttal to complaints about his vaccines failing to work or causing smallpox, or worse, diseases and deaths, via a letter to his friend Mr. Dunning on July 5, 1804, "Every bungling vaccinist who excites a pustule on the arm will swear like G. it was correct, without knowing the nicety of distinction which every man ought to know, before he presumes to take up the vaccine lancet." Ivii

In the same year, writing to Lord Berkely on reports of vaccine failures, Jenner lamented, "I expect that cases of this sort will flow in upon me in inconsiderable numbers; and for this plain reason—a great number, perhaps the majority, of those who inoculate are not sufficiently acquainted with the nature of the disease to enable them to discriminate with due accuracy between the perfect and imperfect pustule," which distinction if not correctly learned meant, "... to inoculate the cow-pox is folly and presumption." According to Jenner, failure to properly identify the "superior pustule" explained why the vaccine often did not "take" and had to be repeated until it did.

As vaccine opponent, medical professional and researcher, Dr. Hadwen said of Jenner in 1923, "...he was one of those unscientific researchers who, like spiritualists, are on the look out [sic] for facts to fit their theories, instead of first making sure of their facts. His methods were those of a quack... But how any real scientist can accept his theories to-day is astounding..."

Inoculation and Ritualistic Medicine

Jenner's special brand of inoculation for smallpox was advertised by Jenner and his cohorts as being both safer and more effective than its predecessor, which was simply known as "inoculation."

Inoculation, also known as "variolation", describes an ancient superstitious practice originating in 15th century China and moving from there through the Far East, India, and Africa, and next to Europe and the New World by the 16th century.

Inoculation stems from the Latin *oculus*, meaning "eye", as in a bud or "eye" in the horticultural sense referring to grafting, whereas *variolation* refers directly to smallpox (the disease against which inoculation is meant to protect), also called "variole".

There were two generally accepted forms of the variole/smallpox disease called "variola minor" and "variola major", with the latter understood to represent the more deadly, full-blown version of the disease, and with the former referring to the lesser, less deadly version.

The inoculation or variolation practice generally involved scratching of the arm of a diseased person (or a pustule or pox on the diseased person), and transferring the infected material into a cut or scratch made on the healthy non-diseased person.

Cutting of one arm and mixing the blood from the cut into another was also anciently practiced in blood-oath rituals from ancient Hebrews practicing

blood covenants (often also involving cutting animals in half and stepping into bloody animal carcasses), to leaders of the seven Hungarian tribes at the end of the 12th century who cut arms to spill blood into chalices, to occult black-magic rituals still practiced by many superstitious people throughout the world today.

Lady Mary Wortly Montague, who herself lost her previous beauty to the ravages of smallpox, is famous for having brought the ancient, superstitious blood ritual of smallpox inoculation from the East to the West, after witnessing the practice during her travels in Turkey in 1717. By 1721, she had experimented on her own daughter during a smallpox outbreak, and next on six prisoners awaiting execution who were offered a pardon in exchange for their participation in the ritual.

But the new practice (possibly a contributing factor to why the disease persisted as long as it did in the East), in Europe and America appeared to result in new outbreaks of smallpox and/or death, as well as increased incidents of illness among the inoculated, leading to the banning of the practice in many places.

Mr. M'Pherson "superintendent of vaccination at Moorshedabad" in India, acknowledged in 1832 how the act of inoculation could keep disease alive...

It is melancholy to reflect, that a set of ignorant and mercenary beings (such as the Tickadars in this country) are permitted annually to regenerate the disease [through the practice of inoculation], and thereby keep up a continual source of contagion, by which thousands of lives are sacrificed.\(^{1x}\)

But a sentence later, in the same written account, M'Pherson boasted of how, by sending his own vaccine-encrusted ivory points to Calcutta (derived from the udders of sick, ulcerated cows living near him), this act of vaccination would equally help to spread disease...

I have pleasure to send some vaccine crusts and ivory points, armed with virus, taken two days since, from which I entertain no doubt the disease will be readily introduced in Calcutta; and should more be required, it shall be immediately supplied. Ixi

M'Pherson also admitted that by using the "new lymph" (from local sick cattle), "the symptomatic fever was more severe" than he had "ever observed in former cases", but attributed this "more marked" fever to having influenced the natives into showing "greater confidence in the efficacy of the operation", resulting in a larger turnout of natives for vaccination than previous months. lxii This idea that a medicine was proven to be "working", due to an increase in d

isease rather than a decrease, represented a complete reversal from the traditional practice of medicine—that it would help the patient recover from illness and feel better, not get sick and feel worse. This vaccination attitude continues to this day, setting this act of "medicating" totally apart from most other forms, even within the allopathic setting (with perhaps the exceptions of chemotherapy and radiation therapy).

Famous clergyman Cotton Mather was credited with the introduction of the practice in the New World in 1721. His method of inoculation involved the dipping of toothpicks into smallpox pustules from sick patients and smearing the mess into scratches on healthy persons' arms. Of the over 200 people he subjected to this nonsense, several died from poisoning, while a few suffered no reaction, with the rest suffering reactions of "varying intensity."

Angered at the outcome, Bostonians rebelled, issuing a public statement of their protest on July 22, 1721...

It appears by numerous instances that it has proven the death of many persons even after the operation, and brought distemper upon many others which have in the end proven fatal to them; that the natural tendency of infusing such malignant filth in the blood is to corrupt and putrefy it, and if there be not a sufficient discharge of that malignity by the place of inoculation or elsewhere, it lays the foundation for many dangerous diseases; that the operation tends to spread and continue the infection in a place longer than otherwise might be (as in the case of smallpox), that the continuance of the operation among us is likely to prove of the most dangerous consequences. Isiii

But the inoculation ritual did not stop there, it often involved much more than arm-to-arm blood mingling, but also bloodletting, purging and fasting for the initiate. In Jenner's own case, as an eight-year-old child, he was allegedly subjected to the abuses of the variolation ritual.

Professor of Bioethics, Tom Kerns describes the horrific experience with the following...

He [Jenner] was bled until pale, then purged and fasted repeatedly, until he wasted to a skeleton. He was denied solid food in favor of a vegetable drink that was supposed to sweeten the blood; after the inoculation itself—the least traumatic event of the entire experience—he was removed to an 'inoculation stable', and, according to an early biographer, 'haltered up with others in a terrible state of disease, although none died. His recovery, amidst the moaning and crying of other inoculated children, took another three weeks, and he was sickly for some time thereafter.'kiv

This ordeal was to "adversely affect" Jenner's "general health throughout his life." So it is no wonder Jenner himself was so opposed to the practice, and perhaps hoped to replace it with something better.

However, his alleged vaccination "discovery" was not his own, but "borrowed" from fellow countrymen, of whose discovery he must have been well aware, as it only predated his own by two years, making Jenner a plagiarist as well as a fraud, since he took full credit for the use of cowpox in preventing smallpox as his own original idea.

In 1774 farmer Benjamin Jesty had "made scratches on his wife and two sons using a darning needle, then rubbed material from the pock of an infected cow into them. Allegedly, when Jesty's sons were later deliberately exposed to smallpox, they did not come down with the disease." lavi

Other Englishmen by the name of Plett and Jensen, were said to have done similar experiments near the same time. So, it is not entirely clear who among them was the first to use the cow medicine, but it certainly was not Jenner.

When Jenner heard of this practice and sought to make it his own, he pretended he had stumbled upon the idea after having contemplated the

superstition among the countryfolk that dairymaids exposed to cowpox did not get smallpox.

To put this theory to the test, he procured the help of nearby milkmaid Sarah Nelms. In Jenner's notes he mentions that Sarah had scratched her hand *before* developing "cowpox". There was never any proof of an alleged cowpox germ, simply evidence that a blister had formed on her previous injury, likely caused by overuse from milking (with cows themselves apt to develop sores from over milking as well.¹)

But the idea forwarded by Jenner, was that the cowpox (a disease he assigned the fancy name of "variole vaccinae" lxvii in order to lend it a more scientific air and himself more creditability), represented the "pure" and original form of smallpox, but later changed this idea to the original form coming from "horse-pox" or "horse-grease" found in horse hooves—having assumed that horse farriers handling the infected hooves would transfer the disease to cow udders when milking just after attending to farrier work.

As this idea proved very unpopular, Jenner was ultimately made to "leave out the horse" (and later also to "leave out the swine", as he also experimented with swine material), from his vaccine mixes, if he wanted to secure vaccine profits.

But Jenner's idea of the "pure form" of disease later morphed into the reason for the vaccine's "success", which related instead to cowpox representing a weaker but similar causative agent, exposure to which could protect from the deadlier but similar (but also altogether *different!*) smallpox disease. This unproven idea would lay the basis for all future "vaccines" that allegedly use a less virulent form of a virus in order to protect against the true "full form" of the virus when, or if, later encountered—an equally unproven idea as Jenner's original.

But in all later vaccines, some form of the same alleged causative agent is used, not something derived from a completely separate type of disease and from a completely separate animal.

Today the commonly held belief about smallpox is that it is caused by a virus called *orthopox variola* and that cowpox is caused by a virus called *orthopox vaccinia* (after Jenner's "vaccine" terminology). However, like all other pathogenic viruses, neither virus has ever been properly isolated or biocharacterized, making their existences solely hypothetical (more on this topic in a later chapter). The use of the term "virus" in Jenner's time simply meant "poison", which is what *virus* means in Latin.

On the NOVA science program website, the process by which the smallpox vaccine is created is explained...

To create a vaccine that will protect you against a pathogen, you usually begin with that pathogen and alter it in some way. Not so with smallpox. To create this vaccine, you begin with another virus that is similar to the smallpox virus,

¹ I have personally milked goats and can attest to the extreme pain it often caused in my hands from only working half an hour per day, so I can only imagine the toll milking all day would take, easily leading to sores and blisters.

yet different enough not to bring on the smallpox disease once it enters your body. This similar virus is cowpox. lxix

The CDC echoes this sentiment by stating in its Smallpox Fact Sheet, under Facts about vaccinia: "The vaccinia virus, the virus in the smallpox vaccine, is another 'pox' type virus... The vaccinia is related to smallpox, but milder." But Dr. Charles Creighton, author of the 1889 book Jenner and Vaccination; a Strange Chapter of Medical History, understood the "similarity" in a much more accurate light and wrote, "The single bond connecting cowpox with smallpox was the occurrence of the word 'pox' in each name... To a pathologist or epidemiologist, it is as truly nonsense to speak of cowpox becoming smallpox as it is legitimate nonsense to prove that a horse-chestnut is a chestnut horse." Some years later in the early 1900s, Dr. Campbell's widely publicized findings from his long-term observations and experiments, pointed to the bedbug as the true cause of smallpox outbreaks, which led to the following resolution being adopted by the Bexar County Medical Society which stated...

Resolved, that we express our entire confidence in Dr. Campbell's experiments and clinical observations tending to show that the bedbug is the sole conveyor of smallpox, as the body-louse is of typhus fever, and we believe that further experience will lead to its complete demonstration. [IXXII]

Many other contemporaries of Campbell proved that smallpox was not contagious, such as Dr. Herbert M. Shelton, who claimed, "smallpox is about as contagious as stumbling over a rock", and Dr. Matthew J. Rodermund, MD, of Wisconsin, who offered \$10,000 "to anyone who could prove scientifically that smallpox is contagious" with no takers. but health officials held tight to the germ or "virus" contagion theory anyway, and the CDC still holds fast to the idea that the bedbug "does not transmit disease." Ixxii

In Jenner's first experiment, he cut open the pox on the dairymaid Sarah Nelms' hand in May of 1796, extracted the pus and inserted the material into a cut on his gardener's eight-year-old son James Phipps. After which incident, Phipps allegedly, "briefly developed mild fever, discomfort and, days later, chills and loss of appetite. But he recovered." And "in July 1796, on twenty other separate occasions, Jenner attempted to inoculate Phipps with human smallpox. But no disease [smallpox] developed." laxv

Only after Jenner's first experiment on the poor boy, without any long-term tracking, was success declared, shortly following which, concerted efforts (with the help of his friends in high places), were made to push the vaccine onto an unsuspecting public.

Jenner, thanks to his elite connections, was quickly able to secure significant government funding (10,000 and 20,000 sterling pounds respectively close to \$5 million in today's equivalent) for his "research", and ultimately able to convince the Royal College of Physicians and the British Parliament that his vaccine was safe and effective, and more importantly, capable of producing large revenues for both himself and for the government.

Early Vaccine Manufacturing

Jenner first used pus from Sarah Nelms' cowpox pustule, but afterward moved onto procuring the pus directly from the cow itself. The little-known process by which the manufacturing of the first vaccines was produced, involves the horrific torturing of helpless calves.

Rather than waiting to find the odd cow or calf sporting cowpox pustules, a cowpox factory had to be created in order to obtain enough vaccine serum for the masses.

The first step in the vaccine manufacturing process designed by Jenner, was to obtain a calf and shave its belly. Next, long incisions were made into the skin of the belly, into which the alleged "smallpox culture" was rubbed. After this, the calf, unsurprisingly, took ill with fever while the wound was permitted to fester and the poor animal kept from licking the wound, or allowed any other way to relieve its suffering. On the sixth day, "the calf is bound and strapped to an operating table, the vesicles are clamped and the mixture of skin, flesh, pus, blood and hair is scraped off, mixed, sieved and transferred to containers." Describing the process after witnessing it firsthand, Arthur Wollaston Hutton, author of *The Vaccination Question*, wrote...

... a realistic presentation at the Royal Academy of one of the wretched calves at the Vaccine Institute, with its stomach shaved clean of hair and punctured in some sixty places for the production of cow-pox lymph, might shock the British public into a sense of the disgusting folly of the [smallpox vaccination] situation. hxxviii

After first experimenting on Phipps, Jenner turned his torturous lancets and points to his own son, Edward Jenner Jr., at only 18 months old, and numerous times again over the ensuing years.

Both Phipps and Edward Jr. died by age 21, and suffered a variety of terrible illnesses throughout their shortened lives, including tuberculosis and mental retardation—but not, it would seem, smallpox, and so, "success" was still afforded Jenner's vaccine—particularly by Jenner himself who, in the spirit of doctors today, especially when it comes to adverse events following vaccination, was unable to make a connection between his poisonings and subsequent disease manifestation in his patients/victims.

Upon encountering Jenner's first victim Phipps in the street some years after his first experimentation on him, Jenner exclaimed to his good friend and biographer John Baron, "Oh! There is poor Phipps. I wish you could see him; he has been very unwell lately, and I am afraid he has got tubercles in the lungs. He was recently inoculated for small-pox, I believe for the twentieth time, and all without effect." laxis

Jenner at first told the world that only one dose of his miracle vaccine brew would be necessary to secure lifelong "perfect immunity". But overtime when this was proved wrong, with Jenner admitting that he was aware of some vaccine recipients also coming down with smallpox, he downplayed the numbers, referring to the incidents as "a few solitary cases of small-pox after cow-pox"

and laying blame upon the villainous "anti-vaccinist" for exaggerating these, "who might bring them forward [as] if they were ten times as numerous." lxxx John Baron also wrote of Sir Gilbert's clever spin on vaccine failure, which shifted blame of smallpox cases and deaths onto the continued practice of inoculation in some hospitals and by admitting to vaccination also causing smallpox, but only in a "milder form".

Sir Gilbert, according to Baron, "fully admitted the occurrence of those cases of mitigated small-pox after vaccination which were then prevalent. He showed however, from their general mildness, that they could scarce be called failures; for though vaccination sometimes fails in preventing small-pox, it seldom fails to prevent death." Even going so far as to assert that, "if every case of vaccination were to be followed by the mild or mitigated small-pox, it would be an unspeakable benefit to mankind, by disarming that disease of all its virulence and all its danger." lxxxii

This admittance may have been first publicly forced from Jenner after he was, as Hadwen wrote, "called upon to attend the son of Earl Grosvenor, who was suffering from confluent smallpox, although vaccinated in infancy by Jenner himself. He thereupon modified his claims for protective powers of his cowpox vaccine, and he was content to assert that vaccination had modified the disease so that the patient's life was preserved." lxxxiii

And so, Jenner was forced after repeated vaccine failures, to change this original declaration of "lifelong immunity" from one dose, to the need for two doses, to many dozens—depending on the alleged needs of the patient, while changing his declaration from protection lasting a lifetime, to several years, to ultimately as little as just one year.

Jenner had also claimed his beloved vaccination superior to previous traditional smallpox inoculation practices, but when met time and again with evidence of its failure to live up to these first claims, he denied any such pervious claims to superiority of effect in writing his friend Mr. Dunning on March 1, 1805, stating, "The security given to the constitution by vaccine inoculation, is exactly equal to that given by variolous. To expect more from it would be wrong." lxxxiii

This incredible admission to complete and utter failure to offer the world a superior smallpox prophylactic did nothing to stop Jenner from continuing in his lifelong efforts to vilify and stamp out traditional inoculation practices in favor of his own.

While Edward Jenner has managed to secure the fame as "savior of lives" he wished for, going down in the annals of history as having developed a miracle cure that eventually (200 years later), allegedly eradicated the scourge of smallpox from the face of the Earth, and while his own fellow Freemasons still praise him as the "father of immunology" whose "discovery of the smallpox vaccine in the late eighteenth century... has saved more lives than the work of any other man"lxxxiv—the truth lies far on the outskirts of this convenient fairytale narrative.

Eradication of Smallpox

Smallpox, typhus, and other fevers occur in common conditions of foul air, stagnant putrefaction, bad house drainage, sewers of deposit, excrement sodden sites, filthy street surfaces, impure water, and overcrowding, and the entire removal of such conditions is the effectual preventative of diseases of those species, whether in ordinary or extraordinary visitations. Exxxv

—Edwin Chadwick

Dr. Walter Hadwen, outspoken critic of Jenner and his superstitious, unscientific vaccination practice, and like Sir Chadwick, cites the real cause of smallpox, scarlet fever and typhus—resulting directly from crowded, impoverished and unsanitary conditions. Hadwen points out that since "time immemorial" Austrians have viewed the disease of smallpox as "the beggar's disease", as it always followed in the "wake of filth, poverty, wars, pestilences, famines, and general insanitiation², in all ages." And in the case of smallpox outbreaks amongst soldiers during wars, these occurred, "wherever conditions of unsanitation triumphed over the scrupulous efforts made to circumvent them." Insurvi

Hadwen credits the passing of the Public Health Act of 1875 in England with the lessening of smallpox outbreaks and credits Jenner's vaccine and compulsory vaccine laws with increased severity of outbreaks prior to the Act. And he states that with "less and less vaccination and more and more sanitation, smallpox has become a relative curiosity", and that "it is only in unsanitary quarters it can gain hold." lexxviii

Time and again, everywhere Hadwen visited, the story was the same, and as "records of Old London" also testified, it was found that "insanitation and smallpox [were] keeping company throughout."

The case of the epidemic of Gloucester, England (1895-96), was consistently used by Jennerian adherents to prop up the vaccination practice by declaring Gloucester an "unvaccinated city", which tactic Hadwen rightly called, "professional scaremongering." In his January 3, 1923, article, "The Fraud of Vaccination", he wrote...

Never in all [the] history of professional scaremongering was such a determined effort made to boost vaccination, and never a word was uttered as to the shocking insanitary conditions which produced the tragedy. In fact, those conditions were persistently denied by [the] officials who were responsible for them. lxxxviii

Hadwen blamed the outbreak on faulty sewage, as the outbreak was confined to the southern half of the city where "there was no fall for the sewage." Sewers which had been hurriedly laid "without concrete base or cemented joints" became clogged when a drought leading to a water supply

² It is interesting to note the likely relationship in the terms "insanity" and "insanitation" as being directly linked, with the sickness of the mind also possibly arising from living in unsanitary, impoverished conditions, since "sanitation" is defined as "the promotion of hygiene and prevention of disease by maintenance of sanitary conditions (as by removal of sewage and trash)" (Merriam-Webster dictionary).

shortage resulted in the inability to flush out pipes with many pipes then, or previously, broken. Hadwen mostly found tiny houses crowded together (and overcrowded inside), without any "through ventilation" and laying over "what was nothing more than a huge cesspit", while hundreds of houses were drawing water from shallow wells later found to be contaminated with sewage. And "in one street sewage entered the cellars of the houses." known in the contaminated with sewage.

Children who succumbed to the disease, as a result of overcrowding and unsanitary conditions at their schools, were taken to an "isolation" hospital, which Hadwen writes would better be called a "congregation" hospital due to the overcrowding within the hospital.

Conditions in the hospital were not only crowded, they were deplorable, and children who entered were lucky to exit alive. Additionally, common treatments for smallpox under the allopathic medical model, included "applying gauze soaked in phenol (also known as carbolic acid), or bichloride of mercury... daily baths containing bichloride of mercury were also used... [and] bromide drugs were given." Common effects of carbolic acid (a highly corrosive substance), application would show as skin blistering and inflammation, while notable effects of bromides demonstrate in "pustular skin eruptions—known as bromoderma, a sign of bromide toxicity."xc

Hadwen paints a gruesome picture of the horrendous conditions found in the isolation hospital with the following account...

They [the children] were allowed no water for their fevered skin, [the] baths were choked with dirty linen, and never used. The little ones were packed three, four, and even five in a bed; vermin³ was crawling everywhere; no oil was used for the faces, and the poor children scratched themselves till they bled. Of every two taken in to the Stroud Road Hospital one was carried out a corpse; when the mortuary became choked with dead bodies, the bathroom was utilized for this purpose. One child lay for two weeks and two days with her eyes scabbed and not a single drop of water was given her. When one hospital became full, another one was opened which had been used as a cholera hospital many hears before. It was built on stakes in a rough, boggy field; it had no sewerage connections, nor any drainage whatever, and water had to be carried in water-carts over a quarter of a mile of bog to reach it.xici

These abhorrent conditions were not unique to these particular isolation hospitals, but common during the 19th century in all "civilized" nations. Many others were built overtop of cesspools, presumably due to the ignorance of allopathic practitioners who refused to link proximity to open sewers with disease manifestation. Many cities were also rat infested due to the prevalence of uncovered garbage and waste strewn throughout alleyways. Many hospitals also housed large numbers of rats, to the point where infant patients ran a real risk of being *eaten alive* by the rodents.

In New York City at least one infant did, in fact, reach its demise in this very horrific manner at Bellevue Hospital in 1860, inspiring an article entitled "Rats in the Hospital" in *Harper's Weekly*, citing the case, and the conclusion at

 $^{^3}$ Use of the word "vermin" generally refers to bedbugs, as Dr. Campbell later discovered to be the true cause of smallpox outbreaks.

the inquest of the unfortunate babe that, "proper means be taken to rid the hospital of the rats that now infest the institution." xcii

When the rains finally came to Gloucester and the drought subsided, the water flushed the choked sewer drains and the epidemic subsided alongside it, so that the public easily made the connection, and hence the corrupted provaccination council members were ousted and replaced with anti-vaccinationists who laid a new sewage system and attended to sanitary defects in housing. After the sanitation issues were rectified, cases of smallpox disappeared, even with the city remaining 90% unvaccinated, while a full two-thirds of the smallpox cases during the epidemic had been in those *protected* by the "filthy superstitious rite."

Yet, Jennerian worshipers did not cease to point to the Gloucester epidemic as home to the graves of 279 unvaccinated children, with only one dead out of 8,000 vaccinated living children. This "fact" appears to be fully contrived, as it ignores the at least 27 more deaths of vaccinated, counted personally by Hadwen, known to him as he attended the sick and dying cases at the time. It is also possible that many of these alleged unvaccinated victims were in fact vaccinated, since the practice of the times at hospitals, and by Jennerian doctors, was to count anyone who succumbed to smallpox as "unvaccinated", particularly when their vaccination marks became covered in smallpox pustules.

This fanciful pro-vaccine story also neglects the fact that thousands of other unvaccinated children (since only four percent were vaccinated in the entire city), living in the northern *sanitary* half of the city, did not fall ill during the outbreak.

This attitude also served to conveniently ignore other epidemics that occurred in highly vaccinated populations, such as happened in the epidemic of 1887-88 in Sheffield, with a 98-percent vaccination rate, with 7,000 cases of smallpox among these vaccinated citizens representing victims who just so happened to live on 175 acres covered with cesspits in a part of town called "the Croft", where again, the scourge of smallpox was alleviated when rains washed away the filth.xciii

Rather than do anything towards ending the menace of smallpox, Jenner's cow medicine clearly served to contribute to more serious and widespread outbreaks and to the overall death toll.

The below graph^{xciv} shows that the decline of smallpox correlated directly with the decline of smallpox vaccination from figures presented by the British Minister of Health in 1923.

Years	Vaccinations	Deaths from	Deaths from	Deaths from
	per 100 births	Smallpox	Smallpox per	cowpox and
		_	100,000	other effects
			population	of vaccination
1872-1881	85.5	1,999	15.2	344
1882-1891	82.2	923	34.1	497
1892-1901	68.0	436	1.4	366
1902-1911	67.4	395	1.2	17.7
1912-1921	43.5	12	0.1	83

Add to this, a report from Dr. William Farr, Compiler of Statistics of the Registrar General of London stating...

Smallpox attained its maximum mortality after vaccination was introduced. The mean annual mortality for [the] 10,000 population from 1850 to 1869 was at a rate of 2.04, whereas after compulsory vaccination in 1871 the death rate was 10.24. In 1872 the death rate was 8.33 and this after the most laudable efforts to extend vaccination by legislative enactments.xcv

The citizens of Leicester, England, took note of the devastating toll vaccination had had on its township where a 95% vaccination rate resulted in England's worst smallpox outbreaks between 1870 and 1873. It was documented as, "the terrible smallpox epidemic of 1871-73, when many thousands of vaccinated persons contracted the disease, and several hundred died as the result of the alleged 'protection' (!) having lamentably failed in its hour of trial, produced in the minds of the thinking people of Leicester pronounced hostility against the blood-polluting quackery, which was found to be more baneful in its ultimate results than the disease it was supposed to prevent."xcvi

As a result, the people of Leicester abandoned the superstitious vaccination practice in favor of efforts to clean up the city, under the guidance of James Briggs, Sanitary Inspector and Town Councillor. A focus on garbage removal, clean dairies, markets, streets, homes and clean water supplies resulted in Leicester seeing only 19.3 cases of smallpox per 10,000 versus 123.3 cases per the same size in Warrington with a 99.2% vaccination rate.xcvii

But this success did not come without a fight first against the draconian compulsory vaccination laws of the time that harshly fined and even imprisoned resisters. As Briggs described in his 1912 book, *Leicester; Sanitation Versus Vaccination*, the people, "both men and women, who, rather than submit the health of their children to the blood poisoner's lancet, preferred the prison cell." March 23, 1885, saw the gathering of some 50,000 (and upwards of 100,000 according to some sources^{xcviii}), from "forty different anti-vaccination leagues, fifty neighboring towns, and representatives from England, Ireland and Scotland"^{xcix} during a time when 5,000 anti-vaccinists were being prosecuted with fines and imprisonment.

Signs held by demonstrators read: "The price of liberty is eternal vigilance"; "They who are whole need not a physician"; "Rachels are weeping all over the world because their children are not"; "We no longer beg, but demand the control of children"; and "The Three Pillars of Vaccination—Fraud, Force and Folly", among others, while some protestors carried an effigy of Jenner, which they repeatedly hanged, under the inscription of "Child Slayer."

The people of Leicester unanimously passed a resolution on that day to abolish the Compulsory Vaccination Acts, which they also burned during the protests.

Biggs documented the speakers at the meetings held the same day, with a Mr. Enoch Robinson M.R.C.S. stating that when the Vaccination Acts were repealed the people "shall witness a marvelous transformation by the intelligence of the medical profession; for many know, as we do, that vaccination, as a defense against small-pox, is one of the grossest superstitions that ever afflicted the human mind."^c

Sadly, this superstition is alive and well today, and has not become a practice that Jenner-critic, Dr. Charles Creighton, in 1889, hoped would "appear in as an absurd a light to the common sense of the twentieth century as bloodletting now does to us."ci

It was not only in England, but in countries abroad, where in every case of mandated vaccination programs, new and more severe smallpox outbreaks soon followed. In Japan in 1885, a new law (after 13 years of previous compulsory vaccination), that required revaccination every seven years, resulted in 156,175 smallpox cases out of 474,370 revaccinations with 38,979 deaths. And in the Philippines in 1918-1919, after 95% of the population was force vaccinated, the worst outbreak in the history of the islands was witnessed with a nearly 60% death rate in some areas compared with previous 10-15% death rates in earlier outbreaks.cii

The same horrendous results of vaccination were witnessed in the New World as well. The January 2, 1934 edition of the *Boston Post* summarized the vaccination struggle in Massachusetts...

The first compulsory vaccination law was passed by Massachusetts in 1855. It required that every infant must be vaccinated before reaching the age of two years: that no child should be admitted to any public school unless vaccinated; that all inmates of public institutions must be vaccinated; that the employees of all manufacturing corporations must be vaccinated as a prerequisite to employment and, to cap the climax, everyone must be vaccinated every five years. What was the result? In the twenty years following the enactment of this law there were 4221 deaths from smallpox in Massachusetts. The protection afforded by this law did not highly recommend itself to the people, and in time it was pretty much ignored, so that finally in 1908 the infant vaccination requirement was repealed without protest by anyone and without any bad results. [Emphasis, original]

The idea that smallpox was eradicated by Jenner's cow medicine is a myth, pure and simple. Only ten percent of the population at large was ever vaccinated, and after the vaccination programs resulted in repeated deaths and new outbreaks, the WHO (World Health Organization), finally abandoned mass vaccination programs in favor of "surveillance, isolation, and quarantine." ciii

It was the eventual abandonment of smallpox vaccine mandates coupled with improvements in sanitation and nutrition that resulted in the eventual eradication of the disease, not vaccination programs.

Pro-vaccine advocates will never admit to this fact, but will rather actively make any and every excuse they can to prop up their myth that vaccination eradicated smallpox, even stooping to such underhanded tactics (which continue to this day), of renaming the disease when vaccinated people clearly die from smallpox. In these cases, it is not smallpox, but "spurious cowpox" or "pustular eczema".

Still Jenner's "discovery" was a very lucrative one, as for the first time, it permitted physicians to attend to not only the sick, but the healthy, treating 100 percent of their catchment areas instead of only ten.civ

As Dr. Walter Hadwen astutely observed in 1896...

What Jenner discovered, though hardly original in its general purpose, was that it pays far better to scare 100% of the fools of the world—the vast majority—

into buying vaccines than it does to treat the small minority who really get smallpox and who cannot afford to pay anything. It was a great discovery—worth thousands of millions. That's why this kind of blackmail is still kept going.cv

From *Immunization: Theory Vs. Reality,* author Neil Miller presents the following bullet-point list to show just how smallpox was eradicated:^{cvi}

- Begin with—unsanitary conditions and poor nutritional awareness.
- This results in—regional and self-limiting outbreaks of smallpox.
- Conduct human experiments with—variolation.
- When this fails—conduct human experiments with cowpox, horsegrease cowpox.
- When this fails—deny it.
- When this fails—recommend revaccination.
- When this fails—manipulate statistics by renaming the disease.
- When everyone begins catching on, and vaccination rates drop, and cases of smallpox dwindle—take full credit for eradicating the disease.

The act of making scratches, punctures and later (post-Jennerian era), needle injections, always carries with it the risk of infection of some kind, because the act itself serves to introduce foreign material into the body that would otherwise be protected from entering, thanks to the protective layer of the epidermis.

In Jenner's day, and before, it was unlikely that instruments were very clean and certainly not adequately sterilized. However, it is impossible to ever fully sterilize anything, and the act of attempting to do so in today's age makes judicious use of poisonous chemicals, which enter the body along with the poisonous ingredients of drug syringe vials.

Also, the act of introducing any material, benign-seeming or not, through the creation of a wound (needle injections included), increases risk of illness by foregoing the normal route of entrance into the body, namely through the mucosal membranes of the sinuses, mouth, digestive system and sweat glands—all of which possess the ability to reject and remove anything unwelcome and threatening to the health of the body via the same passageways and through the acts of sneezing, coughing, urinating, defecating and also sweating.

Through bypassing the natural means of entrance with needle injection and lancet stabbing or point poking, poisons enter the bloodstream and lymphatic system, rendering them much more destructive when the body is denied its natural means of expulsion. And even a normally benign microorganism can wreak havoc when introduced to anaerobic conditions—so that instead of preventing disease, vaccination simply serves to prevent the body from overcoming disease.

It is no surprise then that both inoculation and vaccination practices resulted in so much death, illness and suffering. The only possible reason for its introduction must therefore be rooted in superstitious and/or religious beliefs.

New World Religion, the Church of Death

I believe in science.

—Every indoctrinated member of the new world religion

Critics of Jenner's day, and in the decades that followed, understood the practice of Jenner's vaccination for what it was; a superstitious, religious rite, rather than a scientifically based medical practice.

During the horrors of the 1895-96 Gloucester smallpox outbreak directly resultant from non-functioning sewer systems, Jennerian vaccine adherents answered the panic and fear of Gloucester's inhabitants, seeing an opportunity to gather more Jennerian cow-medicine worshipers into their fold (from Dr. Hadwen's account), by pointing their vaccine lancets "dripping with their filthy venom", crying one and all, "These be thy gods, O Israel!", "bow down and worship at the shrine."cvii

The shrine of the golden calf holding the magical cow serum—is a shrine not too unlike that of the ancient god Molech, worshiped by the ancient Canaanites to which children were sacrificed up.

French journalist and author Olivier Clerc sees modern medicine and its founding practices and ideas based in a "set of beliefs, myths, and rites" that it has "never freed itself from." In his book, *The New World Religion: How Beliefs Secretly Influence Medical Dogmas and Practices*, he writes...

...physicians have taken the place of priests; vaccination plays the same role as baptism; the search for health has replaced the quest for salvation; the fight against disease has replaced the fight against sin; eradication of viruses has taken the place of exorcising demons; [and] the hope of physical immortality (cloning, genetic engineering) takes priority over eternal life...^{cviii}

And Dr. Robert S. Mendelsohn calls modern medicine: "The Church of Death" whose "Four Holy Waters" are "1)- immunizations; 2) fluoridated water; 3) intravenous fluids; and 4) silver nitrate", all of which Mendelsohn describes as being "of questionable safety." cix

Dr. William Day echoed this sentiment of vaccines being of "questionable safety" in the 1930s, stating that, "It is nonsense to think that you can inject pus—and it is usually from the pustule end of the dead smallpox victim—it is unthinkable that you can inject that into a little child and in any way improve its health. What is true of vaccination is exactly true of all forms of serum immunization, so called, if we could by any means build up a natural resistance to disease through these artificial means, I would applaud it to the echo, but we can't do it."cx

And it is exactly that, as Dr. Day observed, "nonsense" to think that through poisoning a child or anyone with all manner of bizarre "serums", that health can be secured. Therefore, it is through the lens of understanding the practice of vaccination as a belief-based superstition and an initiation rite into the Death Cult of Modern Medicine, that we can view it in its proper place—a fraud meant to keep adherents, the members of the human "herd", enslaved to, and trapped within, the cult, through continued indoctrination and through force.

Herding Humanity

What is the collective term for humans? According to health authorities it's 'herd.' Gatherings of bison, caribou, cattle, goats, and moose are all herds so perhaps health authorities think we are cloven-hoofed.

—Jennifer Craig, PhD, Jabs, Jenner and Juggernauts; a Look at Vaccination

The tendency of a mass vaccination program is to herd people. People are not cattle or sheep. They should not be herded. A mass vaccination program carries a built-in temptation to oversimplify the problem; ...to discourage or silence scholarly, thoughtful and cautious opposition; ...to whip up an enthusiasm among citizens that can carry with it the seeds of impatience, if not intolerance; to extend the concept of the police power of the state in quarantine far beyond its proper limitation...^{cii}

---Suzanne Humphries, MD



James Gillray, 1802. "The Cow-Pock—or—the Wonderful Effects of the New Inoculation!"

In 1806 Simon Williams openly expressed concern that "chemicals derived from cows" from Jenner's cowpox medicine, would result "in people given the treatment being driven like lowing cattle by the government and business interests", was extremely prescient, and shows us that Jenner's anti-vaccinists were not as stupid as he made them out to be, and that people of his day were able to see through his farce to the real agenda—that being, to drive the people "like lowing cattle by the government and business interests", and that as Williams also warned, "anyone tricked by this malfeasance will become nothing but a slave..." "cxiii

This describes quite perfectly what is indeed happening today—the herding of humanity, driven by those who see themselves as fit to purpose, humanity's *herdsmen*, coming most certainly in the guise of government agents directly representing business interests over the interests, health and security of the common man.

The concept of "herd immunity" was brought forth by scientists from the University of Manchester in 1923, during immunization studies on mice and referencing other studies coming out of the Rockefeller Institute, who

concluded that, "the question of immunity as an attribute of a herd should be studied as a separate problem, closely related to, but in many ways distinct from, the problem of the immunity of an individual host."cxiv

So, this rather confusing "separate but equal", "herd" theory eventually led the way toward pushing the vaccine agenda onto the populace, through the repeated use of the term "herd immunity" and subsequent indoctrination of the people into believing that when it came to certain types of (alleged communicable) disease, a one-size-fits-all medical solution was merited when medicine was otherwise usually tailored to the individual.

Whether or not "herd immunity" was intended to allude directly to Jenner's cow medicine, the latter's forced-use upon the people of his day (and ever since), euphemistically turned them into satirical characters presented by Dr. William Rowley of Oxford University (circa 1806), "Master Jowles, the cowpoxed, ox-cheeked, young gentlemen, and Miss Mary Ann Lewis, the cowpoxed, the cow-manged young lady"cxv, as those injured and those left intact, equally found their place in this new "herd", as subjects trapped within a new collective, driven by the self-appointed herdsmen.

Collective ideology lies at the heart of the "herd-immunity" dogma. This concept serves to strip individuals of their hard-earned, cherished, individual rights and liberties, which the constitutions of "free" republic or democratic nations were written and instituted in order to protect.

Many bloody battles and wars were fought in order to safeguard these liberties, because by protecting the rights of individuals, they keep nations from being overtaken by tyrannical dictatorships.

But through a process, which former Soviet KGB propagandist Yuri Bezmenov calls Ideological Subversion, the people of free nations are conditioned to put aside their own needs in favor of those of the collective, through a process of intentional demoralization. It describes a form of psychological warfare, which according to Bezmenov, "what it basically means is to change the perception of reality of every American to such an extent that despite the abundance of information, no one is able to come to sensible conclusions in the interest of defending themselves, their families, their community and their country... Exposure to true information does not matter anymore. A person who was demoralized is unable to assess true information; the facts tell nothing to him."cxvi

Bezmenov claims that the minimum number of years required to demoralize a nation is 15 to 20, because it takes this many years to educate one generation of students. When speaking of this attack on America in 1984, he said that, "Marxism-Leninism ideology is being pumped into the soft heads of at least three generations of American students without being challenged or counterbalanced by the basic values of Americanism—American patriotism." Bezmenov says that under this psychological attack, the youth of a nation are taught that their nation's history and values are fundamentally corrupt, immoral and invalid.

Bezmenov calls this system of indoctrination; Socialist Marxism, but he is only partially correct. The true philosophical ideology forming the basis of the new totalitarian takeover (in all aspects of society, not solely medicine—but medical mandates effectively lay the foundation for this type of infiltration and subjugation), would more properly be defined as *Communitarian*.

Communitarianism is the real driving force behind indoctrination programs that convince us to relinquish our own rights and put aside our own needs in favor of the collective, or the "greater good", which would more aptly be called "the greater greed", as it is greed and lust for power of the few over the whole, which more accurately describes the true agenda.

Communitarianism was founded by Englishman John Barmby in 1841 only about twenty years following Jenner's death, and laid the foundation for Communism, and interrelated philosophies and systems of governance. In today's world it merges Communism and Capitalism, two systems that were once viewed as being completely at odds.

It is a word that is steadily creeping into the current vernacular and being tossed about by today's political leaders and prominent news anchors like FOX News' own Tucker Carlson, who recently agreed in a podcast interview that America was in need of a "strongman", or a new "Caesar" (but not to worry, a "good" Caesar), to steer the country back on course, and that it should be brought about under a "communitarian" model. cxvii

Communitarianism sounds like it might be a nice thing, as surely Communism also once did to an unsuspecting public, who had not yet viewed its ugly underbelly, or experienced its maleficence in action. Communitarianism sounds like it focuses on "community", so what could be wrong with caring about community?

This is exactly what we are meant to believe, that "community" (a word that is being incessantly bandied about in our pandemic and post-pandemic world today), is not just important, but *more* important than the individuals of which it comprises. Under this model, it is "community", the collective, or *herd*, that is put first.

But it is not the members of the community who are deciding the needs of the community, but rather a few elite (sometimes elected, often not), who decide what the community's, or herd's, needs should be, and how they should be met.

As Dr. Russell Blaylock, MD, observes...

A growing number are made of those with a collectivist worldview and see themselves as a core of elite wise men and women who should tell the rest of us what we should do in all aspects of our lives. They see us as ignorant cattle, who are unable to understand the virtues of their plan for America and the World. Like children we must be made to take our medicine—since, in their view, we have no concept of the true benefit of the bad-tasting medicine we are to be fed.cxviii

As merely a member of the herd or community, we are taught that our own needs are unimportant and that we should also be willing to sacrifice ourselves or loved ones for the alleged needs of the community "at large"—an idea which takes on a very vague connotation, especially when it extends to the "global" community; an all-encompassing idea that at its best, oversimplifies complex issues, and at its worst, sets the stage for one-world "community" or Communitarian governance over this global village or herd.

Our Communitarian herdsmen use psychological warfare by manipulating our natural feelings of empathy for others into setting aside our own needs and those of our families, under intensive social pressures that shame and guilt us into this surrender.

Under this intensive propaganda campaign, members of the herd are successfully hypnotized into setting aside critical thinking in favor of the praise they receive by the group for complying with tyrannical dictates. While we are certainly *not* herd animals, a feeling of belonging to a group is important to us, as we are indeed *social* animals—but there is a distinct difference between the two, and as such, they should not be confused.

In a free society, in which we are able to freely move about and visit with one another in person (and not forced into confinement, isolation and imposed travel restrictions or limitations on gatherings), we can choose where, when and with whom we associate, or not. We can form our own groups. Traditionally most often these groups were formed by families and neighbors. It was not necessary to travel far in order to find "community", and it was not necessary to seek approval from more than a small group of family, friends and acquaintances, because these connections were easily formed, and ran deep.

In restricted societies, as we are increasingly finding ourselves trapped within the world over, we are unable to forge natural connections or form natural groups, so we must fulfill our deep-seated need for connection by other means—by means afforded us by our herdsmen.

Because the new connections are by nature shallow and fragile, we must seek ever more of them in order for our need to feel part of a human society to be satiated. But because the new technologically based connections are so shallow and unstable, we feel increasingly isolated and lonely, which drives us to seek approval from anyone, anywhere.

And so, we seek as many "likes" as possible from hundreds and thousands of virtual strangers in a virtual world, yet still feel rootless and unsteady. This state of un-rootedness and loneliness leaves us more vulnerable to approvalseeking behavior on a wider scale, so that approval from politicians and strangers on the street gains a place of prominence it would otherwise not have secured.

And when our own immediate close groups made up of family and friends with whom we may retain some tenuous ties have been successfully indoctrinated into vaccine (or other oppressive) ideology, and who insist upon our vaccinating ourselves or family members in order to retain any semblance of inclusion in the group, this pressure to conform takes on gargantuan proportions, nearly impossible for most to resist.

In 2020-2021, after being forced into isolation or "lockdown" (a perfect example of Suzanne Humphries' predicted extension of "the concept of the police power of the state in quarantine far beyond its proper limitation" quoted at the start of this section), and effectively broken mentally and spiritually as a result, we were told we would only be allowed to go "back to normal" (aka, to be released from our respective prisons), if we achieved "herd immunity".

But we were also told this could only be reached through wide-scale vaccination (once a vaccine was made available), and not through any natural means. We were also, at first told (by the likes of Anthony Fauci and cronies),

that if 70-75% cxix of the "herd" compiled with the injection of synthetic, genetic-coding material in the form of an unapproved experimental drug into their bodies, we could reach this scientifically unsound, abstract "herd immunity" goal. This number was later changed to 90% cxx or more. And one injection quickly turned into two, and then three, and then four... with no end to the "boosters" in sight.

But there is truly no such thing as "herd" immunity to anything, as "immunity" itself is an untenable concept, which assumes that disease can be conquered and safeguarded against by a mystical bodily fortification, which, in the case of the vaccine and modern medical paradigm, can be granted solely through the artificial means offered up by the high priests and priestesses of the new world religion.

And even some of these medical/religious authorities told us in August 2021, that "herd immunity" (in the case of the new "Delta" variant), was in fact, a "mythical" concept.

"Sir" Andrew Pollard, credited with being a lead researcher on the Astra-Zeneca Covid-19 vaccine-creation dream team (so, an "unbiased" source of information about these vaccines then), said "herd immunity is not a possibility because it [Delta variant] still infects vaccinated individuals" (so a creator of the vaccine admits it does not work, *interesting...*). However, Pollard, while having just confessed that the "vaccines" are incapable of doing what they are supposed to do (create immunity/prevent infection in the vaccinated), claims that because herd immunity is impossible to achieve, *everyone* should be vaccinated, not just a certain percentage, because data from the Imperial College⁴ in London *suggested* "that fully vaccinated people *may be less likely* than unvaccinated people to pass the virus on to others." [emphasis, SR]

Pollard also said that he suspected that, "what the virus will throw up next is a variant which is perhaps even better at transmitting among vaccinated populations and so that's even more of a reason not be making a vaccine program around herd immunity", and that he and other experts called upon "all unvaccinated individuals to come forward", because the "higher the proportion of the population fully immunized, the lower the incidence of infection in the community."cxxii

What we, as readers of this nonsense are likely to "throw up next", is our lunches. The vaccine doesn't work and will likely work even less effectively for the next coming round of "variants"; therefore, everyone should get vaccinated... Why? Because while "vaccination" cannot stop transmission or infection it can allegedly still protect against "severe disease/hospitalization/death" and the "more people on the globe effectively vaccinated, the fewer viral copies we'll have on the planet, thus the less spread and fewer lungs in which for virus to mutate and spread the next wave of variants"... so says the esteemed Pollard. CXXIII

So, according to these vaccine-manufacturer-funded "experts", it is the fault of the "unvaccinated" for mutating viruses in their lungs, and "viral copies" are not being produced by the vaccinated (who were injected with a vaccine intended to do *exactly* that; make synthetic versions of the virus, forever), but by the *un*vaccinated.

⁴ As we will see, later in the book, this college is infamous for creating farfetched totally inaccurate predictions about disease infection rates and deaths.

And we are told by *CNBC* journalist, Holly Ellyatt, that, "vaccines overwhelmingly create immunity without causing illness or adverse complications, unlike the natural infection route", and that "antibodies procured by natural infection and vaccination usually protect against future infection." But, she says, "new variants [are] threatening (but not yet undermining) Covid vaccine efficacy", and that "experts don't yet know how long immunity to Covid lasts, although there is clinical evidence that immunity against the virus wanes over time." cxxxiv

Vaccines "overwhelmingly create immunity",... vaccines "usually protect against future infection" (create immunity),... vaccine efficacy is being threatened by new variants (excuse for complete and total failure of "vaccines"), and vaccines may not provide immunity after all ("immunity wanes over time"). Basically, the vaccines are pointless, but we should keep rolling up our sleeves for the next totally ineffective "booster" anyway... indefinitely.

The original premise of "immunity" derives from the observable phenomenon of a child falling sick with one of a number of "childhood diseases", and if recovered does not tend to fall ill with the same set of symptoms again (although exceptions exist). This same phenomenon has also sometimes been witnessed in adults in some cases of other "infectious" diseases, but not always.

The subject of immunity and communicable disease is a complex one (and will be explored at greater length in a later chapter), but is reduced to simple terms under the allopathic medical lens, which views one disease as caused by one germ and curable by one medicine (or "vaccine"), in full ignorance of other so-called "contributing" factors (which in reality often comprise the totality of factors).

The Modern Medical Death Cult requires adherence of its members to several key doctrines or dogmas, not least of which describes "herd immunity." Medical researcher Brett Wilcox, author of *Jabbed; how the vaccine industry, medical establishment, and government stick it to you and your family*, describes key three dogmas in his book pertaining to Vaccine theology:

Whereas the vaccines-are-safe-and-effective dogma assures parents and patients that vaccines safely prevent disease, the doctrine of herd immunity persuades parents and patients that they have a social obligation to vaccinate, that those who fail to vaccinate are 'freeloaders'—people who freely reap the benefits of vaccines while failing to assume their share of vaccine risks. The two doctrines have now combined in an irrational yet powerful third doctrine: vaccines protect vaccine recipients but only if everyone else vaccinates. Thus, the unvaccinated have morphed from freeloaders into diseased and filthy child abusers, child killers, and murderers. It is this third doctrine that vaccine believers and sociopaths wield to justify discrimination, mandatory vaccination, and just plain nasty behavior.

By comparison, ancient believers once threatened to kill nonbelievers to help them see the value of converting to the religion of their more righteous oppressors. Modern vaccine believers believe their salvation lies in the conversion and baptism by vaccination of all of humanity. Thus, believers view the unvaccinated not only as vectors of disease but also as the indispensable key to their own salvation from the ever-threatening hell of infectious disease. In truth, the third doctrine is a blatant disavowal of the doctrine of vaccine safety and efficacy, but vaccine sociopaths have long since banished Truth from fellowship in The Church of Vaccinology.^{cxxv}

By socially shaming, ostracizing and labeling members of the "herd" who refuse initiation into the cult as a danger to the rest of the herd, these individuals are effectively vilified, giving the vaccine manufacturers and their vested interests an excuse for any and all vaccine failures when the practice, as in Jenner's day, invariably ends in disaster.

But the (un-manipulated) statistical evidence proving that vaccinated populations result in greater incidence of the disease the vaccine is meant to eradicate, and greater incidence of other non-targeted diseases (in the form of "side effects" of the drugs), than in unvaccinated populations, is consistently ignored while the unvaccinated are repeatedly blamed for these clear vaccine failures.

The demoralization and ideological subversion of herd members under a Communitarian model of governance has led to the same having forgotten their own individual identities so that they are thereby unable to reason or to accept irrefutable mountains of evidence that flies in the face of their indoctrination. Instead, cognitive dissonance wins the day and the favorite unvaccinated scapegoat, representing the remaining minority of free-thinking people who refuse to be treated as dumb animals, is dusted off once again and the sacred cow of Vaccinology is preserved.

In calling out this scam for what it is; a "vaccine scam", acupuncturist Dr. Michael Gaeta perfectly sums up the issue with...

Vaccine-induced herd or community immunity is scientifically impossible. It is a brilliant piece of marketing, using guilt to coerce behavior and drive drug sales. It is twisted genius in action, making intelligent, independent-thinking people ignore their honest, well-founded vaccine skepticism, and causing the rest to accept unlimited vaccinations without question. cxxvi

An example of the success of incessantly-peddled, herd-mentality propaganda in 2020 to present, can be found in the previously anti-vax enclave of Marin County, California, which now boasts an 80% Covid-vaccination rate among children 5 to 11 years old, roughly double both statewide and national rates.

Physician-in-chief for Kaiser Permanente at San Rafael Medical Center, Naveen Kumar explained the dramatic change as a result of pandemic-isolation peer-pressure, saying that, "It kind of became the cool thing to do to get vaccinated". Kumar said that Marin parents who had been hesitant about the new injections "have been persuaded by their children's enthusiasm", an example of which Dr. Kumar saw in his own teenage son and son's friends, whom he overheard saying, "Can you believe there's this kid in my class and he's not vaccinated?" Kumar admitted that mandates or no, "You almost become a little bit of an outcast if you're not vaccinated." exxvii

Elite insider and "fiction" author George Orwell predicted our current sorry state of affairs in two future dystopian novels; 1984 and Animal Farm.

Orwell did not call the latter *Animal Farm* for no reason. The story, through parable, perfectly describes how humanity is herded by elite sociopaths. In this novel, a group of animals on a farm, after a successful uprising and removal of the human farmers, when attempting to form a new free society, are manipulated into greater subservience under a self-appointed few pig leaders who eventually walk on hind legs (an act they initially forbade), and replace the ousted oppressive human predecessors. Just like today, the *pigs* made the rules on the farm, but they also constantly changed them, and did not live up to their original promises.

Mattias Desmet, writing on "The Psychology of Totalitarianism", came to the same Orwellian pig analogy as I did. After the unthinkable happened, when in early 2020 the world followed China's horrifying example, when it adopted frightening draconian measures to allegedly stop the spread of the new virus, huge populations of people were placed "under de facto house arrest", otherwise known as "lockdown." But as Desmet points out...

It didn't stop there. We also saw a remarkable transfer of power. Expert virologists replaced Orwell's pigs—the smartest animals on the farm—to replace the unreliable politicians. They would run the animal farm with accurate ('scientific') information. But these experts soon turned out to have quite a few common, human flaws. In their statistics and graphs they made mistakes that even 'ordinary' people would not easily make. It went so far that, at one point, they counted *all* deaths as corona deaths, including people who had died of, say, heart attacks.

Nor did they live up to their promises. These experts pledged that the Gates to Freedom would re-open after two doses of the vaccine, but then they contrived the need for a third. Like Orwell's pigs, they changed the rules overnight. First, the animals had to comply with the measures because the number of sick people could exceed the capacity of the healthcare system (*flatten the curve*). But one day, everyone woke up to discover writing on the walls stating that the measures were being extended because the virus had to be eradicated (*crush the curve*). Eventually, the rules changed so often that only the pigs seemed to know them. And even the pigs were not so sure. CXXVIII

We are all trapped on the animal farm under the iron-fisted rule a group of pigs disguising themselves as humans, pretending to be better and wiser than the rest of us, but they are just greedy, manipulative pigs. However, they *think* they own the farm, and so, in this scenario some measures might be taken to "protect" herd animals if those measures benefit the farmers. But if some members of the herd start to cost the farmer too much, monetarily or otherwise, the farmer may deem it best to cull those "unproductive" members.

Therefore, if some "cows" become too old to produce milk or good meat, or bear the farmer productive offspring, and as such, having lived passed their usefulness for the farmer, they may be slated for culling. This model explains why the alleged coronavirus first "attacked" older, less productive members of the herd so that these members could receive the first round of cow medicine, which after it killed many of them; these "cattle" were declared dead from "other causes", or from "Covid-19".

After the lesser-productive members of the herd are culled, others may also be targeted. If you are a rancher and have a "wily bull" constantly jumping

fences, then, as a rancher, you might think it wise to eliminate the bull, especially when others in the herd may follow the bull's example and escape to greener, freer pastures. Another decision a cattle rancher or herdsman might make is to genetically modify his herd and future herds to be more docile and thus more easily managed.

The new Covid "vaccines" perfectly serve this latter function, as they are not traditional vaccines by any means (even though traditional vaccines were already filled with a long list of poisons never proven to safeguard or secure health in any form, but most definitely proven to sicken, maim, injure and kill^{cxxix}), but work to genetically modify the drug recipient.^{cxxx} (More on this topic in later chapters).

Also farm owners may see it as beneficial to brand and track members of their herd. The pandemic narrative, based on the very flawed Germ Theory of disease, and the equally flawed and invalid viral paradigm, enables the State to enact and act under "emergency measures" that allow for judicious use of surveillance technology, in full defiance of constitutional rights prohibiting such tracking measures.

The latest developments in mass surveillance technology have *mass*ively enabled this sneaky coup—a Communitarian Totalitarian takeover of our civilizations—allowing even for the contents of the new herd-medicine to contain substances that may serve to help further enable this invasive surveillance, in order to directly control our minds and bodies alongside its physical tracking potential (more on this topic in a later chapter).

A pivotal shift in society from holistic to reductionist, or *mechanistic*, thinking has aided in herding humans into accepting themselves as individuals that merely represent insignificant pieces of a more important whole.

The narrative of mechanistic science, "in which man is reduced to a biological organism" is, according to Desmet, a narrative "that ignores the psychological, spiritual, and ethical dimensions of human beings and thereby has a devastating effect on the level of human relationships."

This narrative, Desmet argues, "causes man to become isolated from his fellow man, and from nature. Something in it causes man to stop *resonating* with the world around him. Something in it turns human beings into *atomized* subjects." And it is this "atomized subject" that forms the "elementary building bloc of the totalitarian state." cxxxii

Desmet calls herding humanity by another name; he calls it "mass formation." And those vulnerable to it have been first groomed with mechanist ideology for a long time before. This ideology, "disconnected people from their natural and social environment, created experiences of radical absence of meaning and purpose in life, and it led to extremely high levels of so-called 'free-floating' anxiety, frustration, and aggression, meaning anxiety, frustration, and aggression that is not connected with a mental representation; anxiety, frustration, and aggression in which people don't know what they feel anxious, frustrated, and aggressive about."

"Mass formation", Desmet says, is a kind of group formation which makes "people radically blind to everything that goes against what the group believes." Someone under its grip will "become willing to radically sacrifice individual interests for the sake of the collective."

An example given is of communist leaders sentenced to death by Stalin, accepting their (most often unjust) sentences with an, "If that is what I can do for the communist party, I will do it with pleasure"—such describes a victim of mass formation, similar to mass hypnosis.

Under this spell, people will "become radically intolerant for dissonant voices", and "commit atrocities toward those who do not go along with the masses... they will do so as if it is their ethical duty."cxxxii

Dr. Hadwen described the same inability to reason found in vaccine adherents of his time, observing that, "The faculty of reasoning upon [the] subject [of the true origins of smallpox] appears to have become almost extinct; in its place there has arisen a demand for obedience to authority. Fashion has usurped the place of scientific thought, and arbitrary Acts of Parliament and the policeman's truncheon have supplanted logical consistency."cxxxiii

This mass formation, described by Desmet, if arising naturally, is generally short lived, and cannot "continue to exist for any length of time unless it is constantly fed by indoctrination and propaganda disseminated through mass media."

This is when and how the totalitarian state emerges, and it is "totalitarian" rather than simply dictatorial, because it is capable of controlling "public and political space... and also private space"—total control. And totalitarianism, says Desmet, is "always based on 'a diabolic pact between the masses and the elite", because the "part of the population that is in the grip of the mass formation... fanatically believes in the narrative distributed by the elite through mass media."

The free-floating anxiety described by Desmet that precedes becoming a victim of mass formation, also leads to totalitarianism, which is birthed directly from desperate, irrational and self-destructive responses to objects of fear: "terrorists", "global warming" and now "coronaviruses" numbering among them.

Desmet says, "Whenever a new object of fear arises in society, there is only one response: increased control," which ultimately becomes coercive, as people naturally push back against the increased controls, so that the "coercive control leads to fear and fear leads to more coercive control." It is in this way, Desmet explains, that, "society falls victim to a vicious cycle that leads inevitably to totalitarianism... and ends in the radical destruction of both the psychological and physical integrity of human beings." cxxxiv

And the brand of totalitarianism we are witnessing sweeping over the nations of the world today is in the form of communitarianism—which Niki Raapana calls, "tyranny with a smiley face." exxxv

Under this new form of governance, we have allowed ourselves to be herded for much too long—long before the "corona crisis", as Desmet calls it. We have been moving along steadily, shuffling our hooves, doing the Totalitarian Tiptoe, as with each new "object of fear" placed before us by the media, we fall into line or "formation."

Before the "viral" threat, under the threat of "terrorism", we were gradually, but effectively, herded into complying with ever-increasing levels of surveillance while being made to do arbitrary and absurd things, such as, removing our shoes and belts before boarding a plane, only carrying one to three ounces of "liquids" (including gels), allowing harmless items such as, forks

or butterknives or even ballpoint pens to be confiscated from us, and subjecting ourselves and our property to invasive physical and/or technological searches, which also electronically undress us.

We have been steadily conditioned to obey any and every new "security" measure put before us, no matter how ridiculous and ineffective-seeming, long before 2020. And we will continue to be herded, with the next object of threat looming greater on the horizon—"climate change"—a contrived threat that will be used to monitor and control us in ways that will make previous herding seem trivial.

In the meantime, we are incessantly herded into lining up for our injections. We are treated like children, as our leaders (the farm owners), condescend to us, and tell us in a patronizing manner to take our medicine like good little boys and girls, with prominent figures, including the US President and Vice President, and the British Prime Minister publicly getting their own shots to show us that, "see it didn't hurt"cxxxvii and tell us that is it "good for us."cxxxviii



Former British PM, Boris Johnson said he did "not feel a thing," when he took his Covid cow medicine.

While first Jenner's "point" left members of the human herd literally branded like cattle with its scar, and later vaccines such as, the early polio shots also left permanent scars, today's shots may not outwardly brand, but may leave a much more sinister internal mark through nanotechnology-created MAC/Bluetooth IP addresses or magnetism (as we will uncover in later chapters). While Bill Gates' GAVI ID2020 microchip injections have already been trialed on Austin, Texas homeless populations, allegedly to help the State to keep track of their medical records, while also providing them with a permanent digital ID.cxxxviii (GAVI hopes to provide this "service" to the rest of the world's homeless or otherwise impoverished populations as some sort of twisted "equality" effort... and eventually brand the rest of us with the same chips.)

One incredible example of an indoctrinated herd member telling the rest of the herd to comply without protest, actually stood at a podium before an assembled group in New York, and proudly said, to cheers and clapping from the masked group standing behind her...

I'm not asking what's in the infusion [Covid vaccine]. I'm not looking up all of the ingredients in the infusion. I am sticking out my arm, and I am taking the infusion!cxxxix

But we most definitely *should* be asking what is in the "infusion", and not simply baring our arms to take it while trusting our oppressors to know what is best for us. And that is what this book is really all about—what is in the infusions and why our leaders are so keen on injecting us with them.

If we can simply remember that we are *not* farm animals, but independent free-thinking sovereign humans, with individual rights to the pursuit of *health* (by our own definitions and not externally imposed ones), and happiness (not just upheld by constitutions, but granted us as a natural birthright), we can put the herding to an end by ousting the pigs and reclaiming our rights... before it is too late.

Chapter Four

Germ Theory and the Viral Paradigm

With Rockefeller's successful monopoly on medicine and war on "quackery", the real quacks have since ruled this field, and from the outset, Rockefeller medicine coopted science and technology to bequeath it an air of legitimacy. Through not only funding medical schools, but also medical research, so-called "top" scientists became initiates of the new religion of Science with a capital S, and Medicine with a capital M (or S&M, Sadism and Masochism), and slaves to the new masters—the elite cartel providing their precious funding grants. And Fraud (with a capital F, as in Fauci), Rockefeller's favorite pursuit, became the name of the game—coming to define medicine and medical research today.

Now that Science was properly set up to serve Medicine or, the Rockefeller Trust, all sorts of new fields of scientific medical study have emerged. The most relevant to our discussion being the field of virology, as most vaccines have emerged as the direct result of the viral theory of disease. The viral theory, or paradigm, claims that one particular virus is the cause of one particular disease.

Viral Paradigm another Sham, Viruses do not exist

But what exactly is a virus? It may surprise or even shock the reader to discover that the existence of any alleged pathogenic virus has yet to be proved. Instead, the existence of viruses is taken on faith alone—it remains a theory, not a fact. In the late 19th century, the Germ Theory of disease (another unproven theory, as will shortly be demonstrated), dominated allopathic medicine, during which time, causes of specific diseases were linked to specific bacteria.

Unlike viruses, bacteria could be (and have repeatedly been), viewed under centuries-old standard light microscopes, and later under electron microscopes. The first light microscope was invented by 17th century Dutch researcher Antoni van Leeuwenhoek with a viewing resolution limited to 0.2 micrometers (with a micrometer equaling one millionth of a meter). With this instrument, scientists have been able to view a large variety of both bacteria and fungi.

It was not until 1931 that the first electron microscope, capable of viewing microorganisms down to 0.1 of a nanometer (with one nanometer equaling one billionth of a meter), that science obtained an instrument able to prove the existence of viruses; alleged to be from 20-450 nanometers in diameter, much larger than the viewing resolution limitations of these new machines.

And yet, inexplicably, to date, no scientist has viewed or photographed any one of the "pathogenic" viruses they allege exist. Instead, they have viewed what they assume to be fragments of viruses or "viral particles", while virus genomes (the whole intact virus) remain figments of virologists' vivid imaginations judiciously aided by computer modeling software.

The viral theory was birthed in 1915 before an instrument was available capable of demonstrating the theory. The term "virus" comes from the Latin for "poison" and was often used by allopathic doctors well before 1915, and even used by Jenner in his day. This earlier use of the word was employed vaguely and non-specifically, but after 1915 took on a new specific meaning, used to describe pathogens undetectable through light microscopes of the day.

The general idea was that if scientists failed to find a bacterium or fungus associated with a disease, then a smaller entity must be to blame, one still invisible to them. But these were assumed to be either a toxic protein or enzyme, and as such, truly a type of poison, similar to other poisons found in the natural world, like snake venom, which is a toxic protein.

For some peculiar reason (known only to virologists), these "viruses", or toxic proteins, were not properly isolated or purified through standard processes used to isolate or purify and biochemically characterize bacteria, even when the electron microscope arrived on the scene making this task theoretically possible. These scientifically unidentified toxic proteins or enzymes, otherwise known as viruses, were also assumed to self-replicate once inside a host's cell and continue this process indefinitely if left unchecked, with the potential to sicken and/or kill the host through this replication process.

But by the early 1950s, it was noted that proteins could not self-replicate and thus their previous theory proved incorrect. And so, the virologists, their faith in the existence of viruses unshaken by this obvious set back, set their sights on the bacteriophage as the new model for viruses and the idea that viruses were pathogenic genes.

At the time, thousands of bacteriophages had been viewed under electron microscopes. Each one of them could be identified as consistently having a specific unchanging structure—a structure composed of a "molecule comprised of nucleic acid, which is enwrapped by a protein shell of a given number and composition." cxl

Bacteriophages were first called "bacteria eaters", as they were incorrectly assumed to originate independently from bacteria, and as such able to destroy the bacteria from the outside. But scientists were later (again) proven wrong in their assumptions when it was observed that bacteriophages (really a type of spore), rather than attacking bacteria and "eating" them, arose from within the bacteria, thereby, simply giving the appearance of consumption from without.

The spore phase of a bacterium (the release of bacteriophages) occurs when the environment of the bacterium degrades, such as with the withdrawal of essential nutrients. Once conditions for bacteria improve, the phages turn back into bacteria. The phage or spore form is a survival mechanism, and may arise during periods of illness of a host as a means of coping with subpar conditions resultant from illness (or causative of illness)—it is not the cause of disease, but rather a disease coping tool and recovery strategy staged by the inherent wisdom of the body. They, in and of themselves, are not the enemy, but are wrongly treated as such by modern medicine and science.⁵

⁵ Indeed, it is preposterous to attempt to single out specific bacteria or other microorganisms as causing disease from billions or trillions said to populate our bodies and environments—the greater part of which have not been, nor ever can be properly studied. Also, the commonly held assumption among virologists and bacteriologists is that we now possess microscopes capable

Even after it was discovered that bacteriophages arise from within bacteria rather than attack bacteria from without, and that they turn back into bacteria once conditions in their environments improve, virologists continued to base their belief in viruses upon this model, without ever having seen these mystery viruses, as they had with bacteriophages. In the face of mounting irrefutable evidence to the contrary, virologists hold fast to their faith and continue to assert that pathogenic viruses exist in the shape, size and characteristics they decide, without offering valid proof.

The only viruses which *have* been scientifically proven to exist through the long-established trusted means of gradient-centrifuge isolation and electron-microscope bio-characterization, have been those found in sea algae and demonstrated to be not only benign, but potentially beneficial. This was done by Dr. Stephan Lanka in the 1980s. cxli Since his successful isolation and characterization of sea algae viruses, no other viruses to date have been successfully isolated and characterized.

Medical researcher and author, James McCumiskey has spent well over fifteen years (since 2006) writing hundreds of inquires under the Freedom of Information Act, petitioning relevant health authorities for proof of pathogenic virus isolation and has been consistently met with the same response—no record exists because viruses are not isolated.

In recent years, his letters have been focused directly on the National Virus Reference Laboratory in Dublin (his city of residence), where one would expect to find proof of virus isolation if anywhere at all.

McCumiskey asked for the proof of the existence of any virus, including; measles, mumps, rubella, and also SARS-CoV-2, to no avail. What he has discovered is that no records can be supplied upon his request because they do not exist, and no one is required to "create a new record" in order to meet a demand from the public for proof of the existence of viruses.

Neither do the National Virus Reference Laboratory employees nor investigators petitioned by McCumiskey at the Office of Information, see a problem with the "no records" response.

But for James, there is a glaring problem, one we should all recognize. His response is, "To any thinking person I think there is a huge problem. If these viruses do exist then surely it should be possible to isolate or purify them... The point is they have never purified any alleged pathogenic virus and if they had, they could get the entire genome and they would know it."cxlii

By way of example, below is an excerpt of a letter James received from the University of College Dublin Records Management and Freedom of Information Unit by the Information Compliance Manager, Debbie Scanlan, dated February 26, 2021, in response to his request for information regarding the diameter of the SARS-CoV-2 virus and others, Reference No. FOI12_1_673:

of viewing down to sizes of viruses and bacteria required for categorizing and treating disease. But what of other even smaller organisms not yet viewable with our current technologies? Surely there must exist even smaller entities than viruses? Could these also be blamed for disease? By Germ Theory logic, they could. But this supposition is not any more plausible or scientific than blaming demons or other unseen entities for illness.

I refer to your request under the Freedom of Information Act 2014, for access to records held by the University. You requested, 'I request from the NVRL at UCD the diameter of the following viruses in nm (nanometers) Mumps, Measles, Rubella, SARS-COV-2, SARS-COV-1.'

The FOI Act provides for a right of access to records held by FOI bodies. The Act does not require FOI bodies to answer questions or to create records, which do not exist. Where a request is made in the form of a question or for specific information, it will be treated as a request for relevant records that exist as of the date of the request that contain the information sought.

Having considered the provisions of the Act, I must refuse access to the requested records as they do not exist. As per our previous decisions in response to requests of a similar nature received from you, the NVRL have advised that they do not isolate pathogenic viruses and therefore they do not hold records relating to the diameter of such viruses... [emphases added, SR]

Michael S., another active citizen petitioner out of New Zealand, who, like McCumiskey (along with his colleague in Canada, Christine Massey), has been relentlessly sending FOIs to world health authorities asking for proof of virus isolation and been met with similar responses.

Specifically, he sent an August 9, 2020 request to the CDC for...

All records in the possession, custody or control of The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells, aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient). Please note that I am using 'isolation in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where 'isolation of SARS-COV-2' refers instead to: the culturing of something; or the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test); or the sequencing of something. Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the CDC or that pertain to work done by the CDC. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the CDC has downloaded or printed. Caliii

This petitioner was met with the response of "A search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request."

Thousands of other such requests have been sent to health authorities all over the world, most especially in the wake of the Covid pandemic hoax, but when given a response at all, the requesting parties are given the same type of brush-off that McCumiskey and Michael have received.

Authors of the 2007 book, Virus Mania—How the Medical Industry Continually Invents Epidemics, Making Billion Dollar Profits at Our Expense, Torsten Engelbrecht and Claus Kohnlein, sent inquires to the World Health Organization, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Robert Koch Institute. One such letter sent to the latter in 2005, makes the following requests:

- 1. Please name the studies that indisputably show that the SARS, hepatitis C, Ebola, smallpox and polio viruses and the BSE causative agent have been proven to exist (complete purification, isolation and definition of biochemical properties plus electron micrographs).
- 2. Please name studies that indisputably show that the viruses named above cause disease (and also that other factors like malnutrition, toxins, etc. do not at least co-determine the course of disease).
- 3. Please name at least two studies that indisputably show that vaccinations are effective and active.

They did not receive a response naming even one study.

Virus Isolation Hoax

Logic would dictate that "virus isolation" would have something to do with *isolating* a virus from surrounding material in order to identify it independently of its environment. "Isolation" should enable a virologist to accurately identify and characterize a virus for categorization and further study. It should describe a process that would enable one to view the virus in its entirely and describe its biochemical makeup and size.

Much ado has been made about Koch's Postulates, since no scientist has met the criteria set for either proof of isolation or pathogenicity of microbes set by the "father of microbiology"; Dr. Heinrich Hermann Robert Koch (1843-1910), since he introduced them. Below are the four postulates:

- 1- The microorganism must be found in abundance in all organisms suffering from the disease but not found in healthy organisms.
- 2- The microorganism must be isolated from a diseased organism and grown in pure culture.
- 3- The cultured microorganism should cause disease when introduced into a healthy organism.
- 4- The microorganism must be re-isolated from the now diseased experimental host, which received the inoculation of the microorganism and identified as identical to the original specific causative agent. cxliv

Microbiologists have successfully isolated thousands of bacteria and fungi by way of centrifugation and filtration and subsequent observation under microscopes. However, at no time have all four of Koch's postulates ever been met, not even by Koch himself.

Later, in 1937, Dr. Thomas Rivers, modified the postulates intended for bacterial isolation (as they predated virology), for virus isolation and identification purposes, since no virologist (or microbiologist) had been able to meet Koch's postulates.

The modified postulates read as follows:

- 1- The virus can be isolated from diseased hosts.
- 2- The virus can be cultivated in host cells.
- Proof of filterability—the virus can be filtered from a medium that also contains bacteria.

- 4- The filtered virus will produce a comparable disease when the cultivated virus is used to infect experimental animals.
- 5- The virus can be re-isolated from the infected experimental animal.
- 6- A specific immune response to the virus can be detected. extra calculations of the contract of the contract

Even after the trouble taken by Rivers to modify Koch's postulates (known as Rivers Postulates), no microbiologist or virologist has *ever* met them all. As a result, there has been a (widely unpublicized) outcry against this crime, as there well should be when a scientific fraud continues unchecked. But where is the outcry over the postulates to begin with—ones which clearly insist upon cruel animal and human experimentation in order to be met?

Instead of following the possibly logical-but-cruel postulates of Koch or Rivers, a new "isolation" process put forward by Dr. Thomas Peebles in 1954 (for which absurdity he won the Nobel Prize), has been widely adapted and accepted by virologists to prove both the existence of viruses and pathogenicity, and to also culture "viruses" for manufacturing vaccines.

No less cruel, and arguably exceedingly more so, the new isolation process calls for tissue samples to be collected from lab animals and livestock, a process that requires that the animal's life be sacrificed (albeit, given the deplorable treatment the animals receive while in captivity, their deaths could be viewed as a mercy, however, many animals are procured for the sole purpose of extracting their kidneys for cell culture/tissue samples).

In the case of a commonly used cell culture in viral isolation experiments, fetal bovine serum (FBS), the horrifying harvesting process is hidden from public view. Below is an accurate account of commonly employed FBS harvesting techniques...

Fetal bovine serum (FBS) is a common component of animal cell culture media. It is harvested from bovine fetuses taken from pregnant cows during slaughter. FBS is commonly harvested by means of a cardiac puncture without any form of anaesthesia. Fetuses are probably exposed to pain and/or discomfort, so the current practice of fetal blood harvesting is inhumane. Apart from moral concerns, several scientific and technical problems exist with regard to the use of FBS in cell culture. Carloi

In addition to FBS, "Vero" and "LLC MK2" are also used. These describe monkey kidney cells derived from freshly harvested kidneys extracted from captured and imprisoned African Green monkeys and Rhesus monkeys.

Once the bovine or monkey cell cultures are procured, the "isolation" fraud can begin. Simply put, what Peebles did in 1954, and has been replicated countless times since, was to take a fluid sample from a sick patient given a specific disease label (harvested fluid is typically sputum or urine), and add it to the cell culture. If, thereafter, decay of the cell culture is witnessed, a pathogenic virus or bacterium is assumed to be the responsible party, and "isolation" success is declared.

No control experiments are ever conducted. Meaning, no fluid samples are taken from healthy volunteers and put to the same test. And no cultures are simply observed after the same period of time without samples added to them

at all. In short, the scientific method is not employed to prove the existence and pathogenicity or isolation of the alleged virus in question.

In these cases, fraud is most certainly being perpetrated, especially when we examine just how the cell cultures are prepared after harvesting for use in these experiments. The preparation process alone, which involves the addition of bactericides and dyes, degrades the samples and could easily be responsible for any cell decay witnessed. This process contaminates the samples and should (if real science was being employed), be considered invalid along with any experiment utilizing the same.

Ironically, virologists excuse the practice of adding antibiotics and other anti-bacterial agents to tissue cultures for the purposes of eliminating contamination potential from other microbes, even though viruses are not considered living organisms and fluid samples taken from patients are not treated with the same. So, how do they know which of the possible millions or more microorganisms undoubtedly contained in the patient fluid samples are responsible for causing the decay in the target culture, if no microorganism or virus is first removed from the sample? The answer is simple—they do not know.

But the act of poisoning the culture with these agents itself contaminates the culture and causes cellular decay. The very fact that the sample, after being taken from the host, immediately begins to decay, as cells naturally die, also affects the outcome of the "isolation" test.

After the fluid sample taken from the sick patient is injected into the previously treated cell culture, the person conducting the experiment is made to wait 48 hours before checking the results. Calvii The "scientist", in this case, only observes the cell culture under the lens before the addition of the poisons and 48 hours after the addition of the patient's fluid sample—never after the tampering with the culture and before the addition of the patient fluid sample. Thereby, making it impossible to know if the poisoning with antibiotics and dyes had any adverse effect upon the sample before the addition of the sputum or urine. This omission constitutes fraud and nullifies the whole experiment, but in today's "science", the experiment passes as valid and is used to prove "virus isolation."

Incredibly, the process of killing the cell culture is also justified as *necessary* for preparing the sample for observation under the electron microscope; the only microscope deemed capable of viewing objects as small as alleged viruses.

The Scientific Learning Hub explains this insanity for us...

Electron microscopes are very powerful tools for visualising biological samples. They enable scientists to view cells, tissues and small organisms in very great detail. However, these biological samples can't be viewed on electron microscopes whilst alive. Instead, the samples must undergo complex preparation steps to help them withstand the environment inside the microscope. The preparation process kills the tissue and can also cause changes in the sample's appearance.

For scientists who wish to view biological samples, this poses a challenge – how can the sample be preserved so that it looks as much as possible like it would in the living organism, while still being able to withstand being visualised in the electron microscope.cxlviii

To recap, virus "isolation" is allegedly "proven" by demonstrating evidence of cell death in live cell cultures after the addition of bodily fluids, assumed to contain pathogens capable of such destruction. The fact that the same live culture must *first* be *deliberately killed* by various processes involving the addition of poisons in order to view the same culture in determining "cell death" from pathogens, is totally inconsequential!

The sample is, in fact, no longer "biological" after it is rendered non-biological, as in, non-alive. *Biology* describes life, derived from the root word, *bios,* from Greek, meaning "life", and compounded with *logy*, meaning "the study of" which is why *anti-biotics* literally means *anti-life.* And they do just that; kill all living microorganisms in their path, indiscriminately, and thereby (as the name suggests), also threaten the lives of anyone who is given them.

Of course, the destroyed tissue will have a "different appearance" after it has been deliberately killed. And it is this changed appearance which allows the esteemed virologists to declare success of viral isolation!

Biologists and microbiologists alike do not actually study life, they study death, primarily making their observations on dead cells and tissues. Biochemist Erwim Chargaff, acutely aware of this contradiction, wrote...

The attempt to find symmetry and simplicity in the world's living tissue has often led to false conclusions... We do not even have a scientific definition of life [and] indeed, the most precise tests are carried out on dead cells and tissues...d

And Virus Mania authors, Englebrecht and Köhnlein add to Chargaff's observation...

Laboratory experiments on tissue samples, which are tormented with a variety of often highly reactive chemicals allow few conclusions about reality. And yet conclusions are constantly drawn and then passed straight on to the production of medications and vaccines.^{cli}

Even standard "light" microscopes, which preceded the electron microscope, actually block UV light, significantly reducing what is viewable in a tissue or blood sample. The very act of viewing through lenses, whether it be through a microscope, telescope or camera lens, distorts images and thus distorts our observations of reality.

German Jesuit "scholar and polymath" Athanasius Kircher (1602-1680), glorified in the annals of history and the Internet pages of *Wikipedia* as a genius-level distinguished Catholic clergyman and author of "40 major works, most notably in the fields of comparative religion, geology and medicine", is also credited with being "one of the first people to observe microbes through a microscope" clii—an invention credited to 17th century Dutchman Antoni van Leeuwenhoek.

Through the new lens, Kircher allegedly made a link between "little worms" (he also called *animalcules*, a fancy Latin name for "little animals") that he observed in Plague victims' blood and allegedly in the spread of Plague. While assuming that (for making a connection between the little animals or microbes and disease transmission), Kircher was "ahead of his time" and "correct",

officialdom admits that probably what Kircher actually observed was red or white blood cells, and not little creatures at all (so, *not* in fact "correct" in the slightest!).cliii

And apparently (according to the bastion of truth, *Wikipedia*), we have Kircher to thank for present-day disease prevention measures such as, "isolation, quarantine... and wearing facemask[s] to prevent the inhalation of germs." This from the same man who did not know the difference between blood cells and little worms, and from the same man who conducted extremely cruel and sadistic experiments on innocent creatures for his under-the-lens viewing pleasures. One such "study" Kircher happily describes in gruesome detail in his incredible contribution to "science"...

It also happens 'if you cut a snake into little pieces, soak them in rain water, expose them for some days to the sun, bury them for a whole day and night in the earth, and then, when they are soft with putridity, examine them with a microscope' — except here what you see is the decaying mess swarming with little 'snakes'.clv

In addition to his contributing microscopic observations of "little worms" and "little snakes", which kick-started the false Germ Theory long before Pasteur, and for contributions to a number of wide-ranging unrelated fields (for which his contributions proved equally false and useless, although he is still mysteriously praised for each and every one of them), we can thank the polymath genius for his very imaginative map of the Earth's interior called *Pyrophylaciorum* or "Veins of the Earthly Lava Flow", (from his book *Mundus Subterraneus*, or "Subterranean World"), which just coincidentally happens to closely resemble the computer-generated models of the SARS-CoV-2 "coronavirus."



Jesuit Athanasius Kircher (1602-1680): "Veins of Earthly Lava Flow" (Pyrophylaciorum) from his book Mundus Subterraneus (Subterranean World).

Like Kircher, the esteemed Dr. Koch, about whom everyone continually raves (even those against Germ Theory and the Viral paradigm), was just another sadist and fraud. Koch adamantly believed bacteria caused disease and focused his studies on the tubercle bacillus, which he allegedly "proved" caused tuberculosis, even in the absence of being able to fulfill his own postulates. In

the famous medical journal, *The Lancet*, an article from 1989 showed evidence that from 14 to 50 percent of TB patients were lacking the tubercle bacillus bacterium, and that nobody "ever found a tubercle bacillus in the early stages of tuberculosis", the absence of which at the "onset of disease demonstrates conclusively that it cannot be the causal agent." clvi

Koch then erroneously believed that he had extracted a substance from this bacterium that would cure the disease of TB. But, "when he injected it into patents... they got worse or died." clvii

In 1883, the year Koch "discovered" his precious "M. (Mycobacterium) tuberculosis bacillus", he had also developed new staining chemicals in order make this bacterium "more" visible. Meaning that he corrupted the observation process by "destroying the scientific method and adding variables rather than subtracting and isolating them", in order to prove-out a pre-conceived notion, for which practice he won the Nobel Prizeclviii (like so many other frauds before and since, especially anyone willing to commit fraud in perpetuating the Germ Theory of disease, or any other theory or invention that contributes to the profits of and control held by the medical orthodoxy).

Koch actually was not able to find his tubercle bacillus without staining dye, after he heated and dried the tissue sample with alcohol. The dye in question was the toxic methylene blue, which he added to another toxic substance—potassium hydroxide (lye). Tom Cowan and Sally Fallon Morrell rightly ask, "When he injected the organism stained with these poisons into animals, they got sick. But what caused the illness, the bacillus or the poisons?"clix

In 1906, Koch traveled to East Africa to "find a cure" for trypanosomiasis, aka "sleeping sickness", attributed to a vector-borne parasite. Upon arrival, he and his team of assistants set up a "concentration camp" for African sleeping sickness patients, in which Koch judiciously "treated" them "with Atoxyl—a reagent containing arsenic—even though it was known to cause pain, blindness, and even death." clx

For Koch's contributions to medicine, in addition to winning the Nobel Prize, he was granted a "Royal charter" by Friedrich Wilhelm Albert, the German Emperor and King of Prussia, to open the "Royal Prussian Institute for Infectious Disease", later renamed in 1942, "the Robert Koch Institute" by which time it will have been used as a "workshop of horrors" in "conducting experiments on prisoners that would make the Inquisition blush." clxi

Even if one were to undertake Koch's sadistic postulates and "purify" or "isolate" a virus (or bacterium), from a patient's fluid sample, the methods available (apart from Peebles' nonsensical approach), include, ultracentrifugation and electrophoresis. With centrifugation techniques, the fluid is rapidly spun and then filtered in order to "purify" proteins from "other cellular components". With electrophoresis, "the movement of electrically charged particles in a fluid under the influence of an electric field, the particles migrate toward the electrode of the opposite electric charge, often on a gelcoated slab or plate, sometimes in a fluid flowing down a paper... electrophoresis is used to analyze and separate colloids (e.g.) proteins."clxii

Virologists used these processes in order to prove a "virus" was "alive" after visualizing particles under electron microscopes. But nothing remaining

after these purification processes can be called "alive", following particle separation. Any substance can be centrifuged into smaller particles. The presence of these particles is not proof of the existence or "aliveness" (which might be called "replication potential"), of "viruses" or antibodies—also considered proteins which could be "purified" in this same manner. And no virologist or other alleged scientist has witnessed antibodies circulating in the bloodstream attacking "viruses."

An example of a virus isolation process, in fact, of "a Novel Coronavirus" (but not *the* Novel Coronavirus, as this one is from 2012, rather than 2019, so apparently not that "novel" after all...) "from a Man with pneumonia from Saudi Arabia" clxiii, describes the bizarre process as follows...

- Blood samples were collected in vacutainers with and without EDTA.⁶ [translation: some samples were contaminated with an acid for binding iron to calcium ions, we are not told which ones]
- Sputum samples were collected in sterile cups, after which virus transport medium⁷ was added... [translation: samples are further contaminated with foreign material, i.e., phosphate-buffered saline]
- ...samples were stirred and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes. [translation: the samples were radically altered by separating particles]
- Supernatant was transferred to a new sterile tube and used to inoculate Vero and LLC MK2 cells⁸ by adsorption for 1 hour at room temperature... [translation: sterilizing chemicals are added along with monkey kidney cells, further destroying the sample's integrity]
- ...after which 1% fetal bovine serum⁹ in minimal essential medium Eagle¹⁰ was added. [translation: further contamination from fluids extracted from calf fetuses and "MEM" a long list of chemical additives]
- Flasks were incubated in a carbon dioxide incubator at 37°C [98.6 °F] and observed daily for 15 days for cytopathic changes with change of medium every 3 days. [translation: the whole concoction is now heated for maximum cell death and destruction of the sample.]

_

⁶ EDTA is not explained in the isolation paper but stands for: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; an aminopolycarboxylic acid with the formula [CH2N(CH2CO2H)2]2. Used to bind iron and calcium ions.

⁷ Phosphate buffered saline, a simple salt solution commonly found in hospitals and clinical laboratories, (as example of a typical transport medium defined as "A nutrient substance (usually a buffered liquid) used to carry and maintain the viability of specimens to a microbiology laboratory for identification and analysis of disease-producing viruses." (Cirucci, *Disciples of Ra* p. 88)

⁸ Both Vero and LLC MK2 cells are extracted from kidneys removed from slaughtered African Green Monkey, hopefully after they were killed.

⁹ Fetal Bovine Serum is derived from calves ripped from the wombs of pregnant cattle slated for slaughter.

¹⁰ Minimal Essential Medium (MEM) is a synthetic cell culture medium developed by Harry Eagle first published in 1959 ... that can be used to maintain cells in tissue culture. It is based on ... calcium chloride, potassium chloride, magnesium sulfate, sodium chloride, sodium phosphate and sodium bicarbonate, supplemented with ... thiamine ... riboflavin ... nicotinamide ... pantothenic acid ... pyrodoxine ... folic acid ... choline, and myo-inositol ... (Cirucci, *Disciples of Ra p. 91*, source: *Wikipedia*)

As author Giovanni Cirucci rightly stated after presenting this same "Isolation" paper extract in his book *Disciples of Ra*, at this point, "If there's anything remotely resembling original tissue, what's left of it is considered 'altered by a virus' and labeled as 'cytopathic changes." "clxiv

Isolation instructions for technicians from the CDC, found on its website (2001), in the article, "Isolation and Identification of Measles virus in Culture"clxv are summarized as follows:

- Obtain a small fluid sample (saliva or urine) from a patient exhibiting measles symptoms (spots, fever, etc.) and place in a refrigerator.
- Next, to prepare a cell culture, obtain a monkey, preferably from a
 marmoset monkey (deemed "10,000 times more sensitive to measles virus
 than human cells"). If you cannot obtain a monkey, a chicken embryo will
 suffice.
- Extract cells from the monkey by removing its kidneys and testicles and blending them into a soup.
- Place the monkey cell goop into a one-cell-deep layer in a laboratory vessel.
- Next the culture will be "prepared" which first means to "immortalize" it by exposing to radiation or toxins (aka, make it cancerous).
- Add Epstein Barr "virus" to the culture.
- Now add the poison (being sure to wear gloves and safety goggles during this process) trypsin to the culture. Some of the cells will "fall away" as the acid dissolves, this is to be expected.
- Finally add nutrients and glucose to feed any surviving cells and set aside for two days. (No instructions are given to observe the sample under a microscope to verify that any cells have survived the steps so far.)
- After the 2 days the technician may now add the fluid sample taken from the "measles" patient to the culture.
- Next the technician is instructed to observe the monkey cells under the lens. If 50% or more of the cells are distorted or broken up, the cells may be added to the "medium" (possibly from chicken embryo cells or fetal bovine serum) and placed in 70 degrees Centigrade as "isolate of the measles virus."

If this procedure is considered a "success" (showing 50% or more distortion and destruction of cells), the measles virus is not only said to have been "isolated", but blamed for the cause of illness in the patient, with no other proof necessary. No controls are done and no observations under the lens are made *after* the addition of each new ingredient or poison to the mix. This is what passes as "vaccine science" and "virology" today.

Janine Roberts, author of the preface for Dr. Jennifer Craig's *Jabs, Jenner and Juggernauts* book, after presenting a more detailed description of the above, explains what happens next with the following...

What the measles vaccine manufacturers do when they receive the culture from the lab is filter off the vaccine from the diseased chicken cells they use, taking out particles larger than viruses but leaving in everything of the same size or less—before injecting into our children a noxious mess, including any chemicals they add. clavi

Dr. Stephan Lanka is one renegade virologist who has repeatedly called attention to the fact that virologists do not isolate viruses. In fact, as far as anyone knows, he is the only one to have ever successfully "isolated" a virus (through centrifugation and filtration), in the 1980s. He found viruses he was able to view fully intact under an electron microscope and biochemically characterize. These viruses he discovered in sea algae and also found to be non-pathogenic. In fact, the sea algae with higher concentrations of viruses appeared to be healthier than those with fewer viruses. Due to the documented presence of these viruses in sea algae, the world's oceans are understood to be filled with billions or trillions of viruses, and yet, quite remarkably, most of us feel quite good when we enter its waters.

Lanka never found viruses anywhere else, not in plants, animals or people. So certain was he of the fact that no other scientist has found any (outside of sea algae) either, he offered a 100,000-euro reward to anyone who could successfully show valid scientific proof of the existence of the measles virus.

Dr. David Bardens came forward to claim the reward, citing six scientific papers as "proof" of measles virus isolation. Lanka, however disagreed, so the matter was first taken to a lower court where an ignorant judge or jury ruled in Bardens favor. Lanka challenged the ruling and took it to the German Supreme Court in 2016 during which case Lanka was able to demonstrate the fraudulent nature of the papers presented by Bardens, therefore deciding the case in his favor claviii claviii

As previously mentioned, no controls are conducted for any of the documented virus isolations, reducing all of these experiments to fraud. Without a control, no true scientist can claim anything about the results observed.

Dr. Lanka has also taken it upon himself to conduct the omitted controls in these virus isolation procedures. Through his Project Emmanuel^{clxix}, started in 2021, he and his team have duplicated the experiments used for viral isolation, including the ones used to "prove" the existence of "SARS-CoV-2", without which "proof" no pandemic declaration could have been made by the WHO.

Here is a sampling of the SARS-CoV-2 isolation papers accepted by world health authorities as valid...

In one such paper titled, 'Identification of Coronavirus Isolated from a Patient in Korea with Covid-19,' the authors refute the title within the body of the paper claiming isolation by admitting that, "We could not estimate the degree of purification because we do not purify and concentrate the virus cultured in cells." This convoluted confession simply states that they did not actually purify (aka "isolate") the virus.

Another study laying claim to isolation of the first case of Covid-19 in Korea, similarly pretended to have isolated the virus from this patient, only to equally admit within the text of the study to have *not* done so. The paper is titled, "Virus Isolated from the First Patient with SARS-CoV2 in Korea" and the contents expose that the authors, "did not obtain an electron micrograph showing the degree of purification." This is more dressed-up language to say they have *not* purified the virus; thus, they cannot photograph it.

In the article, published by the New England Journal of Medicine titled, "A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China," also shows its

authors admitting to lack of purification (aka "isolation") in their "virus" samples. They confess, "We show an image of sedimented virus particles, not purified ones." However, in spite of this absurdity, they went ahead with performing a "genetic analysis" in order to characterize the new virus.clxx And a paper out of Australia, cited by the Australian Department of Health as having "led to the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 in culture" shows just how this "isolation process" was achieved...

Vero/hSLAM cells (African green monkey kidney cells transfected to express the human signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM; also known as CDw150) were grown at 37°C, 5% CO2 in maintenance media consisting of 10 mL Earle's minimum essential medium (EMEM), 7% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bovogen Biologicals, Keilor East, AUS) 2mM Lglutamine, 1mM sodium pyruvate, 15000mg/L sodium bicarbonate, 15 mM HEPES and 0.4mg/ml geneticin to 95% confluency in 25cm2 flasks. **Prior to** use for isolation, maintenance media was removed from the flask and 500 uL of respiratory swab inoculum was overlaid on the cell monolayer. The flask was returned to the **37°C incubator** to allow the virus to absorb for 1 hour before addition of 10mL viral culture media (EMEM as above but FBS reduced to 2%). Flasks were monitored for viral cytopathic effect and 140uL aliquots of supernatant removed every 48 hours to assess virus burden by TaqMan real-time **RT-PCR**. First passage **culture grown virus isolate** was subsequently shipped nationally and internationally in packaging compliant with UN 2814 Category A shipping requirements using credentialed, specialized courier services under the appropriate Australian government export approvals processes and receiving country import permissions.clxxi [emphases added, SR]

Basically, what this (again), describes is the widely adopted fraudulent approach to virus "isolation" as already explained. What all of the above means in plain language is that respiratory sputum (presumably from a sick patient, but not specified), is first abrogated through absorption into "cell monolayer" and intensive heating, after which the contaminated sputum sample is further contaminated through addition to the "viral culture media" containing monkey kidney cells and fetal bovine serum plus chemicals (sodium pyruvate, sodium bicarbonate, etc.), and once again heated in the incubator, this time for 48 hours before testing the mess for a viral "isolate", which they do via faulty, not fit-for-purpose, RT-PCR amplification. At no point is anything actually isolated (removed from) anything else, but instead, a host of various genetic materials from different animals, along with chemical contamination and sample destruction through heating, passes as "isolation of a virus", which is readily shipped abroad as "culture grown isolate" and passes as proof of SARS-CoV-2 isolation.

Dr. Lanka and his team, in duplicating SARS-CoV-2 isolation methodology, have shown that the cell cultures in the control group also decay without the addition of any patient's fluid sample, demonstrating that it is the addition of antibiotics, chemicals and other aspects of the process, that cause the decay, and not any mysterious "virus" assumed to be hiding within a patient's bodily fluids.

Lanka and a colleague have spearheaded another project called the Citizens Inquiry program (2000), which challenges citizens to write to health officials and agencies demanding proof of virus isolation just as James McCumiskey has been doing since 2006. To date, none of the petitioners involved in Citizens Inquiry, including McCumiskey, have received positive responses to their inquiries. Proof of the existence of any virus has not been provided to any of these citizens, nor to anyone else.

Germ Theory and Pasteur vs. Béchamp

French chemist Louis Pasteur (1822-1895), is generally credited with forwarding the Germ Theory of disease, even though, 200 years before, Kircher beat him to the "discovery." It was Pasteur, favorite of Emperor Napoleon II¹¹, who would receive the most publicity in the mid 1800s, due to financial and political backing of the theory at the time, in a move to promote it in the hopes of replacing earlier theories of disease—such as held by prominent opponent of Germ Theory, Rudolph Virchow, who understood that germs did not cause disease, but rather that disease caused germs.

Virchow, regretting that he did not do more to make this view more widely accepted, reportedly stated on his deathbed, "If I could live my life over again, I would devote it to proving that germs seek natural habitat, diseased tissue, rather than being the cause of diseased tissue."claxii

For what he was able to accomplish during his life, Virchow is still credited with being the "Father of microbe theory" or "Father of pathology." Or what is now also called Terrain Theory.

Virchow worked to improve conditions for the poor in Poland, understanding that disease conditions, not microbes, caused disease, which is why he also advocated for social and economic reform (what he called "social medicine"), upon his return to Prussia, for which thanks, he received prompt dismissal from Catholic-run Charité Hospital.

Writers of history have also not favored Virchow due to his criticism of Darwinist theories of evolution and the mighty Catholic church itself. Virchow observed that evidence of Neanderthal species simply showed early humans (homo sapiens) deformed by rickets and arthritis.clxxiii

As a vocal opponent of Germ Theory, Virchow fought against the "new obsession with hand-washing, pushed by Hungarian Ignaz Semmelweis" and is documented at *FamousScientist.org* as believing that, "cells became diseased as a result of internal processes resulting from imbalances in the body." But *FamousScientist.org*clxxiv is quick to belittle this viewpoint by following with their own opinion that he was only "partly correct", saying that "imbalances in the body **can** lead to cells malfunctioning and becoming diseased." (emphasis, original) This is because the medical orthodoxy, with its obsession over the

¹¹ Probably because Pasteur's father, Jean-Joseph, was Sergeant Major in Napoleon Bonaparte's service (Cirucci, *Disciples of Ra p.* 176).

germ, only views factors like imbalances in the body (or starvation, poisoning, and exposure), as only "contributing factors", but not *the* sole factors in disease.

So, it was in direct opposition of *sane* voices like Virchow's, that Pasteur's brushed off and newly polished Germ Theory was paraded.

Louis Pasteur, considered the Father of Germ Theory, like another "father" before him, Jenner (Father of Vaccinology or Immunology), was also a plagiarist and fraud. And like Kircher, Pasteur is known for his cruel, unsound scientific experiments involving the torturing of animals.

Apologist and historian Professor Gerard Geison of Princeton University (much like Jenner's biographer and supporter, John Baron still revealed Jenner's true character in spite of his best efforts to excuse his shady nature and practices), through his analysis of Pasteur's long-hidden notebooks in 1914, wrote of Pasteur...

No one had done so much to show that scientific research could pay off so handsomely... Pasteur's ambition was joined with enormous self-confidence... He claimed to prefer thoughtful criticism to sterile praise, but in fact he almost always exploded whenever criticism was directed his way... [he] generally gave credit to others only grudgingly... [and] he enjoyed truly remarkable success at getting whatever he sought... The work on vaccines was especially well funded¹²... By the early 1880s, when the vaccines against chicken pox, cholera and anthrax emerged from his laboratory, Pasteur may have been the recipient of 10 percent or more of the annual governmental outlay for **all** scientific research in France."clxxv [emphasis original]

Pasteur was perfectly willing, even proud, to acknowledge that he operated under the sway of preconceived ideas.clxxvi

Before departing this world, Pasteur ordered his family to never show any of his scientific notebooks detailing his experiments, to anyone. These sequestered documents consisted of 30 volumes of over 10,000 pages of more than 100 laboratory notebooks. When a descendent (grandson Louis Pasteur Vallery-Radot), finally defied his grandfather's dying wish and donated the entire lot to the Biblioteque Nationale in Paris in 1964, the true depth of Pasteur's fraud came to light, after access to what had still been "generally restricted" until a printed catalog of the collection was finally made available in 1985. Study of its contents reveal quite a differed "course of research... from that recorded in his published works." clxxvii

Pasteur's published (public) papers on his anthrax vaccines in his experiments on sheep at Pouilly-le-Fort 1881, alleged that he had "vaccinated" 25 sheep and left 25 more unvaccinated, and furthermore, that all of the "unvaccinated" sheep had died, while the 25 vaccinated lived—an astounding success, it would seem... But in his "triumphant address" of June 13, before thousands of media representatives and admirers, the description of what exactly the miraculous anthrax vaccine contained was conspicuously omitted. Nor was this crucial information disclosed within his published papers. All that Pasteur admitted to was that the vaccinated sheep had received first "five drops

¹² Pasteur also received ongoing funds from vaccine patents; "a very lucrative game of corruption for insiders." (Cirucci, *Disciples of Ra p.* 183)

of an attenuated anthrax virus" and again nearly two weeks later dosed with "a second anthrax virus, also attenuated but more virulent than the preceding." After this, he claimed that all of the animals in both the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups were injected with a "very virulent virus regenerated from some spores of anthrax parasite", which had been "conserved" in his laboratory over the course of the four previous years. (How this was "conserved" was not explained.) Pasteur also publicly stated that his vaccine had employed "atmospheric attenuation" when it was later proved he had used "antiseptic action of potassium bichromate." clxxviii

The "very virulent virus" injected in the sheep which died (labeled "unvaccinated"), would simply have referred to insertion of whole infected animal tissue, as Pasteur admitted in his notebooks to having failed to have isolated any specific bacterium and thereby often used "ground-up brains into the brain of another animal to 'prove' contagion... or resort[ed] to adding poisons to his culture, which he knew would cause the symptoms in the recipients."clxxix

In the case of his "triumph" at Pouilly-le-Fort, Pasteur may have injected his "virulent" anthrax sample into the brains of the victims who died, and injected it elsewhere in the victims who survived, or added the potassium bichromate to one and not the other. Additionally, he may have done what he did with his rabies-vaccine-experiment victims and simply conducted the experiment repeatedly until he got the results he wanted (unvaccinated dead, vaccinated alive), after having obtained several different combinations of deaths and survival in either group beforehand, as chronicled by Kaiokerino below...

Then we have Louis Pasteur and his four dogs. Two were given his rabies vaccine – two were not. On exposure to rabies the two vaccinated dogs survived. The two non-vaccinated dogs died. TRIUMPH!! So it seems, but what rubbish.

First, Pasteur tried to get that result many times and failed. The two vaccinated dogs would die - or one would die. Eventually, by chance he got the 'right' result and this is what is told in history (only that).clxxx

In order to prove transmissibility of rabies, Pasteur took either saliva, blood, a piece of brain or spinal cord, or cerebral-spinal fluid and injected this mess (taken from an animal "suspected" of being infected with rabies), into a live, innocent rabbit's brain or spine. Proof of rabies infection was declared when the poor rabbit's hind quarters became paralyzed—an event that should not be surprising following such cruel experimentation and poisoning. As Béchamp pointed out, *any* matter from one animal introduced into another is "likely to be injurious", and one of Pasteur's own supporters, Vulpian, conducted Pasteur's omitted control and discovered that, "saliva of healthy human beings killed off rabbits as quickly as the saliva of a child who had died of hydrophobia [rabies]."clxxxii

Rabies itself is not a clinically classifiable and diagnosable disease, but rather a description of a mistreated and frightened (often starving) animal's aggressive behavior towards humans—with foaming at the mouth usually as an indication and natural expression of excess thirst in any animal or human.

Pasteur, arrogant ass that he was, called his methodology "perfect", clxxxii but his rabies vaccines, like Jenner's smallpox concoctions, killed animals and humans alike, including children. Pasteur had claimed that "his treatment would be successful if commenced at any time before hydrophobia [rabies symptoms] set in, even after a year or more "clxxxiii, but excused the deaths of his young vaccination victims by saying that too much time had passed between the bite and his inoculation, in full contradiction of his own previous assertions. In those who survived his injections, the vaccine was known for causing "Korsakoff psychosis", a state of delirium, for up to twenty years afterward.clxxxiv

If a wound from any animal bite is traumatic enough and not treated with simple cleansing, and in some cases surgery (or sutures), the victim can die. This fact has nothing to do with the mythological "rabies" virus (which in Pasteur's time was viewed as a bacterium). Many cases have been reported of people dying from a dog bite while the "rabid" dog who bit them remained healthy, and also of people and animals who have not been bitten suffering or dying from Pasteur's poisoned rabies needles.

One tragic account is described with the following...

The late Dr. Charles Bell Taylor, in the *National Review* for July, 1890, gave a list of cases in which patients of Pasteur's had died, while the dogs that had bitten them remained well.

A notable failure was that of a French postman, named Pierre Rascol, who, with another man, was attacked by a dog, supposed to be mad, but not bitten, for the dog's teeth did not penetrate his clothing; but his companion received severe bites.

The latter refused to go to the Pasteur Institute and remained in perfect health; but unfortunate Rascol was forced by the postal authorities to undergo the treatment, which he did from the 9th to the 14th March.

On the following 12th April, severe symptoms set in, with pain at the points of inoculation, not at the place of the bite, for the reason that he had never been bitten. On April 14th, he died of paralytic hydrophobia [rabies], the new disease brought into the world by Pasteur.clxxxv

Pasteur also tried to prove contagion by injecting the same kinds of substances into countless hapless monkeys. While publicly claiming to have successfully isolated the bacterium that causes anthrax and rabies, his notebooks only detail his failed contagion experiments, which only "worked" after injecting ground-up brains from one animal into another, and when that failed, he added poisons. As previously mentioned, Pasteur's psychopathic experiments would be repeated long after his death by other eminent psychopaths such as, Flexner, Lewis and Popper, in the same field of "scientific research."

And Jonas Salk, infamous for his polio vaccine development, would outdo all of the above when in the 1950s through sacrificing upwards of 17,000 monkeys (with estimates in the *hundreds of thousands* for total monkeys slaughtered for the whole of polio drug research), and his own similar "isolation" and "infection" experiments and subsequent polio vaccine development would win him a Congressional Medal of Honor, even though his vaccine had to shortly after release (1955), be pulled from the market after it maimed, killed or sickened (with polio), a large number of its recipients.

Pasteur's ideas, backed by powerful forces, aligned nicely with the mechanistic view of biology, which came to the fore in the 1880s, pushing aside the earlier more popular adherence to the vitalist view—a concept which had been predominant since ancient times, and rooted in the idea of a spiritual (vital) force present in all living things, also called the *élan vital* or *anima* (the latter being a term coined by Hippocrates).

The mechanistic view reduced all life to its smallest components. It was and continues to be an auto mechanic's approach to biology; treating man as a machine or a sack of chemicals who can be repaired or "fixed" by replacing his parts or altering his chemistry.

It was the adherents to the mechanistic view who claimed (without evidence) that, similar to Kircher's idea of snakes being made up of "little snakes" (which he claimed to have viewed under the microscope), man was made up of "little men", or at least a man's sperm contained within it a very tiny man, called "homunculus", claxxivi reported to have been spied by early microscopists in the 17th century—a delusion that testified to the desperation of some alleged scientists to hold onto an idea espoused at the time called "preformation."

Preformation assumed that within eggs, tadpoles, sperm and even cocoons, a perfect miniature of a chicken, frog, human or butterfly existed as the precursor or blueprint of all life, rather than the spirit or vital force.

Pasteur also believed that man was naturally, fully sterile—an idea that has since been entirely disproven even by staunch Germ Theory advocates. And he believed that it was bacteria ("germs"), from the surrounding air that descended upon man to make him ill. While in actuality, bacteria do not live free-floating, separate from cells or the living tissues of organisms (which is why it is so difficult to isolate them). Or in other words they do not live separated from their *terrain.*¹³

Pasteur's obsession with germs and sterilization led to, among other atrocities, the practice of "pasteurization" of milk (named after him); a process which destroys its nutritional value—rendering a once highly nourishing food (thanks also to additional homogenization and other degradation processes), a truly harmful substance.

As a mechanist and chemist, Pasteur had thought fermentation to be a purely chemical process not requiring exposure to oxygen or the atmosphere, while his contemporary Antoine Béchamp understood differently; namely, that exposure to yeast and oxygen was required in the process. Pasteur ultimately sided with Béchamp, but took full credit for the idea after learning it from him.

Microbes Vs. Microzyma

Extensive studies of bacteria show definitely that there are no fixed species. A cocci can become a bacilli, a spirili, and vice versa. Streptococci and pneumococci interchange. All bacteria either acquire or lose virulence depending upon their environment. Bacteria change to molds and vice

 $^{^{\}rm 13}$ Nor do humans. We are intrinsically link to our environment. Poison it and we poison ourselves.

versa in response to adequate environmental stimuli. Furthermore, they can resolve into their smallest forms, a sub-microscopic granule. The bacterial 'toxins,' which were once thought to be entirely free of particles, are not really homogeneous solutions at all, but actually contain the tiny granular stages of bacteria, which broke down to form them.

— Drs. Verner, Weiant, Watkins, Rational Bacteriology, 1953

The microzyma is at the beginning and end of all organization. It is the fundamental anatomical element whereby the cellules, the tissues, the organs, the whole of an organism are constituted.

—Antoine Bechamp

Pasteur's mechanistic view also led him to believe that all bacteria were unchangeable, fixed entities or "monomorphic"; whereas his contemporary Béchamp, understood microorganisms of all kinds to be "pleomorphic", or shapeshifting.

Antoine Béchamp was a much more accomplished man than Louis Pasteur, as a physician, chemist, and pharmacist, he boasted the following impressive resumé: "Master of Pharmacy, Doctor of Science, Doctor of Medicine, Professor of Medical Chemistry and Pharmacy at Montpellier, Fellow and Professor of Physics and Toxicology—Strasbourg Higher School of Pharmacy, Professor of Chemistry at Strasbourg, Professor of Biological Chemistry and Dean of Faculty of Medicine of Lille, Chevalier of the Legion of Honour—Commander of the Rose of Brazil." Pasteur was, by contrast, simply a chemist and friend of Napoleon, while Béchamp was a true scientist whose work Pasteur judiciously plagiarized when it suited his purposes.

It was Antoine Béchamp who deserved the fame and favor bestowed upon Pasteur, but because Béchamp's findings did not serve the purposes of the elite (which was and has always been to further its own positions of control and power by subjugating and keeping the people in ignorance), Béchamp's work was ignored and largely buried. (I even had to add his name to my Word program's dictionary, but not so for Pasteur, even though both are foreign French names.)

Béchamp employed ultraviolet-light-sensitive microscopes (unlike regular microscopes which block out UV light, essential for viewing living organisms), and a type of dark field, which allowed him to detect a prolific organism he called *microzyma*, which he found, after extensive study on live tissue samples, to be endogenous in humans.

He was able to observe "spontaneous generation" (an idea Pasteur vehemently opposed and ridiculed while offering no scientific proof against it, only his opinion), in these shapeshifting microorganisms, which could change into every known type of bacterium.

What Béchamp witnessed and documented of his indestructible and immutable microzyma (what he referred to as the "basic units of life"), was a transformation into a variety of bacteria, in direct response to changes in the environment (or terrain) of the microzyma. These bacteria clearly arose from within the body and not, as Pasteur postulated, from without.

In healthy tissue, microzyma played a supportive and constructive role, whereby in diseased tissue they changed into bacteria as an adaptive measure, in an attempt to save the host through reparation or cleanup efforts.

When Béchamp righted the altered environment in the tissue samples (i.e., added back the deprived nutrients), the bacteria changed back into their original "microzyma" form.

As previously mentioned, "bacteriophages" were once called "bacteria eaters", since they were erroneously thought to invade bacteria from the outside and destroy them, when later understood (as Béchamp witnessed with his microzyma), to arise (in spore form) from within the bacteria, changing back into the bacteria from whence they arose, after the bacteria's terrain was corrected.

These natural processes in the body are not the *cause* of disease, but rather the *response* to it, much like snow is not the cause of winter, or firefighters (generally, although there are some malevolent exceptions) the cause of wildfires, or flies the cause of cow dung. Rather the presence of bacteria in humans and animals represent necessary elements of the body's detoxification and reparation processes.

Pasteur contested that no bacteria can exist in sterilized conditions. But this contention was disproven in experiments conducted by Béchamp, and others who later repeated his experiments. Both whole and dead animal carcasses and individual organs from the same, were antiseptically treated (and suspended in a bactericide solution) in these experiments, but in each case showed signs of decomposition and the presence of microzyma and bacteria under UV microscopes.

The bacterium attributed as the cause of TB, whose discovery was credited to Koch, was actually recently admitted to be pleomorphic (in a 2010 article titled "Pleomorphic appearance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis"). Still, this admission comes with the caveat of "certain circumstances", of which are generally thought by mainstream scientists to be rare or limited. With an important consequence of this "refusal to fully recognise pleomorphism [being] the failure to recognise that substances chosen for use as a culture medium will directly influence the morphology of the bacteria under investigation." clxxxviii

The phenomenon of pleomorphism is only recognized by the medical establishment when convenient in propping up the Germ Theory of disease, not when its occurrence directly contradicts it, and nullifies their "isolation" experiments.

Embracing "germs"

Germs are good and sterility is bad. The opposite, now dominant viewpoint, since the institutionalization of Germ Theory, has directly led to a planet-wide attack on microbes and insects, resulting in planetary devastation and destruction, alongside chronic ill health for humanity.

Diversity does, in fact, make us stronger (as so many Marxist billboards shout these days, depicting stereotyped members of varying ethnic groups and races all living together in supposed harmony while maintaining separate distinct identities¹⁴), when it comes to microbial diversity, as this represents true integrity—the foundation upon which all life is depends.

The sterilization of hospitals does not protect anyone from disease, but instead encourages its proliferation by attacking these foundations of health, through adding a burden of poisonous cocktails to staff and patients alike. Pasture's idea that sterilization was the key to physical health, was not just misguided, but disastrous (in its being embraced by the world).

The only way to "save the planet" is by encouraging biodiversity through encouraging and promoting the abundance of insects and microbes of all kinds. There is no "good" or "bad" microorganism, simply balance and imbalance, and imbalances on a micro and macro level in our world have reached devastating proportions, particularly as at some point, when the human and environmental micro-biome are so destroyed, there is nothing left to "balance" any longer. But there is something left in need of restoration, which is always possible, especially if we act before damage is irreversible.

Currently, methods to help restore the health of our planet and its inhabitants are extremely misguided, as methods are still entrenched in, and based upon, Germ Theory indoctrination—which allows for the continued ubiquitous assault on our bodies and natural environments through chemically based agriculture and medicines.

Additionally, newly and seemingly endlessly, introduced artificial electromagnetic fields, often sold to the public as a means by which we can better monitor and therefore better "help" wild lands and wildlife, is responsible for sickening and killing the same as these fields provably negatively affect biology by harming it at a micro level. This is why microwave- and millimeter-wave products are also sold as insecticides, because they work so effectively at killing smaller organisms. These exposures, coupled with continued chemical assaults, are weakening the foundation of our soils, contributing to the dramatically reduced vitamin and mineral content of our vegetables and fruits¹⁵, and reducing the stability and nourishment of trees and other plants, causing the death of more trees falling down in forests more easily and rapidly. With our foundations out of balance, the natural world and humans and animals within it are weakening and dying.

The Rise of Germaphobia

Pasteur (who allegedly admitted his folly on his deathbed, saying that "the germ is nothing, the terrain everything" clxxxix), along with his preciously guarded Germ Theory of disease, was given such massive financial support and backing from the highest levels of power in order to enslave the people into adherence to the tenants of the new religion of "science"—one that is used as a tool of tyranny.

¹⁴ But unlike the billboards and institutionalized "diversity programs" claim, the skin-deep racial or cultural variety of diversity can logically only be maintained if cultures can be separately maintained, since once fully integrated, a new homogenous culture and/or race emerges (for better or worse), but it is no longer "diverse" culturally, or in the superficial sense.

¹⁵ Nutritional-analysis studies comparing mineral and vitamin content of fruits and vegetables from 1930 and 1980 and 1950 and 1999 found 20 to 65 percent reductions in available nutrients between these periods. (Lang, Centre for Food Policy, London)

The Germ Theory was advanced in direct response to "challenges presented by anti-vaccinators" in the 1880s, with the idea of one specific germ presented as causing one specific disease: "the implication of bacteriology was that inclusive approaches to disease prevention, such as sanitation, were ineffective and inefficient... Instead, preventative medicine should focus on identifying specific germs, containing their spread [meaning the spreaders], and when possible, inoculating against them."cxc

This propaganda effort, started in Napoleon's court, was quite successful in seducing the public into a paranoid fear of germs. A new disease of mass hysteria was sweeping nations—germaphobia had arrived. Germaphobia led to outbreaks of unrivaled pseudo-science and quackery, leading to the incessant "discovery" of a new bacterium or germ at every turn, most of which would, of course, later be debunked.

Even the *New York Times*, in 1884, noted that "every few months" Pasteur announced "the discovery of a new germ." And the *Daily News*, satirized that, "there were microbes of sport responsible for 'the sporting mania'... and microbes of old age that were the direct cause of growing old."cxci And of course, financial opportunists like patent-medicine dealers, saw a chance to exploit the new hysteria of "bacteriomania" by "appropriating the microbe to sell a range of products."cxcii

"Leo's Microbe Pills" promised to rid all bacteria from human bodies (to make them "healthy" and fully sterile as Pasteur himself alleged was the key to good health). While William Radam offered to show customers, "microphotos", allegedly displaying microbes of "Cancer, Consumption, Cholera, La Grippe, Diphtheria, Malignant Oedema' and others", while offering the cure for all of the above in his Radam's Microbe Killer.cxciii

The anti-vaccinators of the time were not so easily taken in. They saw the promotion of the fraudulent Germ Theory for what it was; a rouse meant to frighten people into submitting to vaccinations, particularly when smallpox, *without* the aid of inoculation, was seeing a downward trend.

Mary Hume-Rothery outed the "infection-scare" as a "sham fostered, if not got up originally, by doctors, as a means of raising their own importance and tightening their grasp on the throat of the nation's common sense which has lain so long paralysed and inert in their clutches." cxciv

Others complained that "this miserable craze of microbe-mongering was creating widespread hysteria over infectious disease", while lawyer Thomas Baker in 1885 "maintained that medical officers of health were being paid by the government to keep 'the people in a perpetual state of disease panic; frightening the entire community over 'contagious germs."

Walter Hadwen (mentioned in chapter one), echoed the complaint twenty years later saying, "We are being frightened to death by microbes. It is germs, germs, germs everywhere. Must one give up shaking hands, kissing, eating and drinking? With all the germs ever-present, it is a wonder that any of us are alive at all." excev

And today in 2022, it is still "germs, germs, germs everywhere" to the point at which attempts have been made to force us to give up "shaking hands [and] kissing", while only allowing us to eat and drink from beneath face coverings. And many, due to their own irrational fear (likely imbedded in their DNA thanks

to over one hundred years of this criminal fearmongering), have willingly complied.

Animal Culling - An Act of War

Continued belief in, and adherence to Germ Theory has led to mass psychosis and mass hysteria, and has enabled the culling of thousands of heads of livestock, endless forced business closures, forced medical experimentation, and continuing restrictions on human movement and gathering, along with the general acquiescence of the people into going along with all of the above.

The culling of livestock is an act of war as it ultimately leads to starvation. This is what the colonialists did to the Native American tribes; they culled their main source of food—shooting millions of buffalo from trains in order to starve out the enemy.

During those times, the act of war was more overt, done out in the open, while today's warfare tactics employ more stealth in order to keep the people ignorant to the fact that they have been targeted as the enemy (in part by their own governments), so that they will not understand or recognize that they are being warred against—warred against by often unseen entities, issuing the orders during "peacetime" under the guise of fighting a common foe—the deadly germ—and under the pretense of safeguarding and protecting public health and waging a war against disease rather than against humanity.

But the result of these "emergency" orders ends with loss of human life, loss of human livelihoods, loss of human liberties, and ultimately, loss of humanity itself. The end results of this war tell us all we need to know—who is the real enemy and who are the real targets.

Thanks to the continued public adherence to belief in the Germ Theory of disease, the State has been able to pass legislation, such as the 1984 Animal Diseases Act, under the auspices of protecting the health of livestock, farmers and consumers (and the economy) against animal diseases such as, "rinderpest, anthrax, foot and mouth disease, African horse sickness and sheep scab" and also "mad cow disease" (BST) and rabies.

The powers afforded to the State over farm animals by this act include control measures such as, "compulsory vaccinations, specific treatments, isolation of animals, culling of affected animals, destruction of carcasses and control of animal movements." Any noncompliant animal owner may have his or her property or animals taken over and/or confiscated "in order to achieve the desired outcome", and the owner may also face criminal prosecution, a hefty fine or imprisonment. cxcvi

So, now not only can humans be randomly isolated and force-medicated on the whim of authorities, but their animals can be as well. Factory-farmed animals are already notoriously mistreated, but to initiate the senseless slaughter of thousands and sometimes millions of livestock both of free-range animals and confined, results in wasted lives with no benefit to anyone, leaving many humans to go hungry as a result.

Prior to 2020, alleged outbreaks of Bird Flu, Foot and Mouth disease, Mad Cow, and Swine Flu led to the unnecessary culling of millions of healthy animals,

under the pretext of stopping the "spread" of the disease. When, just as with human "infectious" disease, another more probable reason for illness than phantom viruses can be uncovered for incidences of sick and ailing animals. In most cases, as was found with "Mad Cow" disease, the real culprit could easily be traced to poisoning from insecticides.

BSE or "Mad Cow" disease is blamed on "prions", which are alleged to be "virus-like", but even smaller than regular viruses, attacking hosts by "folding into" its tissues and multiplying. Some claim that even Covid-19 could really be a "prion disease", but as in the case of regular viruses, prions are also lacking any sound evidence to prove their existence.

But the prion narrative effectively deflected attention away from the real cause of sickness in cattle resultant from application of Phosmet; an organophosphate solution manufactured by ICI Zeneca (now AstraZeneca, infamous as one of the top four global Covid-19 vaccine producers).

Phosmet was used on cows since the 1970s in Great Britain to treat warblefly infestation. Mad Cow reared its ugly head in the 1990s after cattle and dairy farmers were mandated by the government to administer four times the amount of Phosmet that was used in the 1970s, twice annually, over the spines of each cow in the herd.

Organic farmers exempted from applying Phosmet to their herds did not see one single case of BSE in cattle, or in sheep. (Herds of sheep subjected to Phosmet treatments also fell ill as a result.) And all incidents of BSE faded away alongside the end of Phosmet-pour-on mandates. But since the correlation was buried under the "prion disease" myth, AstraZeneca was able to successfully avoid massive lawsuits. And new jobs were created to test millions of head of slaughtered cattle annually for "BSE", equipped with the power to cull whole herds of cattle if any of the inspected meat was found "tainted". The new laws also added a new tax on beef, driving up the price. CXCVII

List of animals culled prior to Covid

Millions of cattle were slaughter under the "OTM rule" (initiated to prevent the spread of Mad Cow disease), since it was introduced in 1996. cxcviii

In 2005, under the pretext of containing Bird Flu in Northern China, in the Quinhai Lake district, 20,000 birds were indiscriminately killed in a 30-mile radius without compensation to owners. "One poor farmer named Zhang Gihua whose income was less than 250 euros per year, and who depended on his small flock of poultry is quoted as saying: 'Our family had five chickens and we were told to kill them all or we would be fined. It was a real blow. I used to buy my children's pens and school books with the money from the eggs." "cxcix

And millions of farm animals were killed during the 2001 Foot and Mouth outbreak in Britain when a policy of "contagious culling" was instituted "following scientific advice that this was the only way of controlling the epidemic." This "scientific advice" allowed the UK government to slaughter "not only all the animals on infected farms but also all animals on adjacent farms, regardless of whether infection had been reported there."cc

Following this advice also led to "the slaughter of 6.5 million cattle, sheep and pigs, some of which were burned on open air pyres." Public outrage over

the mass killings put pressure on health authorities (such as those at the Institute for Animal Health), to undertake a new study to reexamine the "contagious culling" policy.

Ten years later in 2011, the *BBC* reported that, "mass culling of farm animals" may not be necessary if there is a new outbreak of foot-and-mouth disease, because the scientists *now* believe animals may only be infectious less than two days, whereas previously they had thought animals were infectious between four and eight days. They also had previously (apparently erroneously), thought infected animals could be asymptomatic, but now the researchers "also discovered that animals were not infectious until they showed symptoms of the disease", suggesting they could take a more moderate approach in future and only cull sick animals and not healthy.

These new findings seem to indicate the scientists had been wrong with their older findings, however, the scientists wanted to make it clear that the contradictory findings "did not suggest the mass slaughter policy during the 2001 UK outbreak was wrong."cci

So even though their own latest research suggests that they had been most decidedly wrong, they want it on the record that they were *not* wrong. We should just always do as the "scientists" tell us no matter how many times they contradict themselves and are proven wrong.

The dire predictions that prompted this cull of over six million sheep, pigs and cattle, just so happened to have come from the same corrupt (Gatesfunded) Imperial college team led by Neil Ferguson to have issued alarmist, false bird flu, swine flu and Covid death forecasts. Ferguson's foot-and-mouth modeling was later called "seriously flawed", and the culling cost the UK government £10 billion.ccii

In 2018, China culled 200,000 pigs following the occurrence of 41 African swine fever outbreaks in the entire country, and banned transport of the animals in "affected" areas. cciii

And in 2019, several hundred (588) domestic poultry were ordered killed in Gorho village, Munger district, India in 2018 between December 22 and 23, after some dead crows' fecal matter tested positive for bird flu, with an order for 15,500 birds to be culled in Mubarak Chak village imminent.cciv

Animals slaughtered due to Covid shutdowns

In April 2020, only one month after the formal "pandemic" declaration, at least 20 slaughterhouses in the US were forced to close when some of their staff "tested positive" for Covid-19.

Absurdly, as a direct result of the forced closures, farm animals due for slaughter were culled on-farm in order to "depopulate" farms and kill animals, such as, chickens bred for speedy growth who will otherwise die if not slaughtered first. Without access to slaughterhouses, farmers are forced to choose from a number of "depopulation" methods on the farm, and none of the subsequent meat can be legally sold, having not met "proper" slaughtering standards, which can only be met by killing inside an official slaughterhouse, even when, in some cases, slaughterhouses use similar, if not the same, methods (such as shooting or blunt force trauma for pigs), for culling.

This means that chickens, pigs and cattle killed on the farm must be buried or burned and cannot be fed to the increasing numbers of hungry humans, thanks to pandemic lockdown policies.

American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) approved methods of culling on the farm include for poultry: "slow suffocation by covering them with foam, or by shutting off the ventilation to the barn" or "whole house gassing." ccv

The "approved" foaming technique is described as "covering hens with a layer of foam that block their airways, gradually suffocating them over several minutes." And ventilation shutdown, another approved but "not preferred", but also cheapest method of chicken depopulation, means that, "animals die of organ failure due to overheating as temperatures quickly rise." cvi

These AVMA-approved depopulation methods are clearly cruel and inhumane, but the association obfuscates the sadism with political double-speak of considering "myriad factors" surrounding each "unique" situation and decision on a "case-by-case basis to determine the most appropriate method in that situation, with as much consideration given to the welfare of the animals as practicable."ccvii

As *practicable*. This is the operative word, while all of the cruelty could have easily been avoided had not slaughterhouses been forced to close over fraudulent Covid-19 test results of employees—a move that strategically followed the massive consolidation in that sector over the past few decades, which had already reduced the number of meat plants by half, so that any shutdowns of the larger plants have a much more devastating impact on the food-chain supply.

By mid-April, over 2 million birds had already been "depopulated" using one of the aforementioned AVMA-approved methods, and a month later, the number increased to 10 million. And an estimate of 10 million pigs were facing an equally cruel fate, who if not immediately killed, would overcrowd farms and limit feed and water for the lot. Thusly caught between a rock and a hard place, pig farmers by mid-May were having to consider choosing from one of the "preferred methods" for depopulation set out by the AVMA, which include, "injectable anesthetic overdose, gassing, shooting with guns or bolts, electrocution and manual blunt force trauma." Other methods permitted under "constrained circumstances" include, "ventilator shutdown (VSD), potentially combined with carbon dioxide gassing, and sodium nitrite, which would be ingested by pigs."ccviii

The "blunt force trauma" option can mean "slamming piglets against the ground while VSC [ventilator shutdown] would 'essentially cook the pigs alive'." By May 2020, already 2 million pigs had been killed using one of these approved methods.ccix

To avoid outright "depopulation", some farmers choose "growth slowdown" options, which can involve turning up the heat "inside of the warehouses beyond the pigs' 'comfort zone' because pigs eat less when they are too hot."

But an official from the National Pork Board on a blog called "Real Pig Farming" warns readers to "think twice before engaging with posts that show what may be happening on farms right now", because "most people do not understand the complexity of raising pig", and advises that a "good rule of thumb is to speak to a level a third grader would understand to ensure that things are not taken out of context."ccx

Well, the National Pork Board seems to be following an overall trend started by our governments and mainstream press long before the pandemic, but which has certainly gained unprecedented traction ever since. It is hard to recall the last time an authority figure or industry leader did *not* talk down to the public, speaking to them on the third-grade level on "complex issues"; ones it is assumed we cannot possibly comprehend.

Within two months of the pandemic announcement of the previously consolidated slaughterhouse sector, nearly 40 slaughterhouses were closed, cutting capacity up to 40%.ccxi

And due to hotel and restaurant closures, dairy producers were forced to dump milk, and chicken producers to trash eggs, producing an amount of food waste that even the *New York Times* called "staggering", with as much as "3.7 million gallons of milk being dumped by farmers each day" while a single chicken processer was "smashing 750,000 unhatched chicken eggs every week." Estimates of wasted milk in Canada were at "anywhere between 50 to 160 million liters." Cexii

And that report was from April 29, 2020. Why the milk and eggs were not gifted to the homeless and hungry or to domestic animals is not explained. Particularly when at the time, miles-long lines^{ccxiii} were formed waiting for food handouts in cities across the nation, with as many as six thousand cars^{ccxiv} at a time made to wait for hours on end at one food distribution event in San Antonio, Texas.

Animals culled after Covid shutdowns – Bird Flu Redux

Since reopening the economy after pandemic shutdowns, more excuses have been made to cull millions of farm animals, due to reports of "worst-ever" Bird Flu outbreaks in 2022.

Euronews reported 47.7 million farm animals forcibly culled due to Europe's "largest ever" bird flu epidemic, from autumn 2021 to spring 2022, because of "2,500 outbreaks recorded" and more than "3,500 cases found in wild birds." The report also tells us that Bird Flu had previously been recorded only in summer months but with the "unprecedented scale of infections means the virus could now spread all year round." And although bird-to-human transmission is considered "rare" and the disease in humans either "mild or very severe"; at least one poultry-farm worker who tested "positive" but never displayed symptoms of disease, was "placed in isolation until he tested negative."ccxv

The numbers of slaughtered animals have only increased since the report in April, to 140 million farm birds, and the Guardian newspaper assures us there is worse to come (because they are not finished starving us). In Italy, an aerial photograph shows a tractor hauling live chickens to empty into a dumpster filled with hundreds of other live birds in order to gas them to death inside the container. And apparently "hundreds of millions of pounds" have been spent in the past year (2022) in not only the EU and UK, but also the US, in "tackling bird flu."



A poultry farm in Italy, dropping live birds into dumpster for culling.

That is correct, hundreds of millions of pounds were spent, not to feed the increasing numbers of starving, but to wipe out 140 million birds that could have fed those starving masses, while proposals to cull wild bird populations (often also blamed from spreading the disease to domestic bird populations) are never made (and I am not suggesting they should be), only human food sources are targeted. Farmers are monetarily compensated for the lost birds while prices for poultry meat of unculled birds rise along with taxes on hungry citizens, further impoverishing them due to another absurd nonsensical government mandate.

Another measure being taken to "protect poultry" is to keep them indoors, while the "sick flocks" are culled. But if flocks are sick in the first place and not just "testing positive" for a fake virus, what is the true cause of disease? If animals are being fed arsenic- or formaldehyde-laced feed (as has been common practice), or are being pumped with antibiotics and sprayed with disinfectants, while kept in artificial settings in cages, then of course, illness will be a common occurrence. If these disease factors are not present, then the introduction of millimeter-wave 5G technology is the likely culprit capable of causing sickness, particularly in wild bird populations. CCXVI

In fact, at the same time the world was busy blaming new disease outbreaks in human populations living nearest new 5G installations (i.e., Wuhan, China, Venice, Italyccxvii, New York City, Los Angeles, Dallas, Cleveland, Atlanta and five thousand other towns...), a "new disease" was documented in songbird populations in Germany. Sick birdsccxviii were described as lethargic and demonstrating breathing difficulties and excessive thirst. Idiotically, Germany's NABU (conservation organization, which reported an estimated number of 26,000 afflicted songbirds) recommended "socially distancing" for the birds by shutting down feeding and water stations. (So actually, it would appear they *are* also trying to cull wild bird populations.)

To add to the birds' "excessive" thirst and overall illness by denying water and starving them, is the absurd recommendation made, all due to adherence of these conservation groups to the Germ Theory of disease. Because rather than look for more likely reasons for the change in wellbeing of these birds, a germ is blamed, even if they do not yet know what germ it is—a germ it must be, so that a predetermined diagnosis is decided among the esteemed scientists, as usual. (Meaning all attempts at employing true scientific methods are foregone.)

Had these bird conservationists not been blinded by Germ Theory ideology, they might have noticed that the highest death toll among these sick

flocks was documented near Oldenburg, Lower Saxony, exactly where German telecom company Vodafone had just upgraded its service from 2G to 4G-LTE to close "one of the nastiest radio holes." ccxix

Jeanice Barcelo, presents some of the links between disease and deaths in wild bird populations documented in her book *Are Wireless Devices Really Safe?...*

...cell towers and 5G 'small cells' are being positioned perilously close to bird nests, causing some birds to abandon their nests while others may become aggressive, sterile and/or die. Hundreds of birds were recently seen falling dead out of the trees in the Netherlands when 5G transmitters were turned on nearby. Dozens more were seen dropping out of the sky in Australia, screaming and bleeding from their eyes and beaks and wailing in agonizing pain as they experienced a slow, torturous death. Hundreds of thousands of birds dropped dead in southwest America during 2020, many at White Sands Missile Range in New Mexico where an AT&T 5G transmitter is currently in operation... hundreds of thousands of birds are also colliding with cell phone towers and wind turbines. Tens of thousands of birds annually are catching fire as they fly over solar power plants where their bodies suddenly ignite into flames. When all of the above is combined with the fact that birds are now likely to be going hungry due to a lack of insects to eat [killed by microwave and millimeter waves], it is clear that our 'clean' and 'green' technologies are, in fact, lethal, and threatening the survival of many living things.ccxx

In spite of well-documented effects on birds, bees, insects and microbes in the soilcexxi, blaming new profitable surveillance technologies is not a popular platform among the authorities who continue to place blame on unmanaged earlier outbreaks of "bird flu", in particular outbreaks in China that began in 1996 "where the duck and poultry [sector] expanded rapidly, and then it spilled over to wild birds." The inference being that raising birds outdoors is unhealthy, especially as the domestic birds can "interact" with wild migrating birds, thereby "spreading" disease among bird flocks around the world. While diseases that can spread across species is often considered rare (particularly with "bird flu"), "birds" of any species are all lumped into the same category and assumed to be able to transmit "bird flu" (H5N1) amongst differing flocks with no scientific evidence to back this claim, particularly in the absence of an isolated H5N1 virus.

Since the 1996 outbreak in China, backyard chickens have continually come under attack with the United Nations' Assistant Director General of the FAO, Louise Fresco, stating in 2005, "The backyard chicken is the big problem and the fight against bird flu must be waged in the backyard of the world's poor." cexxii 16

Translation: the fight against humanity must start with ridding the world's poor of their own viable sources of income and healthy food and move from there to denying the same from the middleclass, until everyone is equally malnourished and enslaved. And while the poor and middleclass homesteaders of the world are forced to cull their small healthy flocks whenever the authorities

¹⁶ Why not just kill or cage all remaining wild birds as well in the fight to eliminate Bird Flu? Just lock us all inside where it is "safer" than the great wide outdoors.

deem "necessary", large factory farm owners are compensated for their own losses.

In the UK, on December 14, 2022, a breaking news headline reports that, "all poultry will be humanely culled at a premises in Herefordshire after Bird Flu was confirmed amongst the population." For the rest of England, all poultry and captive birds must be kept in housing (from November 7, 2022 onwards), a decision made by the United Kingdom's Chief Veterinary Officer. This means that all bird keepers must keep birds indoors and "follow stringent biosecurity measures to help protect their flocks from the disease, regardless of type or size." This measure is put in place "until further notice", while still stating that the risk to humans of contracting the disease either through contact with birds or from (fully cooked) meat and eggs, is rare. ccxxiii

Just like humans were from 2020-2022, now the poor birds are under even more restrictive lockdown orders for the same absurd reasons until "authorities" say otherwise. Of course, we can trust them. *They are never wrong.*

This is yet another attack on our food sources as the new bird housing order will eliminate healthy free-range options for chicken meat and eggs while cruelly and unnecessarily confining once-free birds, many of whom are akin to pets for their doting owners. And in most cases, those with free-roaming birds will not be able to afford "proper housing" and have to sell off or kill their own beloved birds.

Also, for anyone who has cared for backyard birds of their own (as I have done), you will know just how truly unsanitary it is to keep them confined in buildings. Even free-roaming outdoor birds need to roost inside coops at night as protection from the elements and predators, and every chicken, duck or turkey owner knows just how much work is involved each morning in cleaning out coops from only one night of roosting for a small flock. Keeping birds confined (as with humans or any animal), is no way to safeguard health. Birds need exposure to sunshine, fresh air and dirt so they can eat grub and take dirt baths. This is why caged birds do not live more than a few months and must be fed hormones and otherwise genetically modified in order to grow fat quickly. So large are broiler chickens at 45-47 days, when it comes time for slaughter, that their own legs break under the weight of their obese bodies.

Author of *The Ultimate Conspiracy*, James McCumiskey, fully understanding the grave outcomes for humanity consequential to our continued belief in and adherence to Germ Theory when it comes in particular to poultry consumption and the phantom bird flu virus, warned in 2008...

English scientists use bird flu as a pretext for creating genetically modified bird flu-resistant chickens. If this continues to its logical conclusion we will only be allowed to eat poultry from factory-farmed chickens that have been genetically modified against a non-existent bird flu virus. This is a horrible vision. CCXXIV

It is a horrible vision, sadly coming into clearer focus thanks to the steps currently being taken in England. The culling will continue, at least until an *international* agreement is arranged in regards to a new vaccine. Vaccine trials in commercial bird populations are already underway. This is considered the "moral way forward" as it is hoped to "reduce the scale of the culling." ccxxv

The trialed vaccine is likely to be mRNA-based, in a larger attempt to genetically modify not just humans, but humanity's animal-based food sources, in addition to the already ubiquitous GM food crops.

In the land Down Under, plans are already underway (announced in September 2022) to fast track mRNA vaccines for cattle under the auspices of protecting against Foot and Mouth Disease (FMD) and Lumpy Skin Disease, with \$65 million allocated (of a \$229 million investment in "biosecurity" technology total for 2022), for the vaccine-development pilot contracted to Tiba Biotech by the New South Wales (NSW) government.

Deputy Premier and Minister for Regional NSW, Paul Toole, said he expects vaccine manufacturers to take up his challenge "to develop both vaccines ready for use and manufacture in NSW by August 1 [of] next year." And Dugald Saunders, the Minister for Agriculture, said mRNA vaccines would help NSW deal with FMD and Lumpy Skin Disease more quickly because "mRNA vaccines are cheaper and quicker to produce [than traditional predecessors], highly effective and very safe" (now, where have we heard that before...), and "because they are fully synthetic and do not require any animal or microbial products, they do not carry with them the same risks as traditionally derived vaccines." ccxxvi

Ab ha, so traditional vaccines do carry risks, eh? Now they will admit to it, only when they want to push a new, more lucrative synthetic "vaccine", claiming it to be completely safe (and effective) because it is artificial—meaning it poses more of a biological threat as a completely foreign non-biological entity being injected into an animal. (More on this later.)

Doubtless other regions in Australia and other countries will quickly follow suit as we see Jenner's cow medicine (derived from cows and given to humans) coming full circle to now be used on cattle too, branding humans and animals alike with synthetic genetic sequencing.

Will it really prove safe in cattle or other animals? Some sources claim that 35 out of 200 cows died directly upon injection with the new vaccines (during trials of the drugs) cexxvii. And many are expressing concern over safety of dairy and meat products for human consumption. And some, like mRNA inventor-turned-whistle-blower, Dr. Robert Malone, warn that Bayer and BioNTech have already "been working on livestock and companion animal mRNA vaccines for over six years" and logically these will soon flood the market, now that Covid-19 jabs have opened the flood gates.

Malone says that sometime circa 2015, a conditional license for an mRNA vaccine was issued for Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv)¹⁷ for use in pigs. This vaccine is still allowed to be used under the "conditional license" (similar to EUA for Covid-19 vaccines), which seems to be a strategy taken to "circumvent the USDA vaccine licensing and/or authorization process." And the public is not being told what meat is coming from livestock injected with these genetically modifying drugs. ccxxviii

Indeed, it does appear that government bureaucrats and drug and tech companies through mutually beneficial partnerships (like the one forged in Australia), see mRNA drugs as a way to rapidly earn obscene profits through,

¹⁷ Doubtless just another virus invented to detract from the more obvious cases of illness in animals kept in inhumane enclosed conditions.

as Meat and Livestock Australia managing director Jason Strong describes, a "type of vaccine technology [that] may not require the longer testing and approval processes required for conventional vaccine development and importation as it does not use animal products." "cexxix

Other insanity arising from the Germ Theory model of disease reveals itself in the absolutely ridiculous proposal to capture "all mosquitos" in "every town" for Zika virus testing, "every day", spending billions on this mad-cap plan, which only a stark ravingly mad lunatic could propose.

This exactly describes "infectious disease expert" Dr. David Agus, and precisely what he proposed on *CBS This Morning* in 2016^{ccxxx}—testing "all of the mosquitoes, every day" in every town across the US, in order to advise people (especially pregnant women), to stay indoors or not.

The idea that Zika virus was already in the US secretly infecting people (as allegedly 80% showed no symptoms), and silently spreading from person to person through mosquito bites (along with paranoid-level fear of viruses in general), presented the main theme of show. Agus said that the virus "can be in your blood and you don't know it... one mosquito bite can change everything" and that "one [bite/transmission] can lead to thousands."

He also claimed it was especially dangerous for pregnant women, since heightened incidents of deformities in newborns (caused by vaccinated and ultrasound irradiated mothers) need a new scapegoat—and the Zika virus was the latest being used at the time, although, no doubt the "coronavirus" has recently taken its place or helped to shoulder the burden. Agus also alleged that Zika virus can cause Guillain Barré syndrome in non-pregnant women, which is a disease specifically describing vaccine injury (as will be discussed in chapter 12).

Aside from driving fears of viruses and virus-carrying mosquitos, and telling people to spray themselves with extremely poisonous Deet, and dress in mosquito nets, Agus grossly misquoted Spanish Flu deaths, doubling them from the official 50 million to 100 million, and twice mentioned that the "world is flat", initiating zero raised eyebrows or other responses from his hosts. Agus specifically said in response to the question "Why are you so sure they [Zika-carrying mosquitoes] are coming here?"

Because mosquitoes, these kinds of mosquitoes are here in the United States, and we now know that these mosquitoes can get Zika virus very easily from biting somebody and **the world is flat**, right, the world cup happened, and then all of a sudden people from Brazil went all over the world and transmitted Zika. CCXXXI [emphasis added, SR]

And in response to a show host's question about the Olympic Summer Games aiding in the spread of Zika virus due to increased travel, Agus responded....

It's a public health nightmare. So, when we all look at this, we have to learn from it. Zika will be the first of many viruses over the years, because the **world** is **flat**. CCXXXXII [emphasis added, SR]

Because bats are continually vilified in Hollywood films and in the mainstream press as responsible for spreading viruses (allegedly) bred in bat caves—rather than finding ways to encourage and support larger bat colonies (as Dr. Campbell did with his aforementioned San Antonio municipality bat house), and let them manage mosquito colonies for us, they are used as further excuse for keeping livestock in disease-producing conditions indoors, since if left outdoors, virus-laden bat droppings might make their way into outdoor pig pens and infect pigs and then human handlers (as was show in the closing scene of the blockbuster Hollywood movie, *Contagion*).

Antibodies Anybody?

I discovered that really the whole concept of vaccination is like getting a disease, putting it in an un-diseased person to cure a disease that person hasn't got. It's like if you have an army and it's fighting an enemy, and then you bring the enemy into the barracks just to see if the soldiers can defend themselves should an enemy surprise them. I mean, you don't do such things in a war. And then I started asking myself—humanity has lived in Africa for 5.5 million years... polio vaccination in Uganda started in 1963. So, if we were all to die of polio like the Minister of Health was telling us, we would have died by 1963 and it would have been 'case closed.' There would have been nobody to vaccinate. So the fact that we have survived 5.5 million years without polio vaccination shows that people can survive without it.

—Kihura Nkuba, founder of the Greater African Radio, president of the East African World Broadcasters Association¹⁸ ccxxxiii

The only "proof" ever given to date for the efficacy of vaccinations is the presence of antibodies after vaccination.

The rationale behind modern-day Rockefeller cow medicine is that by exposing our bodies prematurely to an antigen in order to force our bodies into making specific antibodies in response to injection with specific antigens, we will be safeguarded from specific diseases.

Antigens are supposed to consist of some form of a pathogenic virus, either "live" or "attenuated/dead." However, as explained already, pathogenic viruses do not exist, nor are they alleged to be "living" even by virologists' standards. So, what is really being injected into us if this is the case?

Substances alleged to contain genetic material *believed* to be segments of viruses (with no valid proof to confirm this theory), is what passes for "live" or "attenuated" viruses in vaccine manufacturing today.

But "viruses" are only understood as RNA or DNA (genetic material) encased in a protective protein shell. Again, while not having verified this as fact, this belief still points to something which, while often called "live", does not describe a living microorganism by any definition. But these non-living viruses are still considered to self-replicate once they have made their way into

¹⁸ Excerpt from his 1997 speech at the Third International Public Conference on Vaccination, concerning the repeated, forced, live-oral-polio vaccination campaign carried out by WHO and UNICEF in Uganda. (Since he dared speak out against this globalist assault on his people, he has had to live in hiding, with police in constant pursuit.)

a host's cell. This replication process is "proven" in the same way as "virus isolation"; namely when cell degradation is witnessed after having submitted cell cultures to a long list of adulterating processes, as already described.

So that, technically speaking, in scientific terms, viruses are not called "living" or "dead" (since they can be neither), but "replication competent" or not. (But referring to them as living has a more profoundly negative impact on the human psyche.) And so, the virus is supposed to possess cloning (self-replicating) powers through genetic replication principles.

When virologists, then, speak of a "live" or "attenuated" form of a virus, they are speaking of "unadulterated" and "adulterated" genetic material. The attenuation process is meant to make a virus less "active" or "virulent". In the modified form, the virus is said to no longer possess the ability to attack host cells. This practice began after "live virus" vaccines were deemed too risky, as was seen with Salk's polio vaccine that caused thousands of cases of polio in recipients. With an "attenuated" or "non-living" viral vaccine, the virus is reduced to a basically castrated or emasculated condition, disabling its ability to initiate the so-called immune response of producing antibodies, so that something else (called an adjuvant), must be added to initiate the creation of antibodies in vaccine recipients.

This is what virologists and vaccine scientists tell us. But the reality is that since viruses do not exist, simply injecting people with a serum comprised solely of "viruses", even with the accompanied bodily fluids from a sick person, initiates *zero* measurable response in the recipient. This is why "adjuvants" are included in the cow-medicine concoctions, because what passes for viral material on its own is not sufficient to prompt the desired "immune response", which is then measured by the subsequent production of antibodies.

But the "immune system" itself is a fabrication, invented in the early 1970s, around the same time President Nixon declared "war" on cancer. The immune system story was birthed in order to offer an explanation for the origin of cancers in the absence of germ-based evidence. If a germ could not be pointed out as culprit than there must be something going terribly awry with the cancer victim's body—or so the reasoning followed.

Disease processes were reduced to military terms, our bodies fought off invading forces with their own armies of microscopic defenders. Special significance was given to the number of white blood cells ("fighting" T cells and B cells), versus red blood cells and presence or absence of antibodies. The idea of microscopic soldiers at the ready within our bodies reduced the mysterious intricate functioning of our bodies into simplistic military terms and removed our conscious selves from the fight against unseen invaders in the process. In this analogy we are left as hapless victims of fate and genetics. If we were unfortunate enough to have been born with a "weak" immune system then we were left helpless to do anything about it, particularly (and rather significantly), without the aid of new technologies.

Without the ability to produce antibodies, we were told our mysterious immune systems could not function. The unfortunate children born during the 1970s who exhibited the inability to produce antibodies and only had trace amounts of immunoglobin in circulation, were given the fancy medical label of agammaglobulinaemia and then forced to live inside of "germ-free" plastic-bubble

environments—that is, for those kids whose parents could afford to install such nonsensical prisons for their children in their homes. The kids trapped inside of these plastic cells were called "bubble kids" and served as inspiration for the nauseating John Travolta B-movie; *The Boy in the Plastic Bubble*.

The interesting thing about the ending of that movie is that Travolta's character, who had been forced to live inside of a bubble his whole childhood, finally decides to take his chances in the outside world around the age of 17. He is shown riding off into the woods on horseback with his attractive female neighbor of the same age, without dying from breathing in millions of invisible germs.

The Hollywood ending, for once, is actually true to life. The "bubble kids" who were not forced to live inside of ridiculous plastic-lined rooms, did not get sick any more than other kids, and did not die prematurely from "lack of an immune system." Some even got measles in the normal fashion as other children, and recovered, sans the presence of any antibodies. "They were fine. Why? Because, germs are not the cause of disease, and the presence or absence of antibodies does not determine whether we get sick, stay healthy, live or die.

We cannot actually develop "immunity" to anything. This is a false concept. We simply may become ill and then recover, or not. Becoming ill once with a certain set of symptoms does not mean we will not become ill with the same symptoms again. 19 And full germ-free environments are neither possible to create, nor are they desirable. We need to be exposed to microorganisms because we need to be exposed to living things in order to be part of life, and hence, truly alive.

Adjuvants

In vaccines, the added adjuvants, intended to initiate an "immune reaction" can comprise of one, or a combination, of a number of a long list of poisons; such as, ethyl glycol (antifreeze), acetone (finger nail polish remover), aluminum phosphate (carcinogen), mercury/thimerosal (neurotoxin, carcinogen), aluminum (neurotoxin), and phenol (carbolic acid disinfectant), to name a few.

The title of an October 27, 2020 article from the propaganda mouthpiece otherwise known as the *BBC*, nicely sums up the conventional wisdom surrounding the topic of adjuvants: "Scientists add some bizarre things to vaccines, such as aluminum and extracts from shark livers. Many vaccines simply don't work without them—but no one knows why."ccxxxv

So, there you have it, the scientists add crazy things to vaccines that seem to make them work (meaning they help the recipient to produce antibodies, not that they provably make the patient well or protect them from illness), but *no one knows why!*

¹⁹ As is proven each winter during "cold" or "flu season", however a new "variant" of "influenza" or "coronavirus" is blamed for the repeat of the old, same set of symptoms, which should not resurface after one has been sick and gained either "natural immunity" following an illness or received the annual "flu vaccine", or both. There is no such thing as a viral variant, since this, like any virus, has never been proven to exist or cause disease. And if any did exist and were responsible for new disease outbreaks, the new symptoms should not match the old.

And according to the author of the same article, the inclusion of adjuvants (from the Latin *adjuvare* translating to "aid" or help), all started with a French veterinarian; one Gaston Ramon in 1925, when he made an "accidental discovery" while "trying out a new diphtheria vaccine on horses." His curiosity was peaked, after observing that some of the horses that "reacted by developing nasty abscesses at the injection site" also were the ones who "tended to develop stronger immune responses." (Translation: the horses who showed the worst reactions at the injection site also tended to get sicker from the injection, equates to "stronger immune response.")

It is said that Ramon's curiosity (doubtless combined with a good dose of sadism—necessary to drive anyone to do what this man did), led the French veterinarian to further experiment on his poor beasts by testing "a bizarre smorgasbord of ingredients, seemingly based on what he happened to have in his kitchen cupboards." The ingredients Ramon included along with the diphtheria vaccine were: "tapioca, starch, agar, lecithin... and even breadcrumbs." ccxxxxvii

The author of this piece (of garbage), Zaria Gorvett, after properly dubbing Ramon's patients "unfortunate" recipients of the contents of Ramon's kitchen cupboards, promptly called the crude experiments "a success"! With this "success", of course, solely referring to the production of antibodies in the hapless horse victims. Because, explains Gorvett, the "animals" (suggesting possibly a greater variety than equine only) who had been given the vaccines with the randomly added ingredients "produced significantly more antibodies than those which didn't, **suggesting** that they would be better protected against diphtheria." "ccxxxviii [emphasis added, SR]

Gorvett (paid shill that he obviously is), admits that adjuvants used in vaccines today are "no less weird than they were to begin with." But readily assures readers that "weird" ingredients are nothing to fear because they "work" and are "safe and effective"—that old party line again. And apparently without including an adjuvant, the "efficacy" (read: poisonous effects), of the vaccine would just not last as long, because, according to Dalian University of Technology in Dalian, China, chemical engineer Bingbing Sun, "without an adjuvant, antibodies will generally disappear, maybe after a few weeks or months. But with adjuvants they **might** last for a few years."ccxxxix [emphasis added, SR] (If only Jenner had known about adjuvants! Well, this explains, according to Sun, why his vaccines that provided "lifelong immunity" had to be readministered up to 20 times in some individuals... they just did not contain quite enough poison.)

The most common adjuvant is the "chemical" aluminum, also "accidentally discovered" as it happened (and only a year after Ramon), by British immunologist Alexander Glenny when searching for something that might help to keep the toxin produced by diphtheria bacteria (which his team was "trying to purify", but it is not stated whether they succeeded), to "dissolve less rapidly in the body", in order to produce a "stronger immune response". Apparently, Glenny decided to try using aluminum salts with the diphtheria bacteria on his guinea pigs, because "according to legend" it "just so happened to be the first thing he saw in his chemical shelf." (How very scientific of him, about as much so as Ramon.) And then, "something unexpected happened.

Those which had been injected with the toxin plus aluminum salts developed much stronger immunity than those which were only injected with the toxin, and not because it [the toxin] was more pure. They were responding to the aluminum itself."ccxl (Shocker! Animals injected with a known toxin like aluminum, were made sicker than those who were spared the injection. What an *amazing discovery*. Hats off to Glenny!)

Gorvett apparently does not know that aluminum is a *heavy metal*, not exactly a "chemical", which he insists on continually calling it, excusing himself by referring to it only as "aluminum salts", thereby effectively avoiding the more emotionally triggering label of heavy metal, even if it is more accurate.

Well, who cares about accuracy when writing for the *BBC*? Apparently not Gorvett, who points to what he calls the "aluminum paradox", meaning "the toxicity of aluminum salts" is what makes it so effective in initiating an immune response. (Admitting here that aluminum salts are indeed toxic, even while trying to dismiss its toxicity by drawing attention to its inclusion in stomach antiacid medication, dental cement and some brands of baking soda, and emphasizing the low weight of aluminum contained in vaccines at "only" 0.2 mg... more on why less is more with aluminum later on.) This *theory* (as Gorvett admits it is), states that the toxicity of aluminum leads "distressed cells to release uric acid, which activates an immune reaction normally associated with damage. Immune cells flock to the site, and start producing antibodies—and voila, the vaccine has worked." cexli

Voila, indeed. Note the admission of the activation of an immune reaction "normally associated with damage" and how "immune cells" show up to produce antibodies in response. This clearly explains that antibodies are simply the body's response to being *harmed*.

It is the *adjuvant*, which is now largely credited with this so-called *immune response* and production of antibodies, not the "virus" in vaccines, attenuated or otherwise.

The antibodies found when a measles vaccine is administered are called measles antibodies, assumed to be a unique response to the measles virus, which Dr. Stephan Lanka (as described earlier in this chapter) proved in a court of law, does not exist. If a different vaccine was given, the antibodies produced in response are said to be specific to whatever virus was claimed to be in that vaccine. When multiple vaccines or combination vaccines are given at once, the resultant antibodies are assumed to be linked to all of the viruses in whatever listed form contained in the shots.

But what are antibodies exactly?

As alluded to already, antibodies are the body's response to being poisoned. During poisoning, cells are destroyed through perforations. Antibodies are produced in order to protect damaged cells by forming sealing substances called globulins, which are "small protein bodies that immediately expand in acidic environments, become flat and cross-link with their hydrogen sulfide groups (in which energy is stored) with other proteins and other things."ccxlii

This process enables blood clotting, wound healing and sealing of cells when toxins tear holes in them. The hydrogen-sulfide-type proteins responsible for

this binding are called antibodies. However, they are non-specific, as they bind to all sorts of things.

How can any doctor or scientist claim that the antibodies they find in response to being injected with a whole cocktail of dubious substances (initially originating in some mad Frenchman's kitchen cupboards), to only be a reaction to the alleged viral particle and not a reaction to the long list of toxic ingredients?

This is highly illogical. If an antibody could, in fact, be specific to a specific threat (like an alleged virus), why are there not also anti-freeze antibodies, and formaldehyde antibodies and aluminum antibodies (and even breadcrumb antibodies), etc.? The vaccine makers admit that adjuvants are added in order to intensify or enhance the body's response to the "viral" material. There is an open admission that the viral aspect of the injections is not enough to do this on its own. This, we are told, is because it is too dangerous to inject a virus in its full-blown viral form, and so the "attenuated" form is used. But since this form is not quite powerful enough in its weakened (and so assumed to be "safer") form, the addition of other poisons must be included so that our bodies will "understand" that the presence of this weaker virus is bad, so that when it encounters the "real" one, it will already have the antibodies all set to go. This is what is considered "protection"; the presence of these fully non-specific antibodies meant to be specific to various viruses.

To sum up, the logic (if one must call it so), employed in *cow medicine* manufacturing and administration is that by poisoning an individual this person's body will be primed for later poisonings. It is akin to saying that by burning your hand it will be better prepared for future burnings. But we all know that scar tissue is inferior to normal tissues and that being burned repeatedly only further damages and destroys tissues.

The same can be said for repeated poisoning. This does not make anyone stronger or better protected from disease. It simply weakens and sickens previously healthy people and reduces their chances of achieving and safeguarding health in the future.

To further confound matters, the presence or absence of antibodies does not in fact directly correlate (as we are told it is supposed to) with the presence, absence of, or recovery from, disease.

Often people demonstrating specific symptoms generally correlated with a specific disease such as tuberculosis, may, or may not, show antibodies. And those vaccinated against a specific disease like measles, may, or may not, show antibodies.

Worse yet, is that sometimes doctors claim that the presence of antibodies means someone has recovered from a disease, and at other times say that someone is infected with a disease and not recovered.

When people take an antibody test for diagnostic purposes whether or not displaying symptoms, such as for HIV or for SARS-CoV-2 (Covid), and test "positive" for demonstrating antibodies, this positive result is interpreted as a person being infected and at risk for the development or spread of said disease, even though antibodies are non-specific and a virus would have had to have been isolated in order to create an antibody-specific test in the first place, and this is not done.

It is for these reasons that these types of tests are totally useless. Or at least, they are very useful for the enemy if he wants to label you as a diseased person in need of quarantine or force-medicating. But they are not useful for true disease diagnostic purposes.

In short, they are fraudulent, and are being used as a tool of control.

PCR

PCR basically takes a sample of your cells and amplifies any DNA to look for 'viral sequences', i.e., bits of non-human DNA that seem to match parts of a known viral genome. The problem is the test is known not to work. It uses 'amplification' which means taking a very, very tiny amount of DNA and growing it exponentially until it can be analysed. Obviously, any minute contaminations in the sample will also be amplified leading to potentially gross errors of discovery. Additionally, it's only looking for partial viral sequences, not whole genomes, so identifying a single pathogen is next to impossible even if you ignore the other issues. The idea these kits can isolate a specific virus like COVID-19 is nonsense. Carthii — Jason Hommel, "Scientists Say the COVID-19 Test Kits Do Not Work, Are Worthless, and Give Impossible Results"

The exact same can be said for the PCR tests as for antibody tests. The PCR genetic amplification method was never intended as a test for diagnosing disease, but rather meant as a forensics tool. The inventor of the PCR, the late Kerry Mullis (who *conveniently* died of pneumonia only months prior to the 2020 pandemic), spoke out adamantly against using PCR as a diagnostic tool as was done for HIV/AIDS. He said that you can find whatever you want if you amplify it at enough cycles. . .

...with PCR, if you do it well, you can find almost anything in anybody... because if you can amplify one single molecule up to something which you can really measure, which PCR can do, there are very few molecules that you don't have at least one single one of them in your body... it's a process that is used to make a whole lot of something out of something. It doesn't tell you that you are sick or the thing you ended up with is really what was going to hurt you or anything like that. ccxliv

Similar, in fact, to picking out images from an ink-blot test or shapes of elephants or donkeys from puffy clouds. And this is exactly what doctors are doing when they look at PCR results and claim to see pieces of a specific virus. Or, as Mullis explained, we all have every kind of possible molecule in existence somewhere within us, so if the analyst has been told that a strand of SARS-CoV-2 looks a certain way (not the whole genome as this has not been viewed), this type of genetic material can be found in anyone if one looks hard enough through using PCR, or rather looks closely enough through increased amplification cycles. But whatever is found does not tell us anything about whether or not we are sick, or that the amplified material is what made us ill. Increased amplification levels can thereby ensure a "positive" result (even though the test is non-binary, meaning there is no true positive or negative, but simply "viral particle" identification, based on interpretation/subjectivity of the

results), namely anything over 35 cycles (or even 24 cycles according to some sources^{ccxlv}), is not recommended, as it leads to a high level of "false-positives" meaning exactly what it sounds like; an incorrect diagnosis. Even Anthony Fauci cautioned against the use of high PCR test cycles...

...If you get [perform the test at] a cycle threshold of 35 or more... the chances of it being replication-competent [aka accurate] are miniscule... you almost never can culture virus [detect a true positive result] from a 37-threshold cycle... even 36...ccxlvii

But amplifications over 35 have been, and continue to be, set for these tests in the US and all over the world, contrary to the specific instructions by the CDC to not do this—which urged health authorities to lower then commonly used cycle thresholds of 40-45 (thanks to the FDA authorizing PCR testing at cycles up to 40), in January 2021. ccxlviii Of course, this response from the CDC came a year late, after the ubiquitous use of these high amplification cycles led to extremely conflated "case" numbers, which served as excuse for extreme, draconian, "emergency" measures/restrictions.

The inherent fallibility of these PCR tests was dramatically and comically demonstrated when Tanzanian President John Magufuli sent in DNA samples from a goat, sheep, pawpaw, yellowfish fruit and even motor oil under phony names to be tested for Covid-19 using PCR.ccxlix "Mary" the pawpaw and "Larry" the goat, had a positive result, leading Magufuli to reject the imported "Covid-19 test" kits, calling for an investigation into the "technical errors", what he believed might have been an act of sabotage, with technicians possibly having been "bought to mislead."ccl For his act of defiance, Magufuli came under sharp criticism by the globalists for not playing ball and "downplaying the seriousness of the disease" and for daring to offer non-pharmaceutical herbal-based medicines for sick citizens.ccli

Magufuli was right about sabotage, technical errors, and technicians being bought to mislead. When you do not have an intact virus genome to show, as no virologist has done to date, then it logically follows that you cannot find the same virus, or a piece of it, within someone's blood or cell sample scraped from the nether reaches of the nostril nearest to the brain. It is fraud. Plain and simple. As thanks for exposing this fraud, Magufuli paid the highest possible price. He was soon after kidnapped and murdered with his death labeled as caused by "Covid."

The CDC even had to admit that PCR has been an inappropriate testing tool after it was first used on millions of Americans from 2020 to end of 2021 and continues to be used in spite of the CDC pronouncement, with fraudulent results employed to inflate numbers of cases, driving the justifications for vaccinations, lockdowns and masking. In late 2021, the CDC announced that the PCR tests had failed to differentiate between "Covid" and the "flu."

This was posted to their website in August 2021, stating that by the end of December 2021 the PCR needed to be exchanged for a more accurate and appropriate test, without saying what that test should be, except for a vague reference to "one of the many FDA-authorized alternatives." celii

Let that sink in for a moment. The *entire* justification for all of the draconian measures was based on the use and interpretations of these PCR "tests". And

when the CDC finally admits they are "unreliable", what happens? Is the CDC forced to close? Are its teams of scientists arrested for fraud and conspiracy to topple the economy and imprison and poison the American people? Is there a Senate hearing related to their gross misconduct? Are the millions who permanently lost their jobs, or the families of those who lost loved ones due to medical tyranny remunerated at all?

No. In fact, *none* of those things happened, and there was barely a whisper of the CDC's admission anywhere. And the PCR tests have not been abandoned either. Their use continues to this day alongside the use of the equally fraudulent antibody tests.

In addition to the so-called false-positives (allegedly at a rate of 80-90 percent²⁰ for cycles set above 35), directly benefitting our leaders in their tyrannical control measures and profiting key powerful players in this drama, the administration of the tests themselves directly financially benefitted Google—which through its Project Baseline, partnered with Rite Aid for Covid PCR testing. This partnership, thanks to mandated testing for entry into schools, places of work, large event attendance and for travel, helped Google's total revenue of \$160.40 billion in 2019 jump to \$257.64 billion in 2021. Celiii (But do not worry, we can still trust Google to not censor information in its search engine that may have a direct impact on its profit margin...)

When the CDC finally recommended against PCR testing, it was with the admission that the tests did not accurately distinguish between influenza viruses and SARS-CoV-2 viruses. It came to light that the tests were only checking for general, not specific "coronaviruses", which had previously been associated with the common cold or flu, and largely considered to be innocuous. But the use of the general "coronavirus" term was also cleverly written into all emergency documents and legislation, so that technically the emergency measures can be and have extended to all "coronaviruses", allowing the State to detain, isolate, force-test and force-medicate anyone demonstrating symptoms or "positive tests" for any flu or cold, not just "Covid-19."

And since (as already mentioned but bears repeating), no virus, not "SARS-CoV-2" or any generalized "coronavirus" or "influenza" virus, has *ever* been isolated—in absence of an isolated virus, no test (whether it be PCR, antibody or other), can be specifically and accurately calibrated to one virus, and therefore one viral disease.

Therefore, all test results using any of these technologies will inevitably prove false, and thus constitute fraud.

²⁰ One Chinese study published in March 2020, called, "Potential False-Positive Rate Among the 'Asymptomatic Infected Individuals' in Close Contacts of COVID-19 Patients" was withdrawn from NIH's PubMed listings over concern that readers might be influenced to refuse or ignore testing.

ENDNOTES

Introduction

- ¹ The Bodies of Others; The New Authoritarians, COVID-19, and The War Against the Human, Naomi Wolf, 2022, All Seasons Press p. 93
 - ii Ibid, p. 140
 - iii Ibid, p. 128
- iv Police fire rubber bullets at protesters in Melbourne, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tA0NVknpfcw
- v Rowan, Hamer, Welcome to the Masquerade; Prelude to the Coming Reset, pp. 148-150
- vi "Never Forget When Melbourne, Australia Was a Covid Police State. Excessive Violence & Brutality..." 10/10/22 Liberum Arbitrium https://www.bitchute.com/video/0BHnqiFTevzl/
- vii https://www.ins.org/the-great-reset-wants-you-to-own-nothing-and-be-happy-about-it/
- viii As happened to hundreds in Australia (i.e. Howard Springs) when found to have come into contact with Covid-19 "positive testing" others via intrusive unconstitutional surveillance methods. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mGFdWcJU7-0&ab_channel=UnHerd
- ix Ghosh, WEF, 5/5/21, "34% of America's small businesses are still closed due to COVID-19. Here's why it matters." https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/05/america-united-states-covid-small-businesses-economics/
- x Roth, 3/27/20, Health Leaders, "President Trump Signs \$2 Trillion Stimulus Package; Telehealth Gest Another Boost" https://www.healthleadersmedia.com/innovation/president-trump-signs-2-trillion-stimulus-package-telehealth-gets-another-boost
- xi S.893 Secure 5G and Beyond Act of 2020, Congress.gov https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/senate-bill/893/text
- xii Wilson, 1/27/22, The Exposé, "Gene Therapy and Human Cyborgs: We Are Being Played Before We Even Know What the Playing Field Looks Like", https://expose-news.com/2022/09/27/gene-therapy-and-human-cyborgs-we-are-being-played/
- xiii Dayen, 5/27/20, The Intercept, "Corporate Rescue; How the Fed Bailed Out the Investor Class Without Spending a Cent", https://theintercept.com/2020/05/27/federal-reserve-corporate-debt-coronavirus/
- xiv James McCumiskey, Real Honest Money, 2022
- xv Naomi Wolf, The Bodies of Others; The New Authoritarians, COVID-19, and The War Against the Human, 2022, All Seasons Press pp. 201-209
- xvi Darren Orf, 1/18/23, Popular Mechanics, "The Truth About Why Bill Gates Keeps Buying So Much Farmland", https://www.popularmechanics.com/science/environment/a42543527/why-is-bill-gates-buving-so-much-farmland/
- xvii Nick Estes, 4/5/21, The Guardian, "Bill Gates is the biggest private owner of farmland in the United States. Why?", https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2021/apr/05/bill-gates-climate-crisisfarmland
- xviii Ovide, 4/30/21, *The New York Times*, "How Big Tech Won the Pandemic" https://www.nytimes.com/2021/04/30/technology/big-tech-pandemic.html
- xix Naomi Wolf, The Bodies of Others; The New Authoritarians, COVID-19, and The War Against the Human, 2022, All Seasons Press pp. 201-209

Chapter One

- xx Eustace Mullins, Murder by Injection; The Story of the Medical Conspiracy against American, Omnia Veritas, 1988, p. 133
- xxi John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, Henry Colburn Publisher, London, 1838
 - xxii Ibid, p. 229-230
 - xxiii Ibid, p. 231
 - xxiv Ibid, p. 368
 - xxv Ibid, p. 307
- xxvi Survive the News, "Edward Jenner the supposed 'father of immunology' was a complete huckster and fraud who never passed a single medical examination in his life", https://www.survivethenews.com/edward-jenner-the-supposed-father-of-immunology-was-a-complete-huckster-and-fraud-who-never-passed-a-single-medical-examination-in-his-life-oped/
- xxvii Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html
- xxviii Wendy Moore, The Knife Man; Blood, Body Snatching, and the Birth of Modern Surgery, Broadway Books, 2005
 xxix Davywavy, 12/2/20, News Thump, "Edward Jenner wants to inject me with micro-cows, insists 18th century
 anti-vaxxer" https://newsthump.com/2020/12/02/edward-jenner-wants-to-inject-me-with-micro-cows-insists-18th-century-anti-vaxxer/

```
xxx John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 355, Henry Colburn Publisher, London, 1838
       xxxi Ibid, p. 352
       xxxii Ibid, p. 147
       xxxiii Ibid, p. 232
       xxxiv Ibid, p. 350
       xxxv Ibid, p. 24
xxxvi Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html
xxxviii Jennifer Craig, PhD, Jabs, Jenner, and Juggernauts, p. 28, Prussia's compulsory vaccination and re-vaccination
programs led to its worst recorded outbreak of smallpox, with 124,978 vaccinated citizens "carried off" in
xxxix John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 52, Henry Colburn Publisher, London, 1838
       xl Ibid, p. 304
xli
     Eleanor
                 McBean,
                              The
                                     Poisoned
                                                Needle:
                                                           Suppressed
                                                                        Facts
                                                                                About
                                                                                          Vaccination,
                                                                                                         1957,
http://whale.to/a/mcbean.html
slii John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 374, Henry Colburn Publisher, London, 1838
       xliii Ibid, p. 61
       xliv Ibid, p. 251
       xlv Ibid, p. 392
       xlvi Ibid, p. 250
xivii Dissolving Illusions; Vaccines, and the Forgotten History, Suzanne Humphries MD, Roman Bystrianyk, 2015, p.
59
xlviii John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 142, Henry Colburn Publisher, London, 1838
       xlix Ibid, p. 372
<sup>1</sup> Excerpts from Campbell's book, Bats, Mosquitoes and Dollars, http://www.whale.to/v/asher3.html
lii John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 21, Henry Colburn Publisher, London, 1838
       liii Ibid, p. 237
       livIbid, p. 28-29, 413, 419
       ly Ibid, p. 333, 342, 343, 403
       lvi Ibid, p. 236
       lvii Ibid, p. 28
       lviii Ibid, p. 13-14
lix Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html
lx John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 231, Henry Colburn Publisher, London, 1838
lxii Ibid
lxiii Eleanor McBean, The Poisoned Needle; Suppressed Facts About Vaccination, 1957,
http://whale.to/a/mcbean.html
lxiv Dr. Tom Kerns, "Jenner on Trial", Introduction to Bioethics, North Seattle Community College
http://www.bioethicscourse.info/jotsite/jot-homepg.html
1xv Freemasonrytoday.com, "Edward Jenner, Freemason and Natural Philosopher"
https://www.freemasonrytodav.com/more-news/lodges-chapters-a-individuals/edward-ienner-freemason-
and-natural-philosopher
lxvi Dissolving Illusions, Vaccines, and the Forgotten History, Suzanne Humphries MD, Roman Bystrianyk, 2015
lxvii Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html
lxviii Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts; A Look at Vaccination, Jennifer Craig, PhD, Breedon Books Publishing, 2002, p.
19
       lxix Ibid, p. 19
       lxx Ibid, p. 19, www.cdc.gov/smallpox
       lxxi Ibid, p. 10
lxxii Excerpts from Campbell's book, Bats, Mosquitoes and Dollars, http://www.whale.to/v/asher3.html
lxxiii Infectiousness of smallpox, http://whale.to/vaccines/infectiousness_smallpox_q.html
lxxiv CDC, Bedbugs, https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/bedbugs/index.html
lxxv Richard Gast, 11/17/20, Cornell Cooperative Extension, "Opinion: Dairy Cows, Milkmaids and the
First Vaccine" https://www.pressrepublican.com/opinion/columns/opinion-dairy-cows-milkmaids-and-
the-first-vaccine/article 7217c081-ff9a-52ce-91ee-ae7ec0e7eedb.html
lxxvi John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 68
lxxvii Pat Rattigan ND, Vaccination; Assault on the Species
lxxviii Jabbed: how the vaccine industry, medical establishment, and government stick it to you and your family, Brett Wilcox,
2018, Skyhorse Publishing, p. 4 (endnote 10)
lxxix John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 305
       lxxx Ibid, p. 347
       lxxxi Ibid, p. 239-240
lxxxii Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html
lxxxiii John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 28
```

```
lxxxvi Ibid
       lxxxvii Ibid
       lxxxviii Ibid
       lxxxix Ibid
xc Smallpox, the Other Side of Vaccines, http://theothersideofvaccines.com/tag/smallpox/
xci Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html
xeii Dissolving Illusions; Vaccines, and the Forgotten History, Suzanne Humphries MD, Roman Bystrianyk, 2015, p.
xeiii Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html
xeiv Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts, A Look at Vaccination, Jennifer Craig, PhD, Breedon Books Publishing, 2002 p.
34
       xcv Ibid
xevi Jabbed: how the vaccine industry, medical establishment, and government stick it to you and your family, Brett Wilcox,
2018, Skyhorse Publishing, p. 47
xevii Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts; A Look at Vaccination, Jennifer Craig, PhD, Breedon Books Publishing, 2002. p
35
xeviii Linda Lazarides, "Smallpox, bedbugs and vitamin C" https://linlaz.substack.com/p/smallpox-bedbugs-
and-vitamin-c
xeix Jabbed: how the vaccine industry, medical establishment, and government stick it to you and your family, Brett Wilcox,
2018, Skyhorse Publishing, p. 48
       c Ibid, p. 49
<sup>ci</sup> Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts, A Look at Vaccination, Jennifer Craig, PhD, Breedon Books Publishing, 2002, p.
31, citing from Swan, Joseph, The Vaccination Problem, 1936
       cii Ibid, p. 35
ciii Jabbed: how the vaccine industry, medical establishment, and government stick it to you and your family, Brett Wilcox,
2018, Skyhorse Publishing, p. 51
civ Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts; A Look at Vaccination, Jennifer Craig, PhD, Breedon Books Publishing, 2002 p.
15
       cv Ibid, p. 15
       cvi Ibid
cvii Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html
eviii Jabbed: how the vaccine industry, medical establishment, and government stick it to you and your family, Brett Wilcox,
2018, Skyhorse Publishing, p. 3
cix Eustace Mullins, Murder by Injection; The Story of the Medical Conspiracy against American, Omnia Veritas, 1988,
ex Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts; A Look at Vaccination, Jennifer Craig, PhD, Breedon Books Publishing, 2002,
p. 35-36
       cxi Ibid, p. 97
exii Suzanne Humphries, MD, "Smoke, Mirrors, and the 'Disappearance' of Polio," International Medical Council
on Vaccination, Nov. 17, 2011, http://whale.to/a/smoke_mirrors.html
       cxiii Ibid
exiv Jabbed: how the vaccine industry, medical establishment, and government stick it to you and your family, Brett Wilcox,
2018, Skyhorse Publishing, p.88
cxv John Baron, The Life of Edward Jenner, Vol. 2, p. 62
exvi Yuri Bezmenov, speaking on Ideological subversion"; the Soviet plan to indoctrinate Americans into Social
Marxism for a US television interview by G. Edward Griffin in 1984
exvii Brendon Lee O'Connell, YouTube, "Quickie – Tucker Carlson is A 'Communitarian' – Will Cain
Podcast, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O1164Zhumtk
exviii Jabbed: how the vaccine industry, medical establishment, and government stick it to you and your family, Brett Wilcox,
2018, Skyhorse Publishing, p. 96-97
exix Casaletto, 5/11/21, CityNews, "We can have a one-dose summer': Trudeau says health measures won't be
eased until more Canadians are treated"
https://toronto.citynews.ca/2021/05/11/we-can-have-a-one-dose-summer-justin-trudeau-says-public-
health-measures-wont-be-eased-just-vet/
exx Brueck, 8/27/21, Business Insider, "Getting to herd immunity will require 90% of people to be vaccinated
against
           COVID-19,
                            experts
                                        say"
                                                 https://news.vahoo.com/getting-herd-immunity-require-90-
exxiEllyatt, 8/12/21, CNBC, "Here's why herd immunity from Covid is 'mythical' with the delta variant,
https://www.cnbc.com/2021/08/12/herd-immunity-is-mythical-with-the-covid-delta-variant-experts-
sav.html
       <sup>cxxii</sup> Ibid
       cxxiii Ibid
```

lxxxiv

Freemasonrytoday.com,

and-natural-philosopher

cxxiv Ibid

"Edward

Jenner,

lxxxv Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html

https://www.freemasonrytoday.com/more-news/lodges-chapters-a-individuals/edward-jenner-freemason-

Freemason

Natural

and

Philosopher"

```
exx [abbed: how the vaccine industry, medical establishment, and government stick it to you and your family, Brett Wilcox,
2018, Skyhorse Publishing, p. 88
cxxvi Ibid, p. 97
exxvii The Defender Staff, 10/03/22 CHD, The Defender, "Supreme Court Turns Away Challenge to Biden's
COVID Vaccine Mandate for Health Workers + More"https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/bb-
supreme-court-turns-away-challenge-vaccine-mandate-health-workers/?itm_term=home
exxviii Mattias Desmet, "The Psychology of Totalitarianism; From rationalism to mass formation—and towards
Truth speech", https://mattiasdesmet.substack.com/p/the-psychology-of-totalitarianism
exxix See books: Vaccine Voodoo, The Lethal Dose, and Goodbye Germ Theory
cxxx Genetic modification via Covid shots
https://beforeitsnews.com/strange/2022/04/vaccines-are-genocide-bio-weapons-2479526.html and
https://www.riotimesonline.com/brazil-news/modern-day-censorship/new-study-from-sweden-says-
pfizer-mrna-does-indeed-integrate-into-your-dna/
exxxi Mattias Desmet, "The Psychology of Totalitarianism; From rationalism to mass formation—and towards
Truth speech", https://mattiasdesmet.substack.com/p/the-psychology-of-totalitarianism
       cxxxii Ibid
cxxxiii Dr. Hadwen, January 3, 1923, "The Fraud of Vaccination" http://www.whale.to/v/hadwen1.html
exxxiv Mattias Desmet, "The Psychology of Totalitarianism; From rationalism to mass formation—and towards
Truth speech", <a href="https://mattiasdesmet.substack.com/p/the-psychology-of-totalitarianism">https://mattiasdesmet.substack.com/p/the-psychology-of-totalitarianism</a>
exxxv Jenna Shaputis, "What is Communitarian law?" video, PTL Media Now, YouTube,
       https://www.voutube.com/watch?v=igAuOhIJD1o&t=8s
coxxi Died Suddenly Mega Compilation, video, https://welovetrump.com/2022/11/02/died-suddenly-the-
mega-compilation/
cxxxvii Safe and Effective, A Second Opinion, 2022, https://www.oraclefilms.com/safeandeffective
                                                            "Vaccination
            Disclosure
                             News.
                                           4/27/22,
                                                                                 Microchip
                                                                                                    ID2020",
https://www.disclosurenews.it/vaccination-microchip-id2020/
exxxix Died Suddenly Mega Compilation, video, https://welovetrump.com/2022/11/02/died-suddenly-the-
mega-compilation/
cxl James McCumiskey, The Achilles' Heel of the Biomedical Paradigm, 2021, p. 77
exli S Dobler, 11/17/17, About Earth Changes, "Dr. Stefan Lanka: the history of the infection theory (English
transcript & videos), https://abruptearthchanges.com/2017/11/17/dr-stefan-lanka-the-history-of-the-
infection-theory/
      The
            Brizer Show,
                              5/5/21,
                                          https://ln3.sync.com/dl/699d78080/623zggi5-f4je3u44-96jviknk-
n4wqeyy2/view/default/5882194950010
exiii Fluoride Free Peel, "FOIs reveal that health/science institutions around the world (213 and counting!) have
no record of SARS-CoV-2 isolation/purification, anywhere, ever" https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-
reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-
purification/
exliv Cowan, Morrell, The Contagion Myth; Why Viruses (including 'Coronavirus') Are Not the Cause of Disease, 2020
cxlv Ibid
exivi "The use of fetal bovine serum: ethical or scientific problem?" Carlo E. A. Jochems, Jan B. F. van der
Valk, Frans R. Stafleu, Vera Baumans, Department of Laboratory Animal Science, Faculty of Veterinary
Medicine, Utrecht University, PubMed, Mar-Apr 2002, citation from The Disciples of Ra; The shocking truth
about "medicine," viruses and vaccines, Giovanni Augustino "Johnny" Cirucci, 2022, p. 90
exivii Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts; A Look at Vaccination, Jennifer Craig, PhD, Breedon Books Publishing, Intro,
p. iv
exiviii The Disciples of Ra; The shocking truth about "medicine," viruses and vaccines, Giovanni Augustino "Johnny"
Cirucci, 2022 p. 89, endnote 197
exlix Etymology Online, Biology, https://www.etymonline.com/word/biology
cl Engelbrecht, Kohnlein, Virus Mania—How the Medical Industry Continually Invents Epidemics, Making Billion
Dollar Profits at Our Expense, 2007, p. 31
clii The Disciples of Ra; The shocking truth about "medicine," viruses and vaccines, Giovanni Augustino "Johnny" Cirucci,
2022 p. 29, and 32 quoting Wikipedia, endnote 54 and 63
       cliii Ibid, p. 33, endnote 67
       cliv Ibid, p. 33, endnote 67
       clv Ibid, p. 30, endnote 58
       clvi Ibid, pp. 85-85
       clvii Ibid p. 84, endnote 188
clix Cowan, Morrell, The Contagion Myth; Why Viruses (including 'Coronavirus') Are Not the Cause of Disease, 2020
```

Chapter 3, p. 32, endnote 28p. 33, endnote 32 (chapter 3)

clxii Antibody Theory http://www.whale.to/vaccines/antibody.html

clx Ibid, p. 78, endnote 177

clxi Ibid, p. 78

```
clxiii The Disciples of Ra; The shocking truth about "medicine," viruses and vaccines, Giovanni Augustino "Johnny"
Cirucci, 2022 p. 87-91, referencing: "Isolation of a Novel Coronavirus from a Man with Pneumonia in Saudi
Arabia," Ali M. Zaki, M.D., Ph.D., Sander van Boheemen, M.Sc., Theo M. Bestebroer, B.Sc., Albert
D.M.E., Osterhaus, D.V.M., Ph.D., et al., The New England Journal of Medicine, 8 November 2012
clxiv Giovanni Cirucci, The Disciples of Ra; The shocking truth about "medicine," viruses and vaccines, 2022, p.92
clxv Jennifer Craig, PhD, Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts; A Look at Vaccination, Breedon Books Publishing, pp. IV-
       clxvi Ibid, p. V
clxvii
    C. Markolin,
                      "Measles Virus Put to the Test; Dr. Stephan Lanka wins in court",
https://learninggnm.com/SBS/documents/Lanka Bardens Trial E.pdf
chwiii P. Fassa, 3/5/17, Health Impact News, "German Supreme Court Upholds Biologist's Claim that Measles
Virus Does Not Exist" https://vaccineimpact.com/2017/german-supreme-court-upholds-biologists-claim-
that-measles-virus-does-not-exist/
clxixProjekt Immanuel <a href="https://projekt-immanuel.de/en/projekt-immanuel/">https://projekt-immanuel/</a>
clxx Rowan, Hamer, Welcome to the Masquerade; Prelude to the Coming Reset, 2022, citing Cowan, Morrell, The
Contagion Myth P. 480
clxxi Fluoride Free Peel, "FOIs reveal that health/science institutions around the world (213 and counting!) have
no record of SARS-CoV-2 isolation/purification, anywhere, ever" https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-
reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-
purification/
clxxii Giovanni Cirucci, The Disciples of Ra; The shocking truth about "medicine," viruses and vaccines, 2022, p.80 and
       clxxiii Ibid, p. 83
       clxxiv Ibid, p. 84, endnote, 187
       clxxv Ibid, p. 181-183, endnote 379
       clxxvi Ibid, Geison, p.185, endnote 386,
       clxxvii Ibid p. 174, endnote 368
       clxxviii Ibid, p.186-187, Geison
       clxxix Ibid, Cowan, Morrell, p. 188
       clxxx Ibid, p. 227, endnote 450, Kaiokerino
       clxxxi Ibid, p. 225, endnote 448
       clxxxii Ibid
clxxxiii Cirucci, The Disciples of Ra; The shocking truth about "medicine," viruses and vaccines, 2022, p. 228, endnote 453
       clxxxiv Ibid, p. 228, endnote 452
       clxxxv Ibid, p.229-230, endnote 455
clxxxvi Robert O. Becker, The Body Electric; Electromagnetism and the Foundation of Life, 1985, p. 36
clxxxvii Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts; A Look at Vaccination, Jennifer Craig, PhD, Breedon Books Publishing, p. 93
clxxxviii Cirucci, The Disciples of Ra; The shocking truth about "medicine," viruses and vaccines, 2022, p. 92, endnote 204
       clxxxix Ibid, p.188, endnote 389, Cowan and Morrel
       exc Ibid, p. 191-192, endnote 391
       exci Ibid, p. 192, Durbach endnote 395
exeii Cirucci, The Disciples of Ra, 2022, p. 193 Durbach, endnote 396
       cxciii Ibid
exciv Cirucci, The Disciples of Ra, 2022 p. 194, endnote 397, Durbach
       excv Ibid
excvi PLAAS Media, 4/29/19, "The Animal Diseases Act: What farmers should know"
https://agriorbit.com/the-animal-diseases-act-what-farmers-should-know/
exevii Dunne & McMahon, eionews.com "Insecticide Causes Mad Cow Disease:
https://www.headlice.org/news/2004/mercola-madcow.htm
excein Wales Online, 3/31/13, "Millions of OTM animals culled to stop people catching 'mad cow disease"
https://www.walesonline.co.uk/news/local-news/millions-otm-animals-culled-stop-2402894
excix James McCumiskey, The Ultimate Conspiracy, the Biomedical Paradigm, 2012, Bright Pen, p. 108, endnote 151
                       5/6/11, "Mass culling for foot-and-mouth
               BBC,
    Ghosh,
                                                                                'may be
https://www.bbc.com/news/science-environment-13299666
       cci Ibid
ccii Dr. Vernon Coleman, 2/12/21 "We Don't Debate with Anti-Vaxxers Whether They're Right or Wrong',
       BBC"
                  https://vernoncoleman.org/videos/we-dont-debate-anti-vaxxers-whether-thevre-right-or-
wrong-says-bbc
cciii Reuters Staff, 10/19/18, Reuters, "China has culled 200,000 pigs due to African swine fever outbreaks –
animal health official" https://www.reuters.com/article/china-swinefever-culling-idUSB9N1WO01P
ceiv Newsnet Intern, 1/5/10, NewsNet One, "More birds to be culled in Munger due to Bird flu"
https://newsnetone.com/2019/01/more-birds-to-be-culled-in-munger-due-to-bird-flu/
ccv S. Kevany, 4/29/20, The Guardian, "Millions of farm animals culled as US food supply chain chokes up"
https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/apr/29/millions-of-farm-animals-culled-as-us-food-
supply-chain-chokes-up-coronavirus
       ccvi Ibid
```

ccvii Ibid

```
ceviii S. Kevany, 5/19/20, The Guardian, "Millions of US Farm animals to be culled by suffocation, drowning
and shooting" https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/may/19/millions-of-us-farm-animals-to-
be-culled-by-suffocation-drowning-and-shooting-coronavirus
       ccix Ibid
       ccx Ibid
       ccxi Ibid
cexii J. Scott-Reid, 4/29/20, Sentient Media, "Farmed Animals Culled En Masse as COVID-19 Outbreaks Halt
Meat and Dairy Production" https://sentientmedia.org/farmed-animals-culled-en-mass-as-covid-19-
outbreaks-halt-meat-and-dairy-production/
eexiii B. Biron, April 2020, Business Insider, "Hungry Americans are waiting in miles-long lines as food banks
struggle to keep up with demand." https://www.businessinsider.com/americans-wait-in-long-lines-at-food-
banks-amid-coronavirus-2020-4?op=1
ecxiv M. Sheets, 4/10/20, Dailymail.com, "Six THOUSAND families line up in their cars for hours at a food
bank in San Antonio as millions across the country turn to charity organization to keep from going hungry
during coronavirus lockdown" https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8207245/Six-THOUSAND-
families-line-cars-hours-food-bank-San-Antonio.html
ecxv Euronews, 10/4/22 "Nearly 48 million animals culled in Europe's 'largest ever' bird flu epidemic"
https://www.euronews.com/2022/10/03/nearly-48-million-animals-culled-in-europes-largest-ever-bird-flu-
ccxvi S. Kevany, 12/9/22, The Guardian, "Avian flu has led to the killing of 140m farmed birds since last
October" https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2022/dec/09/avian-flu-has-led-to-the-killing-of-
140m-farmed-birds-since-last-october
cexuii See Claire Edward's excellent article for a juxtaposed outbreak map next to a cell phone coverage map
for Italy: https://forlifeonearth.weeblv.com/graphene-oxide-in-all-vaccines-makes-the-vaccinated-walking-
time-bombs.html
ccyviii
        For
                          showing
                                              effects
                                                               5G
                videos
                                      the
                                                        of
                                                                      on
                                                                             bird
                                                                                     populations,
                                                                                                     see:
https://www.orwell.city/2022/02/birds.html
exix Arthur Firstenberg, International Appeal Stop 5G on Earth and in Space, spring 2020 update, "The
Evidence Mounts", www.5gSpaceAppeal.org
ccxx J. Barcelo, Are Wireless Devices Really Safe? Or is Wireless Radiation Harmful? 2020, P. 26-28
cexxi See: S. Rowan, WiFi Refugee; Plight of the Modern-day Canary, 2022, for more details
ccxxii James McCumiskey, The Ultimate Conspiracy, the Biomedical Paradigm, 2012, Bright Pen, p. 108
ccxxiii Admin, 12/14/22, Your Herefordshire, "BREAKING: ALL poultry to be culled after Bird Flu was
confirmed at a premises in Herefordshire" https://yourherefordshire.co.uk/all/news/breaking-all-poultry-
to-be-culled-after-bird-flu-was-confirmed-at-a-premises-in-herefordshire/
cexxiv James McCumiskey, The Ultimate Conspiracy, the Biomedical Paradigm, 2012, Bright Pen, p. 107
cexxy S. Kevany, 12/9/22, The Guardian, "Avian flu has led to the killing of 140m farmed birds since last
October" https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2022/dec/09/avian-flu-has-led-to-the-killing-of-
140m-farmed-birds-since-last-october
cexxvi Deputy Premier, Minister for Agriculture, 9/28/22, NSW Government, "NSW fast tracks mRNA FMD
and Lumpy Skin Disease vaccines" https://www.nsw.gov.au/media-releases/nsw-fast-tracks-fmd-vaccine
cexxvii L. Soule, 10/31/22, Your News, "Roughly 2 in 10 Cows Injected with mRNA Vaccines Die Instantly"
https://vournews.com/2022/10/31/2443163/roughly-2-in-10-cows-injected-with-mrna-vaccines-die/
cexxviii Staff, 1/13/23, World Tribune, "Report: mRNA vaccines may be injected into livestock"
https://www.worldtribune.com/report-mrna-vaccines-are-being-injected-into-livestock/
cexxix Deputy Premier, Minister for Agriculture, 9/28/22, NSW Government, "NSW fast tracks mRNA FMD
and Lumpy Skin Disease vaccines" https://www.nsw.gov.au/media-releases/nsw-fast-tracks-fmd-vaccine
cexxx CBS This Morning, Zika in America: Dr. Agus on Growing Virus Threat and Gov't Response
https://www.voutube.com/watch?v=XvMeFPphWws
       ccxxxi Ibid
      ccxxxii Ibid
cexxiii Jenner, Jabs and Juggernauts; A Look at Vaccination, Jennifer Craig, PhD, Breedon Books Publishing, p. 85-
86
ccxxxiv Antibody Theory http://www.whale.to/vaccines/antibody.html
      Z. Gorvett, 10/27/20 BBC Future,
                                                 "The surprising ingredients found in vaccines"
https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20201027-what-is-added-to-vaccines
       ccxxxvi Ibid
      ccxxxvii Ibid
      ccxxxviii Ibid
       ccxxxix Ibid
      ccxl Ibid
       ccxli Ibid
eexlii Translated from the German article by Northern Tracey and John Blaid, "The Misinterpretation of
```

Antibodies" https://telgra.ph/Die-Fehldeutung-der-Antiköper-07-12

cexiii J. Hommel, revealingfraud.com, "Scientists Say the COVID19 Test Kits Do Not Work, Are Worthless, and Give Impossible Results" https://revealingfraud.com/2020/03/health/test-kits-do-not-work/cexiiv Kerry Mullins Explains the PCR Test, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZmZft4fXhQQ

- cexiv Jan Raphael, 9/19/21, Mizzima Myanmar News, "Lawyers Expose Alleged COVID-19 Fraud and Vaccine Danger", https://www.mizzima.com/article/lawyers-expose-alleged-covid-19-fraud-and-vaccine-danger cexivi Apoorva Mandavilli. 8/29/2020, New York Times. "Your Coronavirus Test Is Positive. Maybe It Shouldn't Be." https://www.nytimes.com/2020/08/29/health/coronavirus-testing.html cexivii Anthony Fauci, July 16, 2020, This Week in Virology podcast video
- cextviii Jan Raphael, 9/19/21, *Mizzima Myanmar News*, "Lawyers Expose Alleged COVID-19 Fraud and Vaccine Danger", https://www.mizzima.com/article/lawyers-expose-alleged-covid-19-fraud-and-vaccine-danger
- cexiix R. Baibhawi, Republicworld.com, 5/4/20, "Tanzania: Goat and Fruit Test Positive for COVID-19, President Questions Testing Kits" https://www.republicworld.com/world-news/rest-of-the-world-news/tanzania-goat-fruit-test-positive-for-covid-19-president-slams-kits.html
- ccl Monitor, 5/03/20, "Pawpaw, goat test positive for COVID-19, says Magufuli" https://www.monitor.co.ug/uganda/news/national/pawpaw-goat-test-positive-for-covid-19-says-magufuli-1888018
- celi Bamidele, 5/5/20, *Guardian Life*, "Goat and Paw Paw Test Positive For Coronavirus in Tanzania" https://guardian.ng/life/goat-sheep-and-paw-paw-test-positive-for-coronavirus-in-tanzania/
- cclii CDC, 7/21/202: Lab Alert: Changes to CDC RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 Testing https://www.cdc.gov/locs/2021/07-21-2021-lab-alert-Changes CDC RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 Testing 1 html
- celiii The Bodies of Others; The New Authoritarians, COVID-19, and The War Against the Human, Naomi Wolf, 2022, All Seasons Press p. 117, endnote 166-170

Chapter Five